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ABSTRACT
Information seeking skills are important for students who are preparing to embark on information intensive professions such as the legal profession. Despite law libraries being available in all the Nigerian universities offering law as an undergraduate programme, law students generally do not seem interested in making optimal use of the available facilities and sources to find relevant information for their studies and to prepare them for their chosen career. Though many information behaviour studies relating to students do exist it is important to establish how and why students, especially those who have to rely on information to perform their duties as legal practitioners, seek for information and how they use the available information facilities and services to satisfy their information needs. The specific objectives of this study were to: investigate the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries, determine the purposes for which law students retrieve information in Nigerian university law libraries, investigate the library information resources available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries, examine how law students utilise information resources in university law libraries, specifically ICT resources, determine the challenges faced by law students in the use of law library electronic resources and other law sources in the process of information retrieval in Nigerian university law libraries, provide recommendations for improving law library systems in Nigerian universities and to develop a theoretical model that suits the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

The research paradigm followed a positivism and interpretive perspective using both qualitative and quantitative approaches. The study adopted a survey research design. The study targeted all the law students in Nigerian universities. Out of the 30 universities offering law as a course of study in Nigeria, a total of 12 universities were chosen for the study namely: Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma; Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti; Imo State University, Owerri; Kogi State University, Anyigba; Nasarawa State University, Keffi; Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye; Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife; University of Benin, Benin; University of Calabar, Calabar; University of Ibadan, Ibadan; University of Ilorin, Ilorin; and University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus. From the 12 chosen universities, 1,534 law students were randomly selected; this represents the sample for the study. Data was collected using a questionnaire, an interview and observation methods.
The findings revealed that the surveyed law students generally exhibited a positive attitude towards patronage of law libraries, and that printed information sources are the main information sources utilised by respondents in law libraries. Digital libraries found in the law libraries were found not to be optimally used. Reasons given were the unavailability and inaccessibility of computers and the lack of Internet connectivity. The majority of the respondents indicated that they possessed the ICT skills required to search for information using electronic information resources in the law libraries. The Internet facilities provided in the law library where only used by 33% of the respondents when it was necessary to find academic related information. An ability test showed that only 47% of the respondents tested had the Internet skills to effectively retrieve applicable information sources/databases on the Internet.

It was revealed that access to ICT facilities in the law libraries was poor, and the existing electronic libraries and other sources like the Internet and law databases were underutilised by the surveyed law students for a variety of reasons, such as a lack of access to the electronic law libraries, lack of ICT resources, or the fact that the existing ICT sources were not intended for law students’ use. Observations showed that only three law libraries provided access to law databases out of the twelve law libraries. Other challenges identified concerning the use of the law libraries included: erratic power supply; Internet connectivity problems; slow downloading speed; non-subscription to law databases; lack of qualified staff to manage and maintain the electronic law libraries; inadequate amount of computers for student utilisation; unhelpful library staff; and inadequate funding to provide quality ICT resources in the universities’ law libraries.

The study recommended that law students should be allowed access to all law library resources and be provided with current and relevant library sources, electronic sources and ICT equipment that will encourage them to frequent the law libraries and use its information resources. Additionally, the libraries need adequate support and enough funds to facilitate the purchase of current legal material; upgrade ICT equipment; subscribe to legal databases; upgrade Internet connectivity; and improve their power supply. The study also recommended that library sources
(print and electronic) should be aggressively advertised to attract students’ attention and more library orientation and ICT training courses should be provided.

**Keywords:** Nigeria, law students, law libraries, ICT information seeking skills, information behaviour.
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction
In modern society, information behaviour is a day-to-day activity that is fundamental to people in all vocations and skilled occupations across all disciplines and professional groups (lecturers, medical doctors, engineers, teachers, librarians, lawyers and students, among others). Information seeking behaviour has been variously defined by scholars. According to Wiberley and Jones, (1989:638) information seeking is a basic activity of all scholars, and it is an aspect of scholarly work that academic librarians have studied to develop their library collections. Professionally skilled people source information for various reasons and using different methods, because they rely on information particular to their work type to ‘get their jobs done’. For instance, a lawyer requires updated legal information in order to successfully argue matters and win cases in court, engineers require information on new designs and/or plans for machinery or road construction, and students generally search for information to enable them to pass examinations and complete research assignments in order to graduate from university. However, there are different channels through which information sources are sourced, these include libraries, information centres and especially with the emergence of the new information environments, cybercafés (Yousefi and Yousefi, 2007). Yousefi and Yousefi further explain that information globally plays a major role in the educational development of students, contributing to their success and future achievements.

Information behaviour research is a global phenomenon and numerous studies on academic staff and students have been conducted in various countries across the globe, among others were (Shokeen and Kushik 2002; Cothey 2002; Meho and Tibbo, 2003; Baro, Onyenania and Osaheni, 2010; Aforo and Lamptey, 2012). The field of information behaviour among students in universities especially in Africa continues to elicit strong interest among scholars notwithstanding the fact that it has been extensively researched. This could be attributed to the increase in adoption of ICT for the provision of library information sources and services, as well as, today’s proliferation of the electronic information resources in university libraries in general. In the context of Nigerian universities, literature also revealed that, various authors have differently investigated some Nigerian university law students’ information seeking activities (Ogba, 2013; Lawal et. al. 2012; Akanwa and Aniche, 2012; Ossai 2011).
The current study concentrated on the information behaviour of Nigerian undergraduate law students in university law libraries, with a particular focus on the law students’ Information and Communication Technology (ICT) utilisation skills when searching for legal information in university law libraries.

This chapter thus outlines the conceptual and contextual settings of the study, the history of legal education in Nigeria, the ICT infrastructure available in Nigerian university law libraries, and also provides the problem statement, motivation, aim of study, research objectives, research questions and theoretical framework upon which the study is built. The chapter also presents the scope and limitations of the study; significance of the study; research ethical considerations; dissemination of findings; definition of key terms; organisation of the study; and the summary of the chapter.

1.2 Conceptual setting of the study

1.2.1 Conceptual setting

The conceptual setting of this study gives a detailed description of the applicable ideas so as to provide a specific ground for the study. Concepts discussed include information seeking behaviour, law students’ information seeking behaviour, information retrieval systems, ICT’s, law, legal advice, and lawyers’ information needs.

1.2.2 Information seeking behaviour

Numerous studies have focused on the information seeking behaviour of different users of resources in libraries (Ikoja-Odongo and Mostert 2006:148; Taylor and Procter 2005; Julien 1996:53). These studies have also provided varying definitions of information seeking behaviour. For example, Taylor and Procter (2005) define information seeking behaviour as the ability to efficiently scan literature using manual or computerised methods in order to identify a set of useful articles and books, while Ikoja-Odongo and Mostert (2006:148) describe it as the individual’s process of searching for information, a process that requires the information seeker to apply personal knowledge, skills, and/or information infrastructure to solve a problem. Marchionini (1995:10) reiterates that information seeking is a fundamental human activity that is tied to learning and problem solving. Ossai (2011:1) describes information seeking behaviour as an individual’s manner of gathering and
sourcing information for personal use, knowledge updating, and development. Wilson (2000:45) views it to be the purposive attempt to find information because of the need to meet some goals. In the process, the individual may interact with manual-based information systems such as newspapers or the library, or computer-based systems such as the World Wide Web to retrieve the required information. Wilson (1999:429) defines information seeking behaviour as the activities a person may engage in upon discovering that information is required, from searching for that information, to using or transferring the information. Therefore, information seeking behaviour may be described as the process of obtaining information in the libraries using own effort, ICT and/or intermediaries like the library staff.

Julien (1996:53) advocates that information seeking is concerned with determining users’ information needs, their search behaviour, and the use of the information once found. As early as 1981, Wilson states that the field of information seeking behaviour was one of the most researched and written about topics in the field of Library and Information Science (Wilson, 1981:3). Wildemuth (2003) believes that when user studies are undertaken, their contribution needs to be valuable enough to improve the practice of librarianship. According to Nkomo et al. (2011:132), information seeking behaviour depends on user education, access to a library, and the length of time devoted to information seeking by the user. The frequency, with which undergraduate law students seek legal information and the use of relevant information to study, when preparing for law examinations and in writing theses, arguably correlates with how skilled these students will be in their future practice as lawyers, and how knowledgeable they will be as legal practitioners. According to Wildemuth (2003), it is important to consider the context in which users require information while taking into account the specific characteristics of the library users. This becomes especially important where ICT facilities are provided as additional information sources in law libraries.

For legal professionals who appear in court, possession of relevant knowledge can be pivotal in the outcome of their cases. While the required information is mostly of a legal nature, occasionally information of a more general nature might also be essential, especially when background information pertaining to a case is required. Therefore, it is imperative for law
students, as future legal practitioners, to engage with information seeking and information utilisation processes throughout their academic years of study.

1.2.3 Law students’ information seeking behaviour

Scholars have studied information seeking behaviour in several environments over recent periods in Nigeria, where a number of factors associated with information behaviour of different professionals (medical, nursing, engineering, teaching) have been found. Anderson (2011:1) explains that little empirical work has been carried out on the information seeking behaviour of law students that appears in legal education literature, or library and information science journals. Similarly in the field of Nigerian law students and their information seeking behaviour, not many studies have been conducted. In a study by Ossai (2011) she sets out to clarify the information seeking patterns of both male and female law students of the University of Benin, Benin City, out of the thirty universities that offer law education in Nigeria. Ossai’s study found no significant difference in how male and female law students at the University of Benin source and use information in the law library. Akanwa and Aniche’s (2012) study focused on Imo State University law students’ use of law library resources to determine the level of organisation of sources, resources and the attendant problems. Akanwa and Aniche found that law students have various information needs that were not sufficiently satisfied, there was an inadequate quality of existing information materials, limited library services (lack ICT and Internet services) and limited use of the law library by the law students. Ogba (2013:308) investigated the information seeking behaviour of final law students in seven universities in the South Western part of Nigeria. The study established that the final year law students utilise the university law libraries to source for print law resources, source other information from newspaper vendors and the Public Libraries.

Oke-Samuel (2008:139) explained that law students in Nigeria generally seek for law information sources in order to pass examinations, conduct legal research or to complete assignments and theses to enable them to graduate from university. Accordingly, the law students aspiring to enter into the law profession require mainly primary information for both academic and research purposes, and they need to be able to use available law library sources and electronic resources to prepare for lectures, conferences, and “mock” or trial courts, in
addition to the above stated aims of passing examinations and completing assignments and theses. As already stated, their experiences with searching for, finding and utilising legal information sources at this stage is likely to influence their information seeking behaviour later in life when they enter legal practice.

Law students’ information seeking behaviour is predisposed to having knowledge of the subject of law because this determines what they need to search for, what to write when an assignment is given, and where to search for information. Studies show that law students often start by reading law textbooks and/or reports to familiarise themselves with the topics and compare different views on the subject under study. Depending on the outcome of their search, they may seek further information on the web using the Internet or law databases (Ossai, 2011:9; Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose, 2010:108; Oke-Samuel, 2008:139; Lawal, 2007; Ray, and Day, 1998). These scholars established that the Internet and databases are mostly used if the information sourced from textbooks and law reports is inadequate or conflicting. It can be assumed that when law students are searching for information they would consult sources that they think can assist quick understanding of the topics searched.

Wilkinson (2001:256) explained that law students mostly use information sources that are available in academic law libraries, but that as they start their practice, their information seeking habits change as they then prefer to use personal textbooks, friends, and colleagues as information sources. Majid and Kassim (2000:1) likewise found that lawyers and law students prefer informal and interpersonal sources of information, while Kuhlthau and Tama (2001:25) found that lawyers and law students prefer printed information when searching through library information resources. Makri et al., (2008:613) found that law students mostly tend to rely on readily available resources. Frequently used information sources include colleagues and law textbooks and reports that are available in law libraries. Olorunfemi and Mostert (2012:88) determined that the information sources used by law students consist of both print and electronic documents such as law textbooks and reports, newspapers, electronic books, e-journals, law databases and the Internet. Moreover, law students often use Google to search the Internet.
1.2.4 Information retrieval systems

Inkpen (2007) describes information retrieval (IR) as the task of representing, storing, organising, and offering access to information substances, different from data retrieval, which is about finding precise data in databases with a given structure. In IR systems, the information is not structured; it only contains free text (web pages or other documents) or it can be found in multimedia content. Inkpen further explained that the first IR systems were designed and implemented in the 1970’s to work with small collections of text like legal documents. Chowdhury (2004) explains that information retrieval systems were originally limited to manual retrieval systems because most information sources were print-based. However, today the use of information retrieval systems have since expanded to include modern tools and techniques (computers) used to access multimedia information (text, audio, images and video) (Noor-UI-Amin, 2013:38). Information retrieval is an area of study that is primarily concerned with searching for documents within documents, metadata about documents, searching databases and the World Wide Web. An information retrieval (IR) system is designed to analyse, process and store sources of information and retrieve those that match a particular user’s requirements (Chowdhury, 2010). Hornby Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary of English (2011:931) defines metadata as the information that describes other information in order to help users understand or use the information. The task in information retrieval is to retrieve documents or texts with relevant information content that satisfy the user’s information needs.

1.2.5 Information and Communication Technology (ICT)

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) refers to the use of electronic tools and techniques that make it easier and faster to access, gather and store information. ICT focuses primarily on the technologies that provide access to information through telecommunications. According to Bosire (2011:9), information and communication technologies are instrumental in increasing productivity, efficiency, competitiveness and growth in every sphere of human life. The ICT that are available in libraries consist of a combination of computer hardware, software and telecommunications technologies that include electronic journals, electronic databases, e-books, computers, Internet, wireless networks, and digital libraries, to name a few. A digital library is a type of information retrieval system, created in different institutions of higher learning, organisations and industries in the various fields of knowledge like
A digital library, also referred to as either an electronic or virtual library, is a library that stores collections in digital format. The digital content may be locally stored and/or remotely accessed by users through computer networks (Trivedi, 2010). The digital libraries provide users with an organised repository of information and knowledge as a collection of distributed information sources (Trivedi, 2010).

Demands for digital information sources are increasing (Greenstein and Thorin, 2002). According to Greenstein and Thorin, libraries are redesigning their services and information sources to add value to their services and to satisfy the changing information needs of their users. Information seekers are no longer satisfied with only print materials. Users want to supplement the print information sources with more electronic resources.

**1.2.6 Law**

Law is the system of rule enacted by a community or country, in other words law is the body of rules by which order and justice is maintained in society. Law is a text-based discipline (Maxwell and Schafer, 2008:63). According to Maxwell and Schafer, the domain of law possibly has the largest volume of text-based sources in the world. Law, as stated by Singh (2011) encompasses all the rules of conduct established and enforced by the authority, legislation or custom of a given community, state, or other groups. Garner and Black, in Black’s law Dictionary (2004), define law as legislation, judicial precedents, legal principles, rules and principles that the law courts use to settle disagreements. Common laws are the laws made by judges in courts; they are the rules formulated by judges over a long period of time (Farlex Free Dictionary, 2014).

Nigerian law is patterned after common law practice in England. In Nigerian universities, law as a subject is divided into a number of courses that law students must study if they are to become solicitors and barristers (lawyers). Compulsory law courses include: Nigerian Constitutional law, Public law, Jurisprudence, Commercial law, and English Common law.
1.2.7 Legal advice
According to Kerins et al., (2004), legal advice refers to dispensing formal opinions regarding the substance or procedure of the law by an officer of the court (lawyer) in exchange for financial or other tangible compensation. The process of giving legal advice to a client results in lawyers having legal tasks that bring about the need to harness more information in order to enable lawyers to satisfy the peculiar needs of the clients (Kerins et al., 2004) during the practice of law.

1.2.8 The role of the lawyer
A lawyer is a person learned in the law; an attorney, counsel or solicitor; a person who is practicing law (Tuhumwire and Okello-Obura, 2010; Black, 1979:799). The lawyers are those professionals whose jobs are to conduct law suits for clients and to advise clients about their legal rights and obligations in all matters relating to law (Merriam-Webster Unabridged Dictionary, 2013). Lawyers are trained and licensed to prepare, manage, prosecute or defend clients in the law courts; the lawyers serve as agents to people and give advice on legal matters that may not necessarily require court action (Exforsys, 2006).

A lawyer is primarily responsible for advising people about laws, writing formal agreements, and/or representing people in court and to generally carry out the practical application of legal theory and knowledge (Tuhumwire and Okello-Obura, 2010). The duty of the lawyer is to carry out the practical application of legal theory and knowledge to resolve the problems of the individuals that hire them. A judge, on the other hand, is a lawyer appointed to preside over matters in a court of law. According to the Hornby Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary of English (2011:809), a judge presides over a court trial and makes legal decisions, upholds rules, and determines and criticizes matters brought before him/her. In Nigeria, the lawyer’s role is a pervasive one; lawyers and judges, whether in private or corporate practice, in academia or in government, shape peoples’ lives and that of the society as a whole. By virtue of their position in society, lawyers or judges require the right of access to legal information in order to function in their different capacities as service providers, legal advisers, and legal administrators (Tuhumwire and Okello-Obura, 2010; Fowler, 2007:6; Otike and Mathews, 2000:241). The role of lawyers generally includes the enforcement of fundamental human rights. According to Ozekhome (2013) the lawyer has a prominent role to
play in the defence and protection of human rights of the citizenry not only against the abuse of the fundamental processes of government, but also their fundamental rights. Ozekhome further states that the role of a lawyer becomes more compelling especially in developing countries where the majority of the citizenry wallow in ignorance and abject poverty.

However, lawyers’ roles significantly vary across different legal practices (Geoffrey et al., 2004:20) and legal practice varies from country to country (Merriam-Webster Unabridged Dictionary, 2013). For instance in Britain, lawyers are divided into barristers and solicitors. In the United States of America, lawyers (attorneys) often specialize in limited areas of the law (e.g., criminal law, divorce, or probate). In France, legal professionals are called “avocet”, in England, “barrister”, in Germany they are known either as “lawyers” or “notaries”, whereas in Nigeria, they are known as both “barrister” and “solicitor” (Oba, 2007:14).

The law information sources and the skills to use law resources are very important to legal professionals, scholars, especially to law students passing through university law faculties, as they improve their ability to search for knowledge on legal matters law libraries. In this respect, Devadason and Lingam (1997:41) posit that the roles of lawyers may be affected by factors such as the availability of legal resources, intended use, characteristics and nature of resources, and the users’ environment.

As early as 1959, Onalaja emphasised that a lawyer can only be as good as the legal education system that produces him or her. According to Onalaja, lawyers are expected to have learnt all aspects of the law, as well as all the library search skills required to source legal information while still at university. Legal education is a vital ingredient; the quality of it may positively or otherwise affect justice systems and the lawyers’ roles in the social-political and economic development of a country. The quality of judicial decisions and the coherence of the reasoning underlying a judgment depend upon the quality of the argument presented in court. Therefore, the success of any lawyer largely depends upon the quality of the legal information cited to prove and argue his or her case before court. The current study on undergraduate law students’ information behaviour and the use of the new technology (ICT) to search for legal information sources is germane. It is relevant as it helps to understand how undergraduate law students were trained at the university level to search for legal information required to
transform them into lawyers. As lawyers they will be expected to utilise library search skills to locate legal information for legal practice.

1.2.9 Lawyers’ information needs

Lawyers work in the legal culture, with its extensive rules and procedures, which requires the right kind of legal information (Fowler, 2007:6). Lawyers operate in information intensive environments. Everything they do, whether providing legal advice, representing a client in court, or drafting a legal document requires information (Otike and Mathews, 2000:241).

However, to work as a lawyer generally involves the practical application of legal information theories and legal knowledge of knowing how to resolve specific clients’ individualized problems in order to advance the interests of those who employ their services to perform legal obligations (Black, 1979:799). According to Haruna and Mabawonku (2001:69) lawyers require information to resolve a variety of legal problems. In order to attain a reasonable solution to the problem, the lawyer may need to consult different sources. However, lawyers’ greatest information need is to know the latest decisions of the superior courts, as well as to know recent legislation to obtain information on local and international seminars and conferences and the acquisition and application of legal knowledge (Haruna and Mabawonku, 2001:69).

Lawyers need to be well learned in the administration of the law of their countries and also need to be knowledgeable in laws of other countries of the world because of their position and roles in society, as mentioned above. Lawyers work in an information intensive environment. Therefore, the need to apply various library and ICT information search skills is very important in order to search for legal information from traditional law libraries and the variety of databases available to the law profession. The Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL, 2000) argues that, for man (e.g. lawyers) to be information literate, he/she requires the ability to identify the information that is needed, and locate, evaluate, organize and use it effectively for problem-solving. For lawyers, it is extremely important to have access to useful legal information in order to uphold justice. Tuhumwire and Okello-Obura (2010) explain that a lawyer will always make a poor submission if he or she does not cite
legal information (authorities), and that judgment will not be fair if previously decided cases are not referred to.

Okello-Obura (1998) defines legal information as all legal records in both electronics and written formats consisting of the law materials, court rules, case law and legal literature. The legal information is acquired, processed, organised and stored in law libraries and other information bearing places e.g. Internet and databases for dissemination to the users of the law libraries (Igbeka, 1995:99). According to Doherty (1998:60), in the absence of a law library, lawyers and law professors cannot effectively do their jobs, and therefore the law library is an indispensable requirement for law offices and the university law faculties. To law professionals, law materials are crucial as law courts rely heavily on legal precedents to judge current cases, while law students also need legal materials to train while at university or law school. Legal information is paramount to the success of the judicial system, to which Bello (1994:57), in his address at the conference of Nigerian Law Libraries for the Future, stated that, legal information is an essential tool to lawyers, and its importance in legal practice cannot be stressed enough.

1.3 Nigerian landscape and information environment

Nigeria is situated in Western Africa and shared borders with the Republic of Benin in the west, Chad and Cameroon in the east and Niger in the north. The coast lies on the Gulf of Guinea, part of the Atlantic Ocean in the south. Nigeria occupies a total area of 923,770 sq. km [356,700 sq. miles] and a total land area 356,667 square mile [923,768 sq. km] (Briney, 2010). Nigeria is officially named the Federal Republic of Nigeria, comprising three major tribes (ethnic groups), namely Hausa in the north, Yoruba in the west and Igbo in the east. The country is sub-divided into thirty-six (36) states. Nigeria is further stratified into six (6) geo-political zones for easy governance and equitable distribution of socio-economic resources and amenities among the people. Each of the zones consists of states that are geographically configured within as follows: north-western zone, north-eastern zone, north-central zone, south-eastern zone, south-western zone, and south-southern zone. The system of governance is the federal system, with socio-political power shared between the federal, state and local governments. Table 1.1 below depicts the geo-political zones in Nigeria, and the contributing states and the federal capital territory, Abuja.
1.3.1 Universities in Nigeria

Universities in Nigeria can be divided into public (federal and state) and private universities. According to Okon and Onyancha (2011:55), the law to establish private universities was promulgated by the Federal Government of Nigeria in 1993. Federal universities are categorised into: first generation universities, i.e. the first five universities established between 1948 and 1962; second generation universities, i.e. universities established between 1970 and 1975; and third generation universities, representing the universities of technology and universities of agricultural sciences, to address special areas of technological and agricultural manpower needs, i.e. the ten additional universities established between 1985 and 1999 (Nigeria Education Profile, 2014). The Rivers State University of Science and Technology was the first state university established in Nigeria in 1980 in Port Harcourt, while the first private university, (Benson Idahosa University) was established in Benin City by Pastor Benson Idahosa in 1994 (National Universities Commission, 2011).

The National Universities Commission (NUC) is the national regulatory body responsible for setting the general standards for academic programmes and courses offered at all Nigerian universities. This body is also responsible for the issuing of licences for the establishment of new universities in Nigeria. According to Lawal et al., (2012:27) the NUC acts as a central co-ordinating body that oversees the administration of universities in Nigeria, including federal, state and private universities. It controls the funds that the federal government allocates to federal universities and accredits all courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>States in the Zones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North-East</td>
<td>Adamawa, Bauchi, Borno, Gombe, Jigawa, Yobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-West</td>
<td>Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto, Zamfara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-Central</td>
<td>Benue, FCT Abuja, Kogi, Kwara, Nasarawa, Niger, Plateau, Taraba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-East</td>
<td>Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu, Imo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-West</td>
<td>Ekiti, Lagos, Ogun, Ondo, Osun, Oyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>Akwa-Ibom, Balyesa, Cross-River, Delta, Edo, Rivers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the information gathered from the Nigerian National University Commission database, there are a total number of one hundred and twenty-four (124) approved government universities in Nigeria as at 2011, comprising thirty-seven (37) federal universities, thirty-seven (37) state universities, and forty-five (50) private universities. However, out of the 124 universities, only 38 federal, state and private NUC approved universities that offer a law degree programme (see Table 1.2). These universities are located across the six geo-political zones, thirty-six (36) states and the federal capital territory in Nigeria (UTME/DE Brochure, 2011/2012; National Universities Commission (NUC), 2011). The University of Nigeria, Nsukka, was the first university to introduce a law programme in 1962, while the most recent law programme was established in 2008 by the Rivers State University of Science and Technology.
### Table 1.2 Universities That Offer a Law Degree in Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Universities</th>
<th>State Universities</th>
<th>Private Universities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmadu Bello University</td>
<td>Abia State University</td>
<td>Afe Babalola University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayero University, Kano</td>
<td>Adekunle Ajasin University</td>
<td>Babcock University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nnamdi Azikiwe University</td>
<td>Ambrose Alli University</td>
<td>Baze University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obafemi Awolowo University</td>
<td>Anambra State University</td>
<td>Benson Idahosa University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Abuja</td>
<td>Bauchi State University</td>
<td>Bowen University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Benin</td>
<td>Delta State University</td>
<td>Crescent University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calabar</td>
<td>Ebonyi State University</td>
<td>Igbinedion University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ibadan</td>
<td>Ekiti State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ilorin</td>
<td>Imo State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Jos</td>
<td>Kogi State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Lagos</td>
<td>Lagos State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maiduguri</td>
<td>Nasarawa State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</td>
<td>Onabanjo State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Port-Harcourt</td>
<td>Osun State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Uyo</td>
<td>Rivers State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yobe State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Council of Legal Education, 2014).

(http://www.nigerianlawschool.edu.ng/notices/quota.pdf)

In Nigeria, the education of a lawyer starts at university. Undergraduate applicants are admitted into the law faculties in Nigerian universities through the Unified Tertiary Matriculation Examination (Adi, 2012). Okoroma (2011) posits that it takes five years to complete an undergraduate law degree in Nigerian universities and that upon completion; law graduates are awarded a Bachelor of Law (LLB) certificate. According to Okoroma, the undergraduate law curriculum requires law students to study twelve compulsory law core courses and eleven optional law courses, which are essential to qualify as a law graduate. Adi further explains that the requirement for admission into any university in Nigeria requires the completion of secondary school education, possession of five credits in West African Senior
Secondary School Examination in Arts and Social Science subjects including: English, Mathematics and Literature (Adi, 2012).

Most of the students admitted into law degree programmes usually possess qualifications that are higher than the minimum. Many already possess first degrees in other disciplines before applying for a first degree in law. The full details of the admission requirements for each Faculty, as well as the available courses, are contained in a brochure published annually by the Federal Government control body, the Unified Matriculation Examination/Direct Entry/Joint Admissions and Matriculation Board in Nigeria (UME/DE/JAMB, 2011/2012). However, the following table shows the list of core law courses and optional law courses in Nigerian universities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core law courses</th>
<th>Optional law courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal Methods</td>
<td>Administrative Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigerian Legal System</td>
<td>Banking and Insurance Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Law</td>
<td>Conflict of Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional Law</td>
<td>Law of Conveyancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Law</td>
<td>Criminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Law</td>
<td>Family Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Law</td>
<td>Industrial or Labour Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law of Equity and Trusts</td>
<td>Islamic Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law of Evidence</td>
<td>Public International Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Law</td>
<td>Revenue/Taxation Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law of Torts</td>
<td>Intellectual Property Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisprudence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: International bar Association (2014)
http://www.ibanet.org/PPID/Constituent/Student_Committee/qualify_lawyer_Nigeria.aspx

1.3.2 Brief history of legal education in Nigeria

The history of legal education in Nigeria can be traced back to 1860. Mitee (2008) explains that legal education in Nigeria is a remnant of British colonization that was imposed on the
Nigerian traditional legal system in 1862 when Lagos was created as a British colony. According to Ojomo (2012), the need to adjudicate legal disputes emanated from changes in the socio-economic and political landscapes introduced by the system of government of the colonial administrators. This led to the introduction of Consul Courts by the British government, who appointed consuls to handle disputes between indigenes and foreign traders, while the traditional courts continued to administer cases involving only indigenes. The establishment of these courts demanded that lawyers draft agreements, advise the colonial administrators on commercial transactions, and plead for litigants in the English court (Oke-Samuel, 2008:139). Nigerians that possessed basic education and were experienced in court procedures, like court clerks, were appointed by the chief justice as lawyers (Oba, 2007:14). Some were given licenses to practice law, while others were appointed as local attorneys and colonial solicitors to occupy judicial positions (Supreme Court Civil Procedure Rules, 1948). These attorneys were known as local-made solicitors, self-taught attorneys, or colonial solicitors (Doherty, 1998:5).

By 1879, aspiring lawyers could learn the law by attending one of four Inns of Courts (Middle Temple, Inner Temple, Lincoln’s Inn, and Gray Inn) in Great Britain. Upon returning home, they either joined the local attorneys or enrolled as practicing lawyers at the Supreme Court. These lawyers also had formal law qualifications as they were trained at the Court Inns to either read for the Bar examinations and observe the compulsory twelve Diner terms required for call to Bar, or to write the Law Society’s examination after serving an apprenticeship under experienced solicitors (Mitee, 2008).

In 1945, the University of London instituted a formal law degree which all prospective Nigerian lawyers had to gain to formally qualify as lawyers (Hibbitts, 2003). At this university, prospective Nigerian lawyers’ training content was mainly based on an unwritten constitution of the West, which created problems for the returning lawyers because they were to function within a federal structure that was based on the written Nigerian constitution. Upon return, a Nigerian lawyer could enrol as a barrister and solicitor because the Nigerian legal system imbedded both in the practice of law. In order to address the issues in the overseas training package of Nigerian lawyers, the government set up Unsworth committees that considered and recommended the future of the legal profession in Nigeria. The various reports of these
committees stressed the need for the local university training of lawyers. The recommendations of the said Unsworth Committee gave birth to the principal statutes needed to actualise the training and practice of legal practitioners in Nigeria (Mitee, 2008). Thus the recommendations of the Unsworth Committee culminated in the establishment of a law programme in Nigerian universities. The recommendations included the following:

- Nigeria should establish its own system of legal education
- A Faculty of Law should be established, first at the University College, Ibadan, and subsequently at any other university
- A law school, known as the Nigerian Law School, was to be established in Lagos to provide vocational training for legal practitioners in the roles of barrister and solicitor
- A Law degree should be a requirement for the practice of Law in Nigeria
- The qualification for admission to legal practice in Nigeria should be a law degree from any university whose course for the degree is recognised by the Council of Legal Education, and the vocational course prescribed by this Council
- Any person graduating in law from a university, who has not accepted the syllabus recommended by the Council of Legal Education, should be required to take further examination as the Council may prescribe
- A Council of Legal Education should be established (Mamman, 2010:2)

The Unsworth Committee’s report gave birth to the establishment of five Law faculties in five universities in Nigeria, namely the University of Nigeria, Nsukka; University of Ife (changed to Obafemi Awolowo University in 1987); University of Ibadan, Ibadan; Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; and the University of Lagos (The Unsworth Committee’s Report 1959). Today, an aspiring lawyer may choose to attend any of the NUC accredited universities either in Nigeria or in a foreign university (Oba, 2007:14).

The Nigerian Council for Legal Education approved a preconditioned law curriculum to be offered at the Nigerian law schools to law graduates. The universities produce law graduates who proceed to the Nigerian Law School for a minimum of one year of professional training (Akinseye-George, 2010:11). Law graduate refers to the law students that have just graduated from university before entering the law schools in Nigeria. Onalaja (1959) cited in Bukar (2013) explained that a uniform curriculum designed by the NUC and approved by the
Council of Legal Education is taught by all the Nigerian universities in order to maintain minimum academic standards. The legal education law courses were traditionally based in most law faculties in Nigerian universities (Bukar, 2013). The Council therefore insisted that the law curriculum must compulsorily include both law courses and non-law subjects. Gadzama (2013) explains that law students in Nigerian law faculties thus register for elective courses outside their faculties to complete the package. The courses are to be found in the Faculties of: Arts, Social Sciences, Management Sciences and Sciences. As it is expected that by the time law students complete the five academic years at the faculty of law, they will be equipped to handle the rigours of the Nigerian law school. The law courses include those identified in Table 1.3 as well as, English Law, English Courts system, English Legal Systems, Indigenous Law, Customary Law, and Private Law (Oba, 2007:14). On the other hand, the compulsory non-law subjects to study from other related disciplines are: The Use of English, the Use of Library, History and Philosophy of Science, Logic and Philosophic Thought, Nigerian People and Culture, Introduction to Computer and Application, Social Science and English Literature (Oduwole, 2012). These subjects must be passed before law students can be admitted into the Nigerian law schools.

Attending Nigerian law school is a requirement specified in Nigerian law for all law graduates who want to practice as professional lawyers. Admission into a Nigerian law school is also open to law students who have passed the final bar examinations of the English, Scottish or Irish Bar or the Solicitor's Final Examinations of England, Scotland or Ireland (Oba, 2007:14). The law students that graduated from foreign universities are admitted into a Nigerian Law School for two years, unlike law graduates from Nigerian universities who spend only one year at a law school. The foreign law student must first undergo the Bar Part I course which teaches four core subjects on aspects of Nigerian law i.e. Constitutional Law, Legal System, Criminal Law and Nigerian Land Law, before proceeding to the Bar final courses. Therefore, procedural courses that would equip prospective legal practitioners to enable them to practice as barristers and solicitors are taught: Civil Procedure, Criminal Procedure, Law of Evidence, Company Law and Commercial Practice, Legal Drafting and Conveyance, Legal Ethics; and Legal Office Management; Legal Practitioners Account; and Legal Skills at the law schools. The Nigerian Law School aims to teach law graduates the practical aspects of legal practice and procedure (i.e. court practice, criminal procedures, civil procedures, and so on) (Oba, 2007:14).
Those who choose not to practice as lawyers are exempted from attending Nigerian law schools. The exempted law graduates cannot practice as lawyers or appear in a court of law, and can only be employed as administrative lawyers (Oba, 2007:14). In order to maintain professional standards, the practice of law is typically overseen by either a government body or an independent regulating body such as the Nigerian Bar Association, Bar Council, or Law Society (Oba, 2007:14).

1.3.3 ICT infrastructure available in Nigerian Universities

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) consists of the hardware, software, networks, and media for the collection, storage, processing, transmission and presentation of information (voice, data, text, images), as well as related services (The World Bank, 2013). Popoola (2002:45) defines ICT infrastructure as “human regulation, telecommunications, information technology, government support and other information institutions”. Alzaidiyeen and Almwdiah (2012) explain that among all changes that are taking place in the world, the information and communication technology insurgency has had the biggest influence in the 21st century, which has transformed the world into a global village, due to ICT developments of the economic, industry, tourism, health, social development and educational sectors (Alzaidiyeen and Almwdiah, 2012:34) worldwide.

In the Nigerian context, Nworgu (2007) posits that the ICT industry in Nigeria has made a significant impact in the country, especially with the Federal Government’s Policy on ICT, which enables the Internet Service Providers (ISPs) and GSM operators to acquire licenses to establish ICT business. Therefore, both public and private sectors began to invest heavily in ICT business (Nwabueze and Ozioko, 2011). Nwabueze and Ozioko further explain that within a short period of three years, Nigeria witnessed a remarkable expansion in ICT resources and facilities. During this period many Nigerians obtained mobile phones and computers to gain access to electronic information resources and GSM facilities.

The education sector, particularly the universities in Nigeria are increasingly witnessing the rapid impact of ICT development, more so the university libraries, where users are able to electronically access modern library e-facilities and databases from their remote locations and within different libraries worldwide (Aina, 2004).
The incorporation of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in contemporary universities has been very recent in Nigeria. In 2003, the Nigerian government, just like the governments of other countries, started investing heavily in ICT in the educational sector (Idowu et al., 2003:69). To implement the use of information technology in education, the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) was established with a mandate to introduce information technologies that can be used within and between Nigerian universities (Speirs, 2010).

The Nigerian government has also invested in the provision of ICT infrastructure by way of establishing electronic information centres in universities for the benefit of all members of the academic community. Ogunsola (2004) identified the ICT infrastructure that is currently available in university libraries as: computer systems, Internet facilities, electronic journals, and electronic books. The telecommunications facilities have also been upgraded to include access to email facilities, wireless networks, and video conferencing (Ogunsola, 2004:2).

1.4 Statement of the problem
As alluded to in section 1.2.9 a lawyer needs to be able to search, retrieve, use and disseminate information on a regular basis. These are skills that are indispensable to the legal professional if he/she wants to serve their clients and be successful in their profession. Information is currently available in a much wider variety of formats than a few decades ago, which implies that the legal professional should be skilled in searching and accessing information from any of the available formats. The advent of ICTs changed the way in which information is stored and accessed, adding to the need for skills to find information using the electronic medium. Databases containing legal information, e-books on law related subjects, e-newspapers and other documents required by the legal professionals to perform their duties now abound on the Internet, and on off-line electronic sources such as CD-ROMs.

The skills required to search access and use the available information sources pertaining to the legal profession is normally acquired at university level, or as students in secondary schools, especially those from third world countries, who are not exposed to school libraries where these skills can be obtained. In Nigerian universities, each law faculty is equipped with a dedicated law library containing both print and electronic information sources aimed at
providing law students access to a wide variety of information sources that can be used to support their studies and also to prepare them for their chosen profession. Additionally, law students have access to a number of other information sources and services independent from the law libraries. They can use these additional sources, not only to find applicable information to assist with their academic work, but also to gain wider knowledge of the legal field.

According to Tuhumwire and Okello-Obura (2010) law libraries in public university libraries subscribe to various law databases which can be used by students for legal research and writing. Databases include, but are not limited to, Lexis-Nexis, Westlaw, Legalpedia, Compulaw and Ebscohost. These provide access to various law journals, law reports, and other text resources. Doherty (1998:5) established that the availability of databases in law libraries has made users’ (deans of law, law students, legal practitioners, etc.) academic and research work easier and more interesting as it allows the users to effortlessly access material for lecture preparation, additional reading and assignments. The ability to access and utilise the print and ICT sources that are available in law libraries, and other information services and sources, is crucial, because the information provided by them enables the student to pass all examinations, write assignments, do research and learn where to find and source relevant information.

There is currently a large volume of literature in the area of information behaviour, and the literature generally investigates professionals and students from diverse disciplines in Nigeria, the Western world and other regions and countries (e.g. Khan and Bhatti, 2012; Daniel, 2012:35; Savolaine, 2007:109; Tackie and Adams, 2007:69; Case, 2006:293; Kerins et al., 2004; Odusanya and Amusa, 2003:50; Wilson, 1997:551 and Folster, 1995:83). However, very few of them have specifically investigated the information behaviour of law students in all Nigerian university law libraries and none have given specific reference to ICT utilisation as an information seeking strategy.

The few studies already done in a Nigerian context were referred to in section 1.2.2 where it was indicated that Ogba (2013) studied the information seeking behaviour of final year law students in seven university law faculties located in South Western Nigeria. Akanwa and Aniche (2012) sought to establish the level of law library utilisation by law students, in order
to determine the level of organisation of the resources and services and the problems of finding information faced by law students of Imo State University. Lawal et al., (2012) examined the information literacy of aspiring barrister (law graduates) in Nigeria, while Ossai (2011) investigated the information seeking patterns of male and female law students at the University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria. Specifically, Ossai studied how law students acquire their information, what resources they use, and the purpose for which information was sought. However, these studies were limited in scope and context as they (Akanwa and Aniche, 2012; and Ossai, 2011) only investigated one university each and Ogba (2013:308), concentrated on seven universities in only one zone, i.e. the south-western part of Nigeria.

From the experience of this researcher as the head librarian in the Faculty of Law at the Adekunle Ajasin University, it was observed that law students do not seem to fully utilise the available ICT resources when searching for information in the law library. The researcher attributed this to a possible lack of skills to source information using these resources. The researcher also observed that it seemed as if the students had not been exposed to the core information retrieval tools required for the law profession, and therefore the students could not effectively find or retrieve the required information from the legal sources available in the law library. The law students also, on a regular basis indicated to the researcher that in their opinion, all the information they required could be found in their textbooks, or the information sources they had available at home or in their hostel rooms. It was further observed that the law library was used mainly as a reading place, or as a place to write assignments. This led the researcher to ask whether the same behaviour prevailed in other university law libraries in Nigeria.

Therefore, this study sought to bridge the gap by examining the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities with specific reference to their utilisation of the available ICT-based law resources within the law library environment.

1.5 Aim of the study

The aim of this study is to investigate the information behaviour of undergraduate law students in Nigerian universities with a particular focus on ICT use to obtain information.
1.6 Research objectives
The main objective of this study is to investigate the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

- To determine the purposes for which law students retrieve information in Nigerian university law libraries
- To investigate the library information resources available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries
- To examine how law students utilise information sources in Nigerian university law libraries, specifically ICT-based resources
- To determine the challenges faced by law students in the use of ICT in the process of information retrieval in Nigerian university law libraries
- To suggest a theoretical model or adaptation that would suit the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities.

1.7 Research questions
Based on the problem and objectives highlighted, the study seeks to answer the following research questions:

- What is the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries?
- What are the reasons for which Nigerian law students retrieve information in university law libraries?
- What information resources are available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries?
- What ICT sources are available for student use within the law libraries?
- How are Nigerian university law students utilising information resources, specifically, ICT-based resources in the process of information retrieval?
- What skills do law students possess to utilise ICT sources, and how was it obtained?
- What are the challenges faced by law students utilising ICT-based resources in the process of information retrieval?
1.8 Theoretical framework
In order to understand the processes that surround information behaviour, it is necessary to look at a variety of theories that have been proposed by information seeking behaviour authors, such as: Taylor, Wilson, Belkin, Dervin, Ellis, Cheuk, Kuhlthau, Davis et al., and Niedźwiedzka, among other proponents. For instance, while Taylor identifies visceral, conscious, compromised and formalised needs, Wilson proposes physiological, cognitive and effective needs; Belkin identifies Anomalous States of Knowledge; Dervin proposes Sense Making; Ellis mapped a behavioural framework, consisting of starting, chaining, browsing, differentiating, monitoring, extracting, verifying, and ending; Kuhlthau developed an information seeking process model; Cheuk likewise developed an Information Seeking and Use Process model; Davis et al. introduced a technology acceptance model of perceive use and perceived ease of use, while Niedźwiedzka created a new model of Information Seeking Behaviour based on Wilson’s work. These different information seeking behaviour models have contributed in no small measure to librarians’ understanding of library users’ information seeking behaviour. Niedźwiedzka’s model of information seeking behaviour, Wilson’s model of human information behaviour and Davis et al.’s technology acceptance model (TAM) were adopted for the foundation upon which this study is based. The variables which this study borrowed from those three models are information behaviour, reason for searching for or using information, resource availability, and utilisation. TAM applied perceived use (PU) and perceived ease of use (PEOU) to study the intention to use and actual system use behaviour and is directly linked to behavioural intention based on perceived usefulness and attitudes towards using the computer system (Davis, 1989). As attitudes toward the system are dependent on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, three external variables could have influence on PU and PEOU, which entailed the individual’s perceived skills, situational constraints and the kind of ICT available (Charnkit, 2010:59). Davis et al.’s model (TAM) contributes to knowledge of how users perceive new technologies and the influence on their use of electronic resources. Morris and Dillon (1997:58) explain that TAM is an effective and cost effective tool for predicting end user acceptance of systems.

Scholars, researchers and students from various disciplines are using various ICT tools and digital technologies to perform their daily activities and to support other peoples’ information
Scholars (Davis, 1989; Doll et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2006) have also studied the dependability and rationality of TAM in numerous technologies and systems. The report from these studies found that TAM was a reliable and valid model to predict and explain human behaviour regarding acceptance of ICT and information systems (Charnkit, 2010:58). The Technology Acceptance Model thus provides an effective and consistent rationale that predicts how users accept and use the new technologies (Davis, 1989; Davis et al., 1989). TAM is also used to measure technology acceptance (King and He, 2006:740) and it foresees users’ acceptance based on their ability to perceive it as useful and easy to use for the required purposes (Davis 1989:320).

The perceived usefulness according to Davis is the point when users believe that utilising a particular system could improve their job performances (Davis, 1989:320). Several studies have replicated Davis’s original study (Davis 1989) to provide realistic evidence on the relations that exist between perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use and computer system use (Venkatesh and Davis, 2000; Hendrickson et al., 1993; Segars and Grover 1993:517; Subramanian 1994; Szajna 1994; Adams et al., 1992). Adams et al. (1992) replicated the work of Davis (1989) to ascertain the validity and reliability of TAM instrument and measurement scales. Adams also extended it to different settings using two different samples; the result established the internal consistency and replication reliability of the two scales. Venkatesh and Davis (2000) extended the original TAM model to explain perceived usefulness and intention for use, in terms of social influence and cognitive instrumental processes such as, job relevance, output quality, result demonstrability and perceived ease of use. The extended model tagged “TAM2” was also tested in two different settings using both voluntary and mandatory settings. The report from the Venkatesh and Davis extended model also confirmed TAM2 (Venkatesh and Davis, 2000:186). Additionally, researchers are also showing interest in using TAM to research the use of modern technologies like, mobile phones, electronic libraries and Internet technology. These studies showed that TAM is concerned with personal computer usage and application software usage such as, E-mail, Word processing programs and Windows operation systems (Doll et al., 1998; Chau, 1996). The validity of TAM’s questionnaire instrument were also tested, the study found high reliability and good test-retest reliability that had predictive validity for intent to use, self-reported usage and attitudes towards use (Hendrickson et al., 1993). These studies confirmed
the validity and reliability of Davis’ instrument to support its use with different populations of users and different software choices (Szajna, 1994:319). Al-Hajri and Tatnall (2007:36) investigated managers’ perceptions of Internet technology and their tendency to implement it in banking industries. This study employed Davis et al.’s technology acceptance model to investigate law students’ perceptions and use of the electronic sources in the selected twelve university law libraries in Nigeria.

1.9 Scope and limitations of the study
This section discusses the scope and limitation of the study in terms of the universities from which law students and key informants were selected.

1.9.1 Scope of the study
Due to the logistical problems of conducting research work in a vast country like Nigeria, the study was limited to twelve purposely selected university law libraries, under the assumption that the information behaviour of law students in all the 33 universities in Nigeria could be generalised from the information gathered from the twelve selected university law libraries. The thirteenth university was used in a pilot study. The criteria used to select these thirteen universities were based on the fact that the universities are those offering law as a course of study. In addition, all the universities offering law as a course of study which were supposed to be part of the participating universities, particularly those in the north-east and west, were ignored based on the fact that they are in an area currently ravaged and terrorized by the Boko-Haram insurgency. Due to this, the researcher only limited the selection of participating universities to areas where there are no terrorist activities. Table 1.4 below shows the stratification of Nigerian Universities based on zones and the states in which they are located.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambrose Alli University</th>
<th>South-South</th>
<th>Edo State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Benin, Benin-City</td>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>Edo State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calabar, Calabar</td>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>Cross River State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarawa State University, Keffi</td>
<td>North-Central</td>
<td>Nasarawa State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogi State University, Anyigba</td>
<td>North-Central</td>
<td>Kogi State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ilorin, Ilorin</td>
<td>North-Central</td>
<td>Kwara State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekiti State University Ado, Ekiti</td>
<td>South-West</td>
<td>Ekiti State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife</td>
<td>South West</td>
<td>Osun State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye</td>
<td>South-West</td>
<td>Ogun State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ibadan, Ibadan</td>
<td>South-West</td>
<td>Oyo State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba</td>
<td>South-West</td>
<td>Ondo State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.9.2 Limitations of the study

The limitation of this study is that samples were drawn from only the public universities that offer the discipline of law in Nigeria and may not represent the whole population of law students in Nigerian universities. This is because the private universities that offer law as a discipline in Nigeria were not included in this study, because of their low accreditation status. Out of the ten private universities that are offering law education in Nigeria, only four have provisional accreditation approval, while six were yet to be accredited by the Nigerian Council for Legal Education to operate the discipline of law. This is against the backdrop of the public universities that have full accreditation, provisional accreditation or pending approval to operate law education within the accredited universities. The second limitation is that law courses offered in some of the private universities were also yet to meet the standard requirements of the National Universities Commission (NUC) for accreditation of the curriculums. The third limitation is that private universities are not on the same level as the government established universities in terms of administrative structures, proper funding and adequate infrastructures, (equipment facilities, resources, staff, deans of law, training, staff qualification, etc.). The following Table 1.5 shows the list and location of all the private universities that offer the discipline of law in Nigeria.
Table 1.5: Approved private university law faculties and location in Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private Universities</th>
<th>States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afe Babalola University</td>
<td>Ado, Ekiti State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babcock University</td>
<td>Inishan, Ogun State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baze University</td>
<td>Abuja, Federal Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benson Idahosa University</td>
<td>Oka, Benin City Edo State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igbinedion University</td>
<td>Okada, Edo State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowen University</td>
<td>Iwo, Oyo State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent University</td>
<td>Abeokuta, Ogun State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Based on the above identified reasons, the researcher opted to investigate only twelve out of the public universities that have approval to offer law programs in Nigeria. Additionally, the researcher did not sample the first year (100L) law students in the twelve universities surveyed due to the curriculum structures of the law programs, which do not offer law subjects (except Legal Method) to first year law students. Most first year students take courses from various faculties in universities until their second year when they can focus only on the courses in their chosen discipline. Other public university law faculties were equally not sampled for this study because of their geographical location in Nigeria. For example, some of the universities that were located in the far northern part of Nigeria were not included; due to the current problems experienced in that region (religious fanatics have turned the region into a war zone). Also, some areas in the eastern and western parts of Nigeria were not included because their participation would require more travel and time, which would be too costly for the researcher. These reasons led the researcher to sample universities in areas that could be conveniently reached within the stipulated budget and time frame of the study.

1.10 Significance of the study

According to Ikoja-Odongo (2000:22), the importance of a study can be measured by the contributions that it makes to the people under investigation and the society as a whole. Knowledge of how ICT is used for information seeking can contribute to the educational
standard of lawyers in training, enhance their job performance in courts, and improve their role as lawyers in future practice. The study identified the level of ICT utilise and the challenges that law students faced, proposed strategies to improve awareness and the use of electronic information resources in university law libraries in Nigeria. The significance of this study to law students, law faculty members, university library managers, and the government is discussed below.

It is anticipated that this study will be useful in understanding why undergraduate law students of the surveyed universities have not been accessing electronic information sources in the law libraries and highlight the need to inspire the students to utilise the sources in the law libraries. It is envisaged that the study will also encourage the provision of effective ICT resources in law libraries, which could contribute to the increased usage of e-resources and legal databases among undergraduate law students. The early introduction of students to these valuable information sources can greatly contribute towards their success as legal practitioners.

By highlighting the existing ICT search skills of law students it is hoped that law librarians will take note of possible weaknesses in their user guidance programmes, which could lead to more focussed information literacy interventions that could improve the ability of the students to seek and retrieve information relevant to their academic needs in the law libraries.

The Law Faculties should also benefit, as the results could give an indication about the information sources students harness to find the information required to do their assignments, prepare for class and do their research projects. With this knowledge available lecturers can structure their topics such that the students will be able to access relevant and current information making use of a variety of information resources, and the law libraries could also adapt their information services to suit the identified information behaviour of the students.

It is hoped that the results of this study will assist the main university libraries, as the umbrella organisations under which the law libraries function, to take note of the specific information resources required by the law libraries to provide for the information needs of their clientele. It might also lead to increased funding allocations from the University Management to allow the law libraries to fulfil their mandate.
1.11 Ethical considerations
In research, ethics are a set of moral principles or values that guide the conduct of research. These include protecting participants from harm, obtaining informed consent, observing privacy and confidentiality of research participants/data, being honest and accurate with the data, and unbiased reporting and disseminating of research results (Lwoga et al., 2010:23). According to Leady (1997:116) research should not be conducted under circumstances that prevent the total disclosure of the purposes of the research to the participants. Issues of ethics arise primarily when specifying the research problem, formulating the research questions, and collecting, analysing and writing up the results of data (Creswell, 2003:62).

This study respected the confidentiality of individuals, the university community and the sampled law students. A written letter was delivered to the Vice Chancellors, University Librarians and the Deans of the law faculties of the various universities, asking permission to approach their undergraduate law students for the study. Every source used in this study was acknowledged and referenced. The assurance of confidentiality towards respondents (law students, law librarians and law teachers) was done; researcher ensured that the purpose of the study was fully explained to the respondents. The respondents gave their consent to be photographed when; they were informed about the camera intended to be used in recording their facial images in the offices of the key informants; in lecture halls when questionnaires were being administered to the law students and; during the ICT information retrieval test carried out on law students in cybercafés outside the universities.

1.12 Dissemination of research findings
The researcher intends to disseminate the findings of this study through local and international journal articles, conferences, seminars, and copies of the thesis. The findings will also be disseminated via group discussions in association with law librarians and staff from the law faculties.

1.13 Definition of key terms
This section provides the definitions of key terms that were used in this study.
1.13.1 Information and Communication Technology (ICT)
This refers to electronic tools that library users can use to easily and quickly access, gather and store information. The focus in ICT is primarily on the use of electronic processes, especially computers, for gathering, storing, and retrieving useful information.

1.13.2 Information needs
This refers to law students’ legal information requirements to write research assignments or pass their legal examinations. It also refers to legal professionals' desire to locate and obtain library information and/or electronic information to satisfy and resolve their legal research and writing problems.

1.13.3 Information seeking
Information seeking refers to the way in which law students search for information within law materials such as, books, journals and e-resources.

1.13.4 Information seeking behaviour
This refers to the attitudes exhibited by undergraduate law students and the efforts that they make to access legal information resources within the law library environment in Nigerian Universities. It is the sum of activities that law students engage in when identifying their legal information needs, searching for information, and retrieving the information.

1.13.5 Information utilisation
This is when the legal information that is received by the law students is applied in their academic activities and the extent to which the acquired information is used to meet their legal information needs. It also refers to the physical and mental acts involved in incorporating the legal information into the student’s existing knowledge.

1.13.6 Lawyer
The professional name of someone who advises people about laws, writes formal agreements, or represents people in a court of law.
1.13.7 Law librarian
A qualified member of staff who is in charge of the law library, with two degrees in two different professions, i.e. a law degree combined with a degree in librarianship.

1.13.8 Law library
A library consisting of legal material attached to the Law faculty under the management of the main university library, primarily for the use of law students, law teachers, and researchers. It houses processed, well-organised material that covers all subject areas of the law, as well as virtual or digital libraries that provide access to law databases and electronic information resources.

1.13.9 Law students
This refers to the undergraduate students in 100L-500L i.e. first to fifth year students studying law as a profession in Nigerian universities.

1.13.10 Legal education
This refers to the teaching and instruction of law courses and subjects at universities in Nigeria.

1.13.11 Legal information
Legal information refers to the legal material sourced by law students in Nigerian Universities in the course of their academic training. The knowledge acquired through legal information material or sources is essentially what transforms law students into practising lawyers.

1.13.12 Level of study
This indicates the year of study in the level (100L-500L) of education at the university or other tertiary education institutions in Nigeria. This level of study is the same as year of study e.g., student in 200L is the same as students in year two of their study.

1.13.13 Library
The university building that housed all the university’s print information materials on a variety of subjects and electronic information resources. The resources are arranged on
shelves in well-organised way to enable students and other readers to access them, with little or no expense on their part. The primary objective of the library, as used in this study, is to strategically plan, acquire, organise, process and disseminate its holdings for easy access to its users.

1.13.14 Organisation of the thesis
The thesis is organised into eight chapters.

Chapter 1: Introduction and research background
Chapter one lays the foundation for other chapters by providing the research background and an overview of the conceptual and contextual settings of the study, statement of the research problem, motivation of the study, and aim of the study. It also presents the research objectives, research questions, scope and limitations of the study, significance of the study, and ethical considerations of the study.

Chapter 2: Nigerian legal knowledge and information sources, systems and services
Chapter two provides an overview of legal knowledge and information sources, systems and services available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

Chapter 3: Information seeking behaviour of legal professionals and law students
Chapter three reviews related literature by other scholars under the following sub-headings: concept of legal information in Nigeria; the relevance of library information to law students, information sources and services in the legal discipline; ICT and services in the legal profession; information needs of law students in Nigerian universities; information seeking strategy of law students in Nigerian universities; ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian universities; law students’ utilisation of digital libraries; and the challenges of digital libraries in legal research and writing.

Chapter 4: Theoretical framework
Chapter four reviews the theoretical models of information seeking behaviour. It also specifies the theoretical framework of the study; the models of human information behaviour on which the study is based.

Chapter 5: Research design and methodology
Chapter five presents the research design and methodology of the study. The chapter provides a detailed explanation of the study design, the philosophical research perspectives of the study, research methods, area of study, research population, target population, sample and
sampling technique, research instruments, validity and reliability of the research instruments, pilot survey, and the procedure used for data collection.

**Chapter 6: Data presentation and analysis**

Chapter six presents the data analysis of the study. This examines responses collated from the respondents during the field survey in order to interpret the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

**Chapter 7: Discussion of findings**

Chapter seven discusses the findings of research from all the instruments employed, providing an overview of the information seeking behaviour and the ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

**Chapter 8: Summary, conclusions and recommendations**

Chapter eight provides the summary and conclusion, with a theoretical model adapted for the information seeking process and use that addresses the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities with reference to ICT usage. The chapter also presents recommendations for further research on the information seeking behaviour of the students.

**1.14 Summary**

This chapter introduced the conceptual and contextual setting of the study. Concepts related to the legal profession and information behaviour have been discussed. The Nigerian law education environment was contextualised, and the history of legal education in Nigeria, and the ICT infrastructure available in Nigerian university libraries were discussed. The research problem, aim of the study, research objectives, research questions of the study, the scope and limitations of the study, the significance of the study and the theoretical framework upon which the study was built were also provided. The chapter also presented the research ethical considerations; dissemination of the research findings and definition of the key terms. The next chapter provides a detailed discussion on the available law-related information sources, systems and services available to both law students and legal professionals in Nigeria.
CHAPTER TWO

NIGERIAN LEGAL KNOWLEDGE AND INFORMATION SOURCES, SYSTEMS AND SERVICES

2.1 Introduction

Law students need to actively use library information services and all other available information services in order to meet their information requirements and achieve their educational goals. Although, Igbeka (1995:99) states that library systems and information centres are the best agents to provide legal information sources and services, other information service opportunities can also be used to provide information. In order to achieve educational success, all information service providers must be optimised. According to Watt and John (2009:2), law students need to be well groomed in research skills because of their future role as lawyers, and in the words of King George III: “A lawyer is not the one that knows the law, but the one who knows where to find law” (Watt and John, 2009:2).

This study sought to investigate the information behaviour and ICT utilisation of law students in Nigerian universities. This involved identifying the patterns of information seeking, how the information is used, and how the available information sources such as computers, the Internet, databases, and other tools are used to find information. Lawal et al., (2012) and Ossai (2011) explain that in order for law students to adopt and use new technologies such as ICT, they must be conversant with the use of these technologies. This chapter provides background information on legal knowledge information sources, systems and services that can be found in Nigerian universities and in the country.

2.2 Information sources in the legal discipline

Information sources include formal and informal resources, human resources, and print and electronic resources that can be accessed by users to meet their information requirements (Wachira and Onyancha, 2012; Declan, 2011; Kaye, 1995:16). Legal information sources can either be primary or secondary sources. Primary sources are the sources from which legal principles originate, while secondary sources are descriptions of/or commentaries on the law, often explaining the meaning of and providing references to primary sources of law (Dina et al., 2013 and Lawal et al., 2012). Thurgood Marshall Law Library Guide to Legal Research (2014) explain that the primary legal sources are of two categories: legislation
(statutes and regulations), and case law (the decisions of courts and administrative tribunals). Primary legal resources are the products of official bodies (legislature) that have the authority to make and amend laws, which can affect the legal rights of citizens e.g. statutes, acts, rules and regulations, law reports, judgements, court orders, administrative tribunal reports, and so on (Thurgood Marshall Law Library Guide to Legal Research, 2014).

In Nigeria, government agencies (government printers) are mainly responsible for publishing law reports. Law reports are also published by individuals on a commercial basis. However, some law reports by individuals are not regularly published due to the high cost of producing the law reports. There are also other primary court publications that address legal matters, such as court rulings and judgement law reports, reports of commissions of enquiries, legal research and writing reports, and published court cases, among others.

Secondary legal sources can be an excellent starting point for legal study because they provide a broad overview of the law (Dina et al., 2013). Secondary legal information sources are background resources that have no power to affect the legal rights of citizens, and are cited for their informative value. Secondary sources include law textbooks, law journals, encyclopaedias, dictionaries and electronic sources, many of which are written by Nigerian academia, the bench, and members of the Bar (Dina et al., 2013).

The list of primary and secondary law reports that have been published over the years is exhaustive, as attested to by Dina et al., (2010). Researchers such as Lawal et al., (2012) and Ossai (2011:1) have established that in addition to legal information sources that are indigenous to Nigeria, Nigerian law libraries also store a wide range of globally available legal information sources like: Administrative Regulations, Aviation Legislation, Civil Procedure Law Library Court, Commercial Law Reports, Constitutional Law Reports, Court Precedents, Current Law Annotations, Dictionary of Legal Words and Phrases, England Law Reports and Estate Planning.

2.3 Legal information services available in Nigerian academic law libraries
A law library is a facility that has been specially created for law users to search, access, and use the legal information sources that have being acquired, processed, and organised. This
library, as explained by Ajidahun (2010), provides legal professionals (legal practitioners, scholars, researchers, law teachers and law students) with quality information sources and services, such as relevant law books, journals and periodicals. The law library is responsible for the operational and strategic management of its user services, promoting law library services, and implementing the library’s mission. Runyon (2009) explains that law libraries are a dynamic and responsive force in the educational activities of universities and their associated law schools. According to Iwhiwhu and Okorodudu (2012) the library is expected to be proactive, vibrant and be abreast of the latest developments in information dissemination to maintain relevance and keep up with the multifarious needs and expectations of law library users. It is therefore important for the law library to be able to provide services and facilities that meet the information needs of its users.

Academic law libraries are established as a unit of university libraries and based within, or in a separate building near, the law faculty in universities that offer a law degree programmes (Tice, 2011: 159; American Association Law Library, 2006:2). As an appendage of the law faculty, the academic law library plays a very important role in support of the teaching, learning and research activities of its users within and outside university campuses (American Association Law Library, 2006:2). University law libraries are meant to be used by faculty members, law students, students from other faculties in the university, and members of the public that need to use the law collection. However, non-law students and others are not permitted to use the law libraries as a place for general study (Indiana University Maurer School of law, 2013).

The Council for Legal Education (CLE) in Nigeria mandated that academic law libraries should be well stocked with both print and electronic information resources to meet NUC and CLE requirements (NUC, 2011).

Lawal et al., (2012:27) explain that the Council of Legal Education (CLE) and the Nigerian Universities Commission (NUC) have created standards in terms of the quantity and quality of legal materials, facilities, and human and electronic resources that can be found in academic law libraries. The required standards include: backlog and current law materials; spacious reading areas; and a separate electronic or digital library. Academic law libraries
are therefore designed according to the National University Commission’s guidelines for establishing libraries in institutions of higher learning in Nigeria (Sections 19a and 19b). At its core, the aim of the university law library is to provide law students with legal information required to complete their studies and impart to them the necessary skills to conduct library information searches. The law library thus nurtures quality legal education through the provision of legal material that covers the university law curriculum (Ekiti State University, 2013).

A wide variety of both primary and secondary sources are available within academic law libraries. Sources include professional law digests, indexes, abstracts, monographs, legal databases, correspondence, newsletter/bulletins, Online Public Access Catalogue (OPAC), card catalogue, law reports, law reference materials, statutes, acts, decrees, research articles, theses, research reports, government publications, bibliographies, law dictionaries, encyclopaedias, pamphlets and past examination papers that are kept in the reserve sections of the libraries. These information sources can be available in both print and electronic format. This allows for access to the sources both by way of a physical visit to the library, or via remote access. However, remote access to the e-resources is currently mainly available on campus. Dina et al., (2013) identified some of the legal publications (print and electronic formats) that can be commonly found in academic law libraries to include: Nigerian law reports 1947-current, Nigerian Supreme Court of Judgements, Court of Appeal law reports, Nigerian Weekly law reports, Nigerian Constitutional law reports and Nigerian criminal law reports.

Some of the services rendered within the academic law library include the identification of potentially useful information sources, the organisation and maintenance of the collection of law subject materials to facilitate legal research and writing, and the dissemination of information to legal professionals, law students and other users. Reference services are provided to law students, academic staff, scholars, and researchers that require legal information. Law libraries also provide other relevant information services that enable users to easily access processed and organised legal information. These services include the Online Public Access Catalogue (OPAC), users’ services, bibliographic services, current awareness services, document delivery, interlibrary loans, audio visual services, customer
relations services, reserved areas, computer and (wireless) Internet facilities, e-mail facilities, telephone services, scanning and facsimile services, photocopying services, and bindery services (Oluwasemilore, 2013; Law Library: University of Lagos, 2013). Facilities provided to enable readers to work in comfortable conditions in law libraries include library equipment such as reading tables and chairs, library space, fans, air conditioners, lighting, ventilation, and study carrels (Law Library: University of Lagos, 2013).

In order to facilitate the optimal utilisation of library services and information sources and to teach and enable students to find and retrieve information sources themselves, user education is provided in most universities (Law library: University of Lagos, 2013). A service that is less common in academic law libraries is the practice of resource sharing, also known as inter-library lending. Ejedafiru (2010) and Ali et al., (2010) lament the lack of resource sharing, even though its importance is acknowledged by the majority of law librarians. The most common form of inter-lending that is currently available takes the form of photocopying the required sources, and presenting the copies to the user. Although ICT are becoming more available within libraries, no plans currently exist to use them for the purposes of resource sharing (Ejedafiru, 2010).

The introduction of ICT into the service environment heralded new innovative web-based services such as online information requests or reference services. Library and information services thus provide law students with a set of technology and library resources to serve the mission of the academic staff and students in general (Kruba and Osawaru, 2011).

Most law libraries provide computer workstations for law students to access the library catalogue and other legal sources available in the electronic library. However, Internet availability is not common in libraries due to factors such as the lack of a stable electricity supply to power the ICT and poor telecommunications facilities (Ololube et al., 2009). Some law libraries are not connected to the Internet due to inadequate funding to subscribe to the Internet. If the law library is connected to the Internet, access to the databases can be either free of charge (meaning fees have already been embedded into the students’ school fees), or the students have to purchase airtime to gain access to the Internet.
A law database is a collection of legal files, law reports, court case and court judgements kept together within a computer system that can be accessed online by way of ICT-based electronic devices. According to Thanuskodi (2009), ICT-based resources that are currently available in the legal discipline include CD-ROM databases, online local and international databases, as well as audio visual and multimedia collections. Additional ICT-based resources may include the Internet, micro films, microfiche, electronic books (e-books), and e-journals. Subscription to legal databases depends on the availability of funding and ICT infrastructure. According to Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose (2010:109), “A law database contains all the laws, cases, indexes, and materials that legal practitioners and law students can access at a very high speed without any stress.”

The databases that are utilised in Nigerian academic law libraries are mostly the same as those found in the universal law libraries. In addition to these databases, most academic law libraries subscribe to one or more general law databases. Ossai (2011) identified legal research and writing databases to include: Westlaw; Lexis-Nexis; Legalpedia; Compulaw, Law Pavilion, Hein Online and Ebscohost.

2.3.1 Westlaw database
Westlaw is a key online legal proprietary database service provider to lawyers and legal professionals. This database contains more than 40,000 databases (Wheeler, 2011:361) that feature full-text, case-law sources, state and federal statutes, legislation, administrative codes, newspaper and magazine articles, law journals, law reviews, treatises, legal forms and other information resources from the United Kingdom (UK), (McKnight, 1997:189)

2.3.2 Lexis-Nexis
LexisNexis contains full-text content of legal and public records from the American and Canadian law reviews as well as other jurisdictions, especially the UK. It presents judgments made in USA and UK courts, and in some other jurisdictions (LexisNexis Academic, 2003). It is an online academic research database that law faculties and law students use to find legal information (LexisNexis Legal and Professional, 2003). LexisNexis law database sources include:

- Shepard’s Citations for all U.S. Supreme Court cases back to 1789
2.3.3 Compulaw Database
Aderant Compulaw Holdings (2013) explain that Compulaw is a legal database that offers the most complete, comprehensive, and detailed legal rules. Compulaw offers legal authority for federal, state and supreme courts, appellate court, federal high court, and other courts. Information is also provided on topics such as appellate, arbitration, bankruptcy, eminent domain, family law, federal capital city, federal and international intellectual property, judges’ rules, local rules, probate, tax code, and workers’ compensation (Aderant Compulaw Holding, 2013).

2.3.4 Legalpedia database
Legalpedia is the most comprehensive digital repository of Nigerian legal resources with super-fast search engine that grant one access to about 4000 Supreme Court cases. More so, it is the most comprehensive legal electronic library software solution in Nigeria, with a super-fast search engine that significantly reduces the time and cost of conducting legal research and writing. With its extremely easy-to-use software, it provides quick access to a
collection of supreme court cases, court of appeal cases (1960 to date), laws, rules of courts, articles, dictionaries, and Latin maxims (Super shareware, 2013). Legalpedia is a device that eliminates inefficiencies by providing quick access to legal information, and stresses that legal professionals provide efficient legal services when they use the Legalpedia database to find legal information (Legalpedia, 2010).

Law students are also provided with access to a number of databases that are not directly related to their field of study, but which might be useful in gaining information on diverse topics to prepare for cases, databases such as Academic Search; African Journals Online; AGRICOLA (Agricultural Online Access; Analytical Abstracts); Anthropological Index Online; AULIMP (Air University Library’s Index to Military Periodicals); and BASE (Bielefeld Academic Search Engine). These databases might not always be available within the academic law library, but can be accessed from the main university library’s databases.

2.4. University Libraries
University library collections normally consist of books, reference materials, archives, journals, electronic sources and resources, and a host of other collections. The collections of these libraries are normally determined by the different types of courses, disciplines and professional programmes offered by the university. In addition to academic law libraries, law students can also seek information from the university’s main libraries, especially when the electronic resources are inadequate or unavailable in law libraries. All databases subscribed to by the university libraries are available free of charge to students, including law students. There are also useful Nigerian university library websites that students can use to access both local and international legal information sources. For instance, the NUC Virtual Library is an open access educational website created for Nigerian higher institutions of learning which can be accessed through assigned usernames or university passwords.

2.5 National Universities commission virtual library
The National Universities Commission (NUC) established a virtual library for the benefit of academic institutions (universities, polytechnics, and colleges of education, mono-technics, and other institutions of higher learning) in Nigeria. This e-library provides access to information sources such as Hein Online, EBay, Westlaw and Lexis-Nexis. A new user
requires a valid username and password, which can be obtained from the university librarian. Passwords are also supplied to staff and postgraduate students. Most virtual library resources are Internet Protocol (IP) based resources, which can be accessed once users are within the university’s IP range, thus restricting access to within the library or on campus.

The NUC established the virtual library with the goal of ensuring that academics and students in every field of knowledge in Nigeria have access to the most current publications in their fields to facilitate teaching, learning and research. The NUC recommended the provision of personal computers to all academic staff members as well as students to facilitate access to the virtual library from offices and libraries. Most universities in Nigeria have achieved the recommended distribution of computers to faculty academic staff. In contrast, while a distribution ratio of one computer to every four students has been recommended, this has not yet been achieved. Despite some challenges, university libraries in Nigeria are taking enormous strides to build campus-wide-area networking and e-learning course delivery systems (Agyeman, 2007:7).

2.6 Internet

Internet is the world's largest computer network that connects computer terminals through wires or telephone lines in a web of network sharing software. With the appropriate tools, individuals can access huge quantities of information, searching subjects and databases on the numerous computers that are connected to the Internet, or one can also communicate with another person anywhere in the world, so far as the person has the right tools (Slice and Dice Data, 2007).

Generally speaking, different tools/devices (computer/laptop, modem or mobile phone) may be used to access information from the Internet. These devices vary according to the users, the purposes and the places where information is sought. In libraries, the computer is commonly the device used to connect to the Internet, while modems and mobile phones are commonly used by individual users in their homes and other places outside the libraries. However, in the Nigerian university library context, computer systems are used to connect to the Internet, in which case, students are allowed to come into the libraries and use the computer provided for the purpose of searching the Internet for information. Some libraries
also provide laptops for students’ use within the libraries. Depending on the policy of the particular library, students may borrow the laptops for use in the library for limited time periods to enable other users to use the same laptops. Additionally, students or other users are allowed to come into the libraries with their laptops to use wireless connections or Internet connection ports that are strategically located in libraries.

Internet access is available on all campuses, mostly through wireless connections. The Internet provides a myriad of information sources that might be of use to a law student, although some, such as African Studies, First Search Unified Catalogue of Member Libraries’ Catalogues (OCLC), JSTOR, and SABINET Online for Government Gazettes and Bills (MTN Foundation Universities Connect Library Users’ Manual, 2013) are subscription based. The Law Library (www.nigeria-law.org/LawLibrary.htm) which has digital material on all aspects of Nigerian law, and the Doing Business website (http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library) has the largest free online collection of business law and regulations in the world that covers Nigeria among other countries.

There are free online legal databases, examples of such online databases include: Nigeria Law Reports; Monthly Judgments of the Supreme Court of Nigeria; Western Nigeria Law Reports; Directory of Open Access Journals; and Laws of the Federation of Nigeria (LFN). The websites maintained by organisations outside Nigeria also host large numbers of Nigerian legal information sources, such as the Library of Congress website (Library of Congress, 2010).

2.7 Other available information systems and services
In addition to academic law and university libraries, Nigeria has a large variety of information systems and services that are at the disposal of any potential information seeker. Law students can use their personal information resources; visit business centres, cybercafés, or professional law libraries; interact with their friends and colleagues; and use mass media channels such as daily newspapers, the radio or television.
2.7.1 Personal information sources
Personal information sources refer to the law students’ use of their own material or resources to search for information. These sources include personal computers or laptops, law textbooks, and mobile phones. Law students are likely to use their own information sources if access to law library information sources is denied. This is especially the case with electronic information resources.

2.7.2 Court libraries
Court libraries are attached to law courts in Nigeria and exist to meet legal professionals and judges’ information requirements. Librarians and other library staff members of court libraries actively work to facilitate quick and easy access to relevant legal information, not only to the judges in the administration of court cases, but also to lawyers appearing before the court, law graduate students during court attachment training, and other users of the libraries. Law libraries are attached to the Supreme Court of Nigeria, Court of Appeal, Federal High Court, State High Courts, and Magistrate Courts (Jegede, 1985:229). The High Court in different states in Nigeria also holds collections of several volumes of legal material. For instance, the High Court of Lagos State holds a collection of 600,000 volumes (Encyclopaedia of the Nation, 2013). Jegede identified the following law libraries in Nigeria:

• Federal Court libraries (Supreme Court library, Appeal Court library, and Federal High Court library)
• State High Court library
• Law School library
• Practitioners library
• Federal law library
• State Department law library
• Libraries of Legislatures

The specialist law libraries are the oldest type of law library in Nigeria, serving commercial firms, courts of justice, and government departments; legal practitioner’s libraries, which target practicing barristers and solicitors; the Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies Library; and the Nigerian Council of Legal Education Library (Ifebuzor, 1994:39).
2.7.3 Private law libraries

Every practicing lawyer has a law library at their disposal, as law firms also keep valuable legal information resources in their private law firms for their legal practice and for the use of junior lawyers in their employ. These kinds of libraries are also classified as law libraries (Jegede, 1985:233). They are normally available to students attending law school and to candidate lawyers doing their practical year at law firms (Lawal et al., 2012). The author observed that there are prominent lawyers, whose law libraries are comparable to government law libraries, including those of ‘Gani Fawehinmi Law Firm’, ‘Rotimi William Law Chambers’, and ‘Sasegbon Law Firm’, among others. Although, the law chambers belong to private legal practitioners, the chambers have good law libraries comparable to government or university law libraries in Nigeria, having qualified librarians employed to manage the print information sources and the electronic resources within the libraries. This researcher is aware that the Gani Fawehinmi Law Firm provides access to legal information to all users at no cost.

2.7.4 National and Governmental libraries in Nigeria

The National Library of Nigeria has more than one million information sources, including about 35,000 United Nations documents, as well as the National Information and Documentation Centre (Encyclopaedia of the Nations, 2013), making it the largest depository of knowledge in the country. The information sources available in this collection are mainly used by government officials to assist them with policy making. Federal and state government departments have libraries in their respective capitals. National libraries are also found in all state government headquarters. Most government departments also display information sources on their websites. The International Centre for Nigerian Law also has access to some Nigerian legislation and case law (Dina et al., 2013).

2.7.5 Bookstores

Bookstores are available in most of the universities that offer law. Many of these bookstores sell academic and law textbooks, while some also sell journals from various disciplines. Additionally, there abound online bookstores where law students can purchase books or journals, such as Walahi.com (www.walahi.com); Kalahari.com (www.kalahari.com.ng); Laterna (www.laternabooks.com); and Debonair (Naira land Forum, 2013).
2.7.6 Nigerian Newspapers
Newspapers in both print and electronic format are freely available in universities and law libraries. According to the Europe World Yearbook (2012), Nigeria has 25 daily newspapers, 8 Sunday newspapers, and 9 weeklies covering a wide range of topics. Most of these newspapers also have electronic versions which are available on a website e.g. http://www.onlinenewspapers.com. Some of these newspapers are printed in English and a smaller number are available in local vernacular. Some Nigerian newspapers publish current legal information on a regular basis. For instance, The Guardian, The Daily Times, This Day (Tuesdays), and Vanguard serve as a rich source of legal information in Nigeria. They have a comprehensive section devoted to law reports and other legal matters, such as unreported cases, rulings or court judgments.

2.7.7 Journals
A law journal is a scholarly periodical that focuses on legal issues and is generally published by an organisation of scholars and law students in law faculties, law schools or bar associations. The purpose of the law journal is to publish scholars’ work in the field of law. Law journals publish extensive, comprehensive articles, written by law professors, judges, or legal practitioners, as well as shorter pieces, commonly referred to as notes and comments, written by student members of the law journal. Law journal articles are often reports of law specialists or experts on current legal problems and possible solutions to the problems. Law journals are frequently cited as a convincing authority in court (Mosk, 1998:62).

In Nigeria, law faculty members generally cover all facets of the law in their journal publications, and name the journal after the particular university’s law faculty (e.g. Adekunle Ajasin University, Faculty of Law Journal, 2011). Some law faculties publish special journals on specific subject areas of the law in addition to, or in the place of a general law journal, for example: The Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Law Journal; International Law Journal; Environmental Law Journal; and Human Rights Law Journal. There are also a number of journals focusing on court cases, research work, statutory, regulatory and public policy issues, such as the Journal of Legislation and Public Policy. Other than the law faculties’ respective law journals, the following law journals are commonly found on academic law library shelves: Journal of the Nigerian Association of

With the emergence of electronic information, many law journal publishers worldwide are now publishing the content of their law journal articles online in addition to print issues. For instance, Harvard Law Review Forum, Stanford Law Review Online, and Columbia Law Review offer online legal scholarship, analysis, and commentary. Some law journals no longer even publish printed journals, choosing to publish their law journal content only online. Many electronic law journals can be accessed as open access journals, which academic staff and law students can access without having to pay any fees. The online resources through which law students can access information without paying any fees are: National University Common Virtual Library, African Digital Library, and Directory of Open access Journals and E-journal.org.

2.8 Summary
This chapter explained the contextual setting of the research; it reviewed literature on legal knowledge, information sources, systems and services in Nigerian university law libraries and the other information systems and services available to law students. The reviewed literature also identified various avenues, such as, electronic information resources, law libraries and the university main libraries through which legal information sources and services can be tapped in Nigeria.

This chapter brought to light several significant points; it expressed the existence of a large number of printed primary and secondary law sources published by both Nigerian and international authors. It also revealed the presence of numerous electronic sources and resources, law databases, both foreign and local, and Internet facilities. The chapter has also shown the different information sources in the legal discipline and a countless host of other information facilities in close proximity to the law students, such as the main university libraries, private law libraries, court libraries, National Universities Commission Virtual library, Nigerian National Libraries, and other information dissemination bearing outfits that law students can use to access legal information.
The next chapter reviews related literature on the information seeking behaviour of legal professionals and law students as explored by other scholars.
CHAPTER THREE
INFORMATION SEEKING BEHAVIOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSIONALS AND LAW STUDENTS

3.1 Introduction
Law libraries, under the management of university libraries, provide distinct legal information resources that law students and other law professionals can use in their quest to acquire legal information and knowledge. Law libraries do not just collect legal information sources and resources; they are responsible for various tasks that match the legal information needs of law students and other users of the law libraries.

The organisation of a review of literature may vary from discipline to discipline. A literature review could be a self-contained unit, an end in itself or a preface to a scholarly work, and the reason for conducting the research. Also a literature review is a required part of the grant and research proposal and it is often a chapter in theses and dissertations. The purpose of the literature review is to analyse a segment of a published body of knowledge, summary, classify, and compare the previous research studies, review literature and theoretical articles (University of Wisconsin System, 2014).

The aim of writing a literature review is to convey knowledge and ideas that have been established on a topic and to identify what their strengths and weaknesses are (Taylor, 2008). Kadli and Kumber (2013:951) explain that a literature review’s purpose is to provide the background to, and justification for the research study. A literature review requires the ability to: conduct exhaustive bibliographic searches; organise the collected data meaningfully; describe, critique and relate each source to the subject; and present the organised review logically (Taylor, 2008). Lastly, it is also very important to correctly cite all the reviewed sources (Kadli and Kumber, 2013:951).

Taylor (2008) explains that the aim of a literature review is not only to provide a descriptive list of the available material or a set of summaries of scholarly works that are related to the current research work, but also to synthesize scholars’ views regarding a particular area of research. Kadli and Kumber (2013:951) argue that a literature review is conducted in research studies to ensure a thorough understanding of the topic; identify potential and similar works done in an area of study; and to identify knowledge gaps and the methods that are appropriate to the study. According to Taylor (2008), a literature review must:

- Be organised and relate directly to the research question;
• Synthesise results into a summary of what is and is not known;
• Identify areas of controversy in the literature; and
• Formulate questions that need further research.

The current study reviewed related literature on the information seeking behaviour of legal professionals, legal information, information behaviour and the need for information literacy among law students, ICT used by law students and the challenges faced by both academic law libraries and law students in Nigerian universities.

3.2 The importance of legal information

The concept of ‘legal information’ can best be understood if the term ‘information’ is clearly defined. As observed by Losee (1997:254), the word ‘information’ has being defined, understood and interpreted differently across various disciplines, vocations and professions. Quite a number of these interpretations of information focus on decision making and attempts to get meaning to into things that assist man to understand the environment. Therefore, Dervin and Nilan (1986:16), for example, define information to be “something constructed by human beings” to assist in not only in decision making but also an attempt to construe meaning to life. Opeke (1993) likewise views information to be a vital element in decision-making. Folorunsho and Ibrahim (2005:54) extrapolate on this view, defining information to be a fact, an opinion or an idea from any source that lends support to adequate life decision making. Walonick (2010) also asserts that the meaningful use of information could lead to better informed decisions and a better choice of actions in the future.

Ajiboye and Tella (2007:41) in their study of university undergraduate students’ information seeking behaviour, conceive information as something that students need during their studies when they construct meaning about their subjects in their learning process. Whereas, Mostert (2004:114) describes information as “a property of matter, any message, document, or information resource; any publicly available symbolic material; or data”, which can give useful meaning that can help to arrive at a decision. Mostert and Ocholla (2006:136) go on to state that information is “ideas, facts, and imaginative works of the mind and valuable data, which is potentially useful for decision making and the tools that answer questions that lead to a state of knowing”. The state knowing tend to make information to be a vital resources that everyone need to access for the social development and life oriented plans to succeed in ones chosen careers. As Das and Karn (2008:56) noted that, information is a vital
resource because it stimulates the educational development of the society, leading to a situation where the people are well informed and well aware of right and wrong in a society. With relevant information, people in various professional groups such as lawyers, engineers, and health professionals make concrete decisions that help them to efficiently perform their daily activities.

In the legal profession, information is fundamental in helping legal professionals to fulfill their legal obligations to clients. Legal information is equally important to ordinary people in society because law is supposed to be understood and used by everybody, including non-lawyers (Khan and Bhatti, 2012; Otike, 1999:19). The United Nations: World Summit on the Information Society, held in Geneva in 2003 and Tunis in 2005, declared that with the constant growth of information resources and the corresponding growth of the information needs of different groups of people in society, information is now the key to global development (United Nations: World Summit of the Information Society, 2005). From the above it is clear that access to, and the use of information, is paramount for the legal professional and the law student alike. On a daily basis informed decisions need to be made that can either influence the outcome of a court case, or a student’s academic performance. Depending on the kind of decisions taken by the legal professional or law student, an individual or a society can be either negatively or positively impacted.

Dada (2011) examined law librarianship and legal research in the digital age, empirical studies of Anderson, (2009) studied the law students’ information seeking behaviour in law libraries, and Ogunfolu (2006:264) investigated legal research and the use of source materials. These studies emphasized the importance of legal information sources in legal practice and law students and termed the law libraries as the ‘laboratory’ of the legal profession. In the words of Woxland (1989:456), “The law library is to the lawyer what the laboratory is to the scientist and the museum is to the naturalist.” In Anderson, the study made a call for return of law library as a "laboratory" for legal education. Based on these, law students in Nigeria and their contemporaries from around the world should be impelled to familiarise themselves with law libraries in order to be able to effectively source relevant and current information, both in print and electronic formats in order to meet their educational goals, and also for their future practice in client counselling and representation.
3.3 Information behaviour and the need for information literacy among law students

Information needs can be conscious or sub-conscious. On the conscious level such a need can act as an activator to actively search for information. In his book “Looking for information: A survey of research on information seeking, needs, and behaviour” Case (2007:72) provides an in-depth insight into what constitutes an information need. According to Case, an information need refers to the recognition that one’s knowledge is inadequate to carry out a particular function, goal or plan. An information need is thus an individual or group’s desire to locate and obtain information to satisfy a conscious or unconscious need (Taylor, 1962:391). Belkin and Croft (1992:29) explain that the need for information originates from the problem identified and that the efforts to seek a solution to resolve the problem give rise to information seeking. The conscious information needs of law students are closely related to the demands of the academic environment in which they operate, which require from these students to prepare for lectures, find information to assist them to complete assignments, or to participate in activities normally associated with legal studies such as moot courts and law clinic. By recognising the gap in their knowledge on a topic they normally react by actively interrogating information services or sources in order to achieve the desired academic outcome.

Khan and Bhatti (2012:1) surveyed the information needs and seeking behaviour of law faculty members of the University of Peshawar and its affiliated law colleges in Pakistan, and found that the majority of the law faculty members were not satisfied with the sources, resources, services and IT facilities provided by the college law libraries. Otike and Mathew (2000:241) studying the legal information needs of lawyers in Kenya found that lawyers need information to fulfil their legal obligations to their clients in case preparation. To fulfil these needs, they seek assistance from the court library, district bar library, or from collections in their own firms or other sources.

In the context of law students, their specific information needs arise in the course of their studies. Odusanya and Amusa’s (2003:50) survey identified four aspects of education related information needs that can be used to infer law students’ information needs in Nigerian university campuses. They are: current information on research findings on law emanating from conferences, seminars, and workshops; information related to pursuance of innovative ideas or exploratory knowledge to satisfy students’ curiosity; information on current affairs and general knowledge; and information required for [legal] administration (Odusanya and
Amusa, 2003:53). Additionally they also need information in their daily activities on campus. This includes information on matters relating to healthy living, consumer goods, religion, food, shelter, student unions, scholarships, bursaries and job opportunities.

Retrieving the information required to fulfil these information needs requires a law student to be information literate. Kim-Prieto (2011:610) describes information literacy as the ability to “identify access, evaluate, apply, and ethically/legally use information.” Peoples cited in Toteng et al.’s (2010) study investigated the ability of law students to search the electronic databases of the University of Botswana Library and law students’ perceptions of the information literacy course offered by the Library. The study found that many law students at the University of Botswana were information illiterate because many of them lacked the necessary legal research skills as regards the use of electronic resources.

The American Association of Law Libraries (AALL, 2011), in their report on the foundation for the development of legal research, writing competencies and standards for law student information literacy, based the competencies required by law students on five principles (The American Association of Law Libraries, 2011), i.e. possessing fundamental research skills; the ability to implement effective, efficient research strategies; the ability to critically evaluate the validity and credibility of legal and non-legal information sources; the need to apply information effectively to resolve a specific issue or need; and to distinguish between ethical and unethical uses of information sources. These principles clearly indicate the competencies that law students should acquire at undergraduate level to be successful in their academic endeavours, and also to function optimally within a legal practitioner environment. Commenting on the Law student information literacy (LSIL) standards, Kim-Prieto (2011) stressed the responsibility of law libraries and lecturers to instruct the law students in obtaining the necessary information literacy skills which can improve their academic performance and also prepare them for the workplace upon graduation.

Ansari and Zuberi (2011) and Khan and Bhatti (2012:2) posit that information seeking behaviour is when users consult information systems or libraries for their information needs. Case (2012:5) defines information seeking behaviour as the conscious effort to acquire information in response to the gap created in users’ knowledge. Case explains that information seeking behaviour includes both planned (active search) and unplanned actions
(a glance at information or stumbling on information by chance). Case further states that information seeking behaviour may involve purposive behaviour that has nothing to do with the person actually searching for information. For instance, a person may be actively evading information, yet still find the information that he/she requires (Case, 2007:5). However, a number of scholars have provided diverse definitions of information seeking behaviour (e.g. Wilson, 2000:49; Leckie et al., 1996:161).

Wiberley and Jones (1989:638) in their study of the ‘Patterns of information seeking in the humanities at the University of Illinois in Chicago,’ posit that information seeking behaviour is a fundamental activity that users participate in and manifest through specific behaviours. Marchionini (1995:27) in his study of information seeking in electronic search environments, states that information seeking behaviour strategies entails the proposing of methods used to search for information, how and where information sources are searched. Marchionini explains that a well-designed strategy or plan would critically examine the problem and work out the best source of information to match the information

Makri et al., (2006), in a study to inform the design of digital law libraries for law students at the London University, revealed that academic lawyers often found it difficult to retrieve information that they were looking for using a digital library. This finding also applies to Nigerian law students; a study by Lawal et al., (2012:101) found that a number of aspiring barristers at the Nigerian Law School were experiencing problems with information retrieval, both in print and electronic format. Akanwa and Aniche (2012), focusing on information access and utilisation among law students in Imo State University in Nigeria, found that law students do not seek information in the law library. Majid and Kassim (2000:1) investigating the information seeking behaviour of the International Islamic University of Malaysia law faculty members found that legal professionals and law students relied heavily on their personal information resources and preferred print over electronic sources, while Ogba (2013:308) found that the information seeking behaviour of the final year law students in Ekiti State University, Nigeria included browsing the law library shelves for print legal materials.

Anderson (2011:1) investigating law students’ information seeking behaviour revealed that law students need to have information seeking skills to be competitive in the labour market. They need to be able to conduct timely, cost-effective legal research and writing without the need for extensive training by the law firms (Anderson, 2011:1). However, Jones (2009:8)
posited that the law students do not arrive at law school with strong information seeking skills. According to Jones (2009), law students at the Cornell University Law Library, were frequently ill-prepared for the complexity and attention to detail required for efficient and successful legal research and writing.

Kuhlthau and Tama (2001:25) investigated the information search process of eight practising lawyers in New Jersey and found that the lawyers mainly relied on print information sources rather than computer databases and people, in their search for legal information. Ossai (2011:14), in her study on the information seeking behaviour of law students at the University of Benin, Nigeria, found the same behaviour among the law students as they mostly frequented the traditional law library to browse the shelves for print legal sources. Howard et al.’s (2003:1) study, determined that lawyers claimed that print information sources are much better suited to assist with their process of understanding than computerized sources. However, Wilkinson (2001:257) observed that lawyers in Ontario, Canada showed an overwhelming preference for informal sources when seeking information as opposed to law library sources when searching for information. In addition, the lawyers preferred sources of information internal to their organisations rather than external sources, although this was less true for lawyers from smaller firms (Wilkinson, 2001:257). In Toteng et al.’s (2010:58) opinion a significant shift from print to electronic resources has been observed as the body of legal information sources grew over time. As this trend is probably going to continue, it might suggest that both law students and legal practitioners will have to adapt to the electronic medium as a major source of both current and retrospective legal information and become less reliant on published documents.

3.4 ICT Utilisation by law students

The use of digital libraries has being found to improve usability and usefulness of information sources to the users of libraries, especially the new and inexperienced users Inskip et al., (2008:647). This is due to the vibrant roles that the electronic digital play in processing and dissemination of information sources to professionals and students (Krubu and Osawaru, 2011). Students widely use electronic information resources to access information in support of the print sources for their studies, hence Okello-Obura and Ikoja-Odongo (2010) agree that electronic resources constitute an important source of information, and are widely embraced by libraries to process and disseminate information to its users all over the world. Electronic sources consist of information sources that are in electronic
format, and are characteristically very easy to use when accessing information compared to sources in print. Libraries, irrespective of their purpose, have started incorporating electronic resources into their services to provide users with more efficient, effective and reliable sources of information. The age of total reliance on print information sources has passed; currently large volumes of print information sources are being converted to electronic format (Issa et al., 2009). Adekunmisi et al., (2011:848) state that many academic libraries in Nigeria are currently building academic repositories of their publications and other works that can be digitized and made available to students and members of the academic communities without restriction.

With this in mind, studies have shown that the under-utilisation of electronic library information resources is a global phenomenon. Harkers (2013:79) explained in the study “Information is cheap, but meaning is expensive: Building analytical skill into legal research and writing instruction” that law students and new attorneys lacked ICT utilisation skills, that they struggled to locate and use appropriate information sources relevant to their legal problems, and were not competent legal information researchers. As already stated, Ossai’s (2011) study found that while most of the law students claimed to rely heavily on library information resources in the course of their academic programmes, the majority struggled to locate and identify suitable library information resources. Indu et al., (2012) discovered that the majority of the students in their study possessed below average ICT skills.

Anderson (2011:2) and Woxland (1989:451) found that “law students are lacking in [ICT search] skills even after they have completed the usual first-year course in legal research”. Another study by Young and Blanco (2007) expressed legal professionals’ views concerning the information search skills of law students who were serving in their law offices. Young and Blanco found that law students are generally not well prepared to perform legal research and writing at the expected level due to research related difficulties like:

- Taking a long time to research easy issues;
- Failing to research thoroughly; and
- Failing to make use of secondary sources such as treatises and practical guides.

Noor-UI-Amin (2013:38) in the study of effective use of ICT for education and learning revealed some of the problems facing the implementation of ICT in education and learning to include: limited infrastructure, lack of Internet connectivity, insufficient computers, lack
of electronic resources and legal databases, and slow broadband. Scholars like Akpoghome and Idiegbeay-Ose (2010), Adegbore (2010); Olalude (2007:53); Osunade and Ojo (2006:20); Adekunmisi (2005:25) posit that many students in Nigerian universities do not utilise ICT resources in libraries. They also identified additional problems faced by libraries as: insufficient fund allocation, inadequate manpower, power outages, and prohibitive cost of importing hardware, software and ICT accessories, and lack of ICT training, among other factors (further challenges are covered in section 3.5).

Thanuskodi (2009), evaluating the information seeking behaviour at the law faculty at Central Law College, Salem, found that respondents used computer-based library sources and facilities less frequently compared to print sources, with Internet-based services and applications only used by a limited number of respondents. Tunkel (1997) argues that law students’ inability to utilise ICT is a direct result of the traditional teaching practices in law schools, where law students are not taught how to carry out independent research that enables them to utilise ICT in law libraries. According to Barkan (2007:403), the curriculum of legal education ranks legal research very low in priority compared to other law courses, which seems to account for the poor ICT utilisation of the law students.

The academic and vocational stages of Nigerian legal education are conducted through lectures and note-taking, with little or no room for interactive research methodologies (Oke-Samuel, 2008:139). Ogba (2013:5) also reports that law students depend on their lecture notes, browse the library shelves instead of using OPAC while they use print textbooks for most of their academic workloads. This seems to support Tunkel’s (1997), Barkan’s (2007:403), and Lawal’s (2007) observations that law teachers do not encourage the utilisation of ICT and digital libraries to source information for their studies. Lawal et al.’s (2012:101) study investigated the information literacy of aspirant barristers in Nigeria and examined the efforts undertaken to restructure the legal education system in Nigeria. Their study found that graduate law students in Nigeria lacked critical thinking skills and the ability to effectively utilise electronic resources to evaluate and access information. The lack of critical thinking was confirmed by a young practicing lawyer’s statement, when lamenting the way the senior lawyers treat the young lawyers in practice. He has this to say: “In fact, you are not regarded, and then you begin to think that all your years in the university and the Law School were a waste. This is because you are made to feel like you know next to
nothing”. Another young lawyer responded in affirmative, and said: “This is so true…. but we shall get there”.

The Australian Law Reform Commission (1999) agrees that law teachers do not adequately prepare law students for future practice and challenges in the legal workplace based on the pedagogical method employed in teaching law students. Niedwiecki (2006:45) likewise found that the traditional teaching methods adopted in the teaching of law subjects discouraged law students’ utilisation of electronic resources. According to Mock (2001:554), law faculties have failed to create awareness in the law student population of the importance of using digital information resources to reach their academic goals. Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose (2010) agree that the use of ICT resources by law students is largely not encouraged by law teachers due to the teaching methods adopted in teaching undergraduate law students. This is also confirmed by Ogba (2013:4), who found that the practice of teaching is still done by way of direct reading from law textbooks.

Khan and Bhatti (2012:1) investigated the information seeking behaviour of legal practitioners, and found that most of the law faculty members were not satisfied with the resources, services and IT facilities, i.e. computers and Internet facilities, provided by the law colleges’ law libraries. In Nigeria, Adetimirin (2012) investigated the availability, ICT utilisation and ICT literacy skills of undergraduates in seven universities, and found that computers, telephones and the Internet were mostly used by the undergraduates, although in many cases only on an occasional basis due to the shortage of available ICT.

In contrast, Ray and Day’s (1998) study of students’ attitudes towards electronic information resources across three universities in the United Kingdom, found that many students in the United Kingdom used electronic sources and that in exceptional cases some of the students still liked to use print materials to complement the information and communication technologies.

3.5 Challenges faced by academic law libraries in Nigeria

In Nigerian university law libraries, a number of problems have equally been identified that have had an adverse effect on users’ desire to patronise libraries and use the available sources and services. Akuru and Okoro (2011) identified the lack of an adequate power supply as a major problem. Power supply is the backbone of digital libraries, as a constant
supply of energy is required to power both the electronic libraries and the cooling systems required to maintain the systems. The lack of power also affects the comfort levels of the users, as the harsh climate in Nigeria requires library buildings to be air conditioned to create an environment conducive to studying (Akuru and Okoro, 2011).

While Adekunmisi (2005:28) and Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose (2010) concur that the lack of a constant power supply has a significant effect on library utilisation, they argue that inadequately qualified library and technical staff and insufficient funds are the most prominent problems experienced in Nigerian university libraries. Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose (2010) also identified the lack of computer literate library staff as a challenge to service delivery, while Adetimirin (2012) found that inadequate ICT and the limited duration in which they can be used by students was another major challenge.

Adegbore (2010) explains that poor funding of libraries is a challenge, but also adds that lack of a maintenance culture; lack of commitment by staff; and poor resources, software breakdowns and obsolete commercial software are contributors to the under-utilisation of Nigerian university libraries. Goldman (2008:414) posits that the increasing number of undergraduate law students, changes in user expectations and increase in pressure to provide support and training for electronic products, connectivity problems, reduced funding, and the shortage of hardware and software, are all factors impeding the utilisation of ICT resources in law libraries. Namaganda (2013:1), in studying the development, challenges and prospects of the digital library at Makerere University library in Kampala, Uganda identified the same challenges, but also mentioned issues of copyright and lack of bandwidth as impeding factors to the utilisation of digital library services. Iwhiwhu and Okorodudu (2012) also added that the poor attitude of library staff has been identified in several studies as a challenge facing users in the utilisation of available library services. Unomah’s (1987:38) study identified this trend as early as 1987 in a survey on students’ utilisation of academic libraries in Nigeria, where he found that the attitude of some of the junior library staff towards library users was not encouraging students’ use of the available services. This finding is supported by Ifidon and Okoli (2002:22). Thorhauge (2003:308) opines that the friendliness and helpfulness of library staff are significant criteria for evaluating the service delivery of academic libraries.
3.6 Summary
This chapter reviewed literature on the information seeking behaviour of legal professionals and law students, with particular focus on law libraries in Nigerian universities. Legal information forms the backbone of a legal practitioner's work, thus the importance of legal information thereof has been enumerated. Furthermore, information behaviour and the need for information literacy among law students have been considered. While a general overview of law libraries’ tasks was provided in Chapter two (section: 2.3), the challenges faced by the academic law libraries in Nigeria was discussed. Several related studies investigating the information behaviour of law students and legal practitioners have also been highlighted. From these studies it was clear that, especially in the African context, information seeking is still much reliant on print and informal sources to gain information. The current situation concerning the utilisation of ICTs by law students to search and access information was discussed, and it was clear that several challenges are experienced prohibiting the law students to make optimal use of the available ICTs and Internet. Challenges identified include among others inconsistent power supply, lack of funding, staff incompetency, hardware and software breakdowns and limited access to computers. In chapter four the theoretical framework will be discussed.
CHAPTER FOUR:
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

4.1 Introduction

The previous chapter reviewed literature on the information seeking behaviour of legal professionals and law students, specifically the influence of legal information and its relevance to law students; their information needs and seeking strategies; and ICT utilisation skills and the challenges faced by academic law libraries in Nigeria. This chapter reviews literature on the theories of information seeking behaviour beginning with an explanation of the purpose of theoretical frameworks, followed by a review of prominent theoretical frameworks on information seeking behaviour, a critique of the frameworks adopted for this study, a discussion of their applicability to the current study, appraisal of the reviewed literature on theories for the study was also done in this chapter.

4.2 Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework in research provides the scientific ground for studying objects, situations or phenomena (Van Lill cited in Mostert, 2004). Van Lill (in Mostert, 2004:112) explains that the theoretical framework provides knowledge on which researchers can base their research studies. Too (2013) defines a theoretical framework as “a set of theories put together to provide a basis or support for explaining, viewing or contemplating phenomena”. Khan cited in Ocholla and Le Roux (2011:1) describes a theoretical framework as the “structure that holds and supports the theory of a research work and serves as the lens that a researcher uses to examine a particular aspect of his or her subject field. It elucidates or explains the rationale, justification or basis of the study.”

Zeidler cited in Ocholla and Le Roux (2011:1) views a theoretical framework as the theory that answers what the research problems are and why the chosen research methods are the possible solution to the problems. The possible solution that can provide answers to these questions can only come from a thorough review of literature (Kemoni, 2008) on the theoretical frameworks that relates to the research problem and the nature and the purpose of the theoretical framework(s) on which the research is based. Kombo and Tromp (2006) posit that a theoretical framework is meant to account for, or explain the occurrence through illuminating why things happen the way they do. Therefore, theory in any research attempts to justify the reasons for the research and it also serves as the foundation upon which the research study is based.
Best and Khan (2006:10) describe theory as an attempt to develop a general explanation for certain occurrences. According to Best and Khan, a theory describes the relationship among key variables with the aim of explaining a current state or to predict a future occurrence. Khan cited in Ocholla and Le Roux (2011:1) further explains that theory is constructed in order to explain, predict and master some phenomena, such as relationships, events, or behaviour. Khan (2010) gave a lecture on developing the theoretical and social framework, and observed that in many instances, scholars formulate models of reality, and concluded that theory makes general observations that consist of an interrelated, logical set of ideas and models. Models are collections of interrelated ideas that are based on theories that were meant to account for or explain phenomena by clarifying why things are the way they are. A model guides a researcher to determine what to measure and the relationship between the items measured (Kombo and Tromp, 2006).

A theoretical model, according to Wilson (1999:250), is a framework for thinking about a problem that may develop into a statement of relationships between theoretical propositions. Models, in the general field of information seeking behaviour, are statements often made in the form of diagrams that attempt to describe information seeking activities, causes, and the consequences of these activities (Wilson, 1999:250).

Studies of information seeking behaviour originated from concerns about how individuals use information in their work environment. Ikoja-Odongo and Mostert (2006:145) define information seeking behaviour as the process of going about in search of information, a process that requires the information seeker to apply personal knowledge, skills or personal information infrastructure to solve a problem. Marchionini (1995:1) corroborates that information seeking is a fundamental human activity that is closely related to learning and problem solving. Khadli and Kumbar (2013) therefore, advise that, it is important to study information seeking models to understand what students do when they search for information, because how students search for information may differ considerably from librarians’ perceptions of students’ information seeking behaviour (Khadli and Kumbar, 2013).

It is imperative to provide an overview of the development of information seeking models as they are the building blocks that contribute to the eventual development of the theories that inform this study that is: Davis et al. (1989)’s Technology Acceptance Model, Wilson’s 1996
Model of Information Behaviour and Niedźwiedzka’s (2003) New Model of Information Seeking Behaviour. This is because models and theories proposed by researchers like: Davis, Dervin, Ellis, Kuhlthau, Niedźwiedzka and Wilson, have gained strength as they have been adopted as the basis for further research by investigators (Wilson, 1999).

4.3 Overview of related theoretical models of information behaviour


4.3.1 Taylor’s (1968) Model of information behaviour

Taylor perceives human information behaviour to be the sum of activities through which information becomes useful. Taylor (1991:221) is of the opinion that human information behaviour hinges on the nature of the person in question, the pending problem to be resolved; the situation surrounding the person and the problem, and the solution to the problem. This model identifies four levels of human information seeking behaviour as follows:

- Identification of a visceral need, or a “vague sort of dissatisfaction” that is unexpressed by the searcher.

- Formulation of a conscious need that is expressed as “an ambiguous and rambling statement” which sometimes results in communicating the need to another person.

- Construction of a formalised need expressed as a “qualified and rational” statement of the need.
• The establishment of a compromised need, which is a query that is expressed in a term that fits the organisation of the information system, such as a library collection or database (Case, 2007:76; Taylor, 1968:182).

The focus in Taylor’s Model of Information Behaviour is on the type of formal information seeking activity that usually takes place at the desk of the reference librarian in a library setting. This theory is commonly used in library schools as an instrument for the training of reference librarians.

4.3.2 Belkin’s (1980) anomalous states of knowledge (ask)
Belkin’s Anomalous States of Knowledge (ASK) reveals how an information need arises. Turnbull (2011) explains that Belkin provides another view of the information seeking process, which he describes as information seeking strategies. Belkin’s Anomalous States of Knowledge is a more task-oriented overlay of Ellis’ and Kuhlthau’s models (see sections 4.3.5 and 4.3.6). The set tasks, as stated by Belkin, show that a need arises when an individual encounters an anomalous state of knowledge, a situation where “the user realises that there is an abnormality in the state of his or her knowledge with respect to the problem faced” (Belkin, 1980:135). The person may then address the situation by seeking information, and then evaluate the information to verify whether the anomaly still exists. If it does and the person is still motivated to resolve it, the person may continue to search for information (Belkin, 1980:140).

4.3.3 Wilson’s model of information seeking behaviour

According to Wilson (1981:2), information seeking begins with the recognition of some need as perceived by a user. This model is built on the premise that an information need is not a major need, but that it is a consequent need that arises out of basic needs (Wilson, 1999:252). The person in need of information may be influenced by physiological environmental needs (physical, biological and bodily); cognitive abilities (intellectual, perceptive and reasoning); or affective (emotion and sentiment) needs (Wilson, 2000:49). These needs (environmental, intellectual and emotional) therefore can serve as intervening variables in the search for the
required information (Wilson, 2000:49). Wilson's 1981 model can be described as a model of information seeking behaviour that suggests how information needs arise and what can prevent an actual search for information (Wilson, 1999).

Wilson’s 1981 model identified the strategies of obtaining information, which he referred to as information seeking tracks or roads, as:

- The search for information by users who are independent of any information system. These users seek information in their immediate environment and use their own knowledge.
- The search paths involving either a mediator or an information systems technology.
- The search strategies employed by a mediator to satisfy a user's demand for information. The use of a mediator who seeks information to accomplish the set goals of others.
- The strategies employed by a sophisticated technology on behalf of either the user or the mediator, which involves using sophisticated technology such as ICT to do the search on behalf of either the user or the mediator (Wilson, 1981:5).

Therefore in the information seeking process, the users may use their own knowledge to independently search for information in any available information system e.g. libraries, cyber cafés or information centres at the user's environment, or users could use the services of either formal or informal intermediaries to do the search on their behalf (Wilson, 1981). The weakness of the model is that all of the theories are understood but they are not clear. There is no indication of the process where the background has any effect on the person, or of the factors that result in the awareness of barriers, nor whether the barriers have similar or different effects on individual motives to seek information (Wilson, 1999). Wilson thus concludes that the 1981 model lack features that can stimulate thoughts about other elements that a complete model should have (Wilson, 1999).

**4.3.4 Dervin’s (1983) sense-making approach**

Brenda Dervin is prominent among proponents of models that focus on the cognitive dimensions of information behaviour. Dervin’s (1992) sense-making metaphor describes humans as moving through time and space until they discover a cognitive gap where an information need is perceived. Such gaps must be bridged through the acquisition of new
information before they can move forward again. The goal of a person’s information seeking endeavours is to make sense of a particular situation (Dervin, 1992:68).

Wilson (1999:249) explains in his study, “Models in information behaviour research”, that Dervin developed the sense-making approach based on three essential elements, which define the context in which information problems arise: a gap, which identifies the difference between the contextual situation and the desired situation; an outcome, that is, the consequences of the sense-making process; and a bridge, that is, some means of closing the gap between the situation and outcome (Wilson, 1999:249). These elements are presented in terms of a triangle: situation, gap or bridge, and outcome.

Dervin defines her approach not simply as a model or a method of information-seeking behaviour (Adebiyi, 2012:4), but as a set of assumptions, a theoretic perspective, a methodological approach, a set of research methods, and a practice, designed for making sense of a reality assumed to be chaotic and orderly (Dervin, 1996). Dervin’s sense-making approach tends to see human beings as organised and active sense-makers. Hence, each moment is potentially a sense-making moment in which an individual makes efforts to make good use of certainty to fill the created gap. Consequently, the goal of a person’s information seeking endeavour is to make sense of a given situation (Dervin, 1998:36).

4.3.5 Ellis’s (1989) model of information seeking behaviour
Ellis’s model identifies eight features in the information seeking process (Ellis, 1989:178). According to Ellis, the detailed interaction of these features in any individuals’ information seeking pattern will depend on the unique circumstances of the information seeking activities of the person concerned at that particular point in time. The activities are applicable to hypertext environments such as the World Wide Web. The model is based on empirical research that had been tested in successive studies in an engineering company (Turnbull, 2011; Ellis and Haugan, 1997:384). Ellis’s (1989) study identifies the following features in his Model of Information Seeking Behaviour: starting, chaining, browsing, differentiating, monitoring, extracting, verifying, and ending.

- Starting: the method employed by users to start their search for information, such as asking knowledgeable colleagues. Starting refers to identifying the initial materials to search and select as the starting points for the search. It is usually undertaken at the
beginning of the information seeking process to learn about a new field (Turnbull, 2011).

- Chaining: this follows the footnotes and citations in known material or connecting from known items through citation indexes.
- Browsing: “semi-directed or semi-structured searching”. Browsing is a casual way of looking for information in the area of the researcher’s interest (Turnbull, 2011).
- Differentiating: using known differences in information sources as a way of filtering through the information obtained; the selection of materials from known sources by noting the differences in content and/or the importance of the information.
- Monitoring: current awareness searching or keeping up-to-date on a topic by regularly following specific sources.
- Extracting: is the selective identification of relevant material in an information source.
- Verifying: checking the accuracy of information, which may involve extracting keywords from a source and searching for corroborating information in another source.
- Ending: “tying up loose ends” through a final search (Turnbull, 2011; Jarvelin and Wilson, 2003).

4.3.6 Kuhlthau’s (1993) information search process model

Kuhlthau's model is based on the work of George Kelly, a psychologist who theorised that learning is a process of testing constructs (Kuhlthau, 1993a:340.). Building on Kelly’s theory, Kuhlthau developed a model referred to as the Information Search Process (Kuhlthau, 1993a:340). Kuhlthau’s studies published in 1997, 1993a, 1993b and 1991, all focused on the Information Search Process (ISP), and formed the foundation for the development of new strategies for the delivery of library skills instruction (Oh and Albright, 2004:326). Oh and Albright interpret Kuhlthau’s information seeking behaviour as a sense making-process that is about the development of an individual’s understanding; the shift from uncertainty to certainty. However, Ingwersen (2000) explained that Kuhlthau (1991) concentrates on the mental process, just like Wilson’s (1999) and Vakkari (2000), whose model also looks like Wilson’s 1981 model of information behaviour.

Kuhlthau (1993) developed a general model of the ISP from common patterns that emerged from the investigation of high school students' information seeking behaviour. Kuhlthau’s
model incorporates three realms: affective (the feelings associated with the search process), cognitive (the development of thoughts about research topics), and the physical (actions and strategies of seeking and using sources). These realms are common to each of the six stages of the model, which are: initiation, selection, exploration, formulation, collection and search closure or presentation. Each of the stages is associated with certain feelings and specific activities.

Kuhlthau’s model centers on a methodological approach to library information seeking skills. However, these skills are not dependent on specific sources or libraries. Rather, the model stresses the growth of transferable reasoning skills that increase students' effective utilisation of information (Kuhlthau, 1993a:11). Although, Kuhlthau’s model focuses on information behaviour of students, the factor of analysis, the three realms common to the six stages of Kuhlthau’s model are similar to Wilson’s studies that are broadly covered by Wilson’s (1996) model of information behaviour. Therefore, Wilson’s model becomes more appropriate and inclusive for informing this study.

4.3.7 Cheuk’s (1998) information seeking and using process

Cheuk’s model identifies the situations that occur with the psychomotor, cognitive and affective aspects of information seeking, retrieval and use activities experienced in workplaces. The first situation describes the use and choice of information sources given by the manager. Information seekers will use easily accessible general information sources such as magazines and the Internet to formulate focus. The searchers’ persistence in using just any information source is low in this situation. However in the process of confirming or rejecting ideas, searchers use specific and authoritative information sources (Cheuk, 1998).

Secondly, Cheuk identifies information relevance judgment criteria, where searchers prefer to “pick up” or “accept” what other people tell them when initiating a task and formulating focus. But in the process of confirming or rejecting ideas, they use data they have already gathered to compare against: (a) expectations they have formed, (b) common sense, (c) standards and specifications, and (d) information gathered from various other sources. In finalizing their ideas, they relate on the decisive factor since they only need senior and authoritative parties to confirm the ideas (Cheuk, 1998). The decisive factor indicates the situation when searchers decided to seek formal consent from the authority and seniors to finalize their ideas.
The third situation encapsulates information organising strategies. Searchers would, in most situations, like to organise information that they’ve gathered mentally in their heads. However, in the process of confirming and rejecting ideas, searchers jot down notes and make photocopies in an effort to physically organise information that they have gathered (Cheuk, 1998).

Fourthly, Cheuk proposes information presentation strategies. Searchers in most cases present background information to the boss or colleagues in charge of the information, with the aim of getting relevant answers to their queries. In passing on ideas, they aim to pass on new knowledge and findings to the targeted audience. To finalize their ideas, they present information with the aim of getting feedback and consensus (Cheuk, 1998).

The fifth situation concerns feelings. Searchers feel doubtful and fearful in the task initiating situation. They get more worried in the process of confirming or assuming ideas because they are not sure whether their ideas can be confirmed. Searchers’ feelings are mostly negative (pressure, frustration) in the idea rejecting situation. When finalising and passing on ideas, they start to feel relaxed (Cheuk, 1998).

Sixth, is the definition of information in the task initiating and focus formulation situation, where information is referred to as data, events, physical items, figures, words. In the process of assuming ideas, information becomes raw data which can be applied. Lastly, in the process of confirming and rejecting ideas information is evidence, testing results, facts and reasons. In finalising ideas, information is the feedback and consensus from boss and clients, while in passing on ideas, information is personal knowledge, assets, and value-added and filtered management information (Cheuk, 1998). This model directly deal with the information seeking, retrieval and use activities experienced in workplaces different from the focus of the current study which investigate the information behaviour of law students in the university environments. Therefore, this model cannot be appropriately used to for this study.
4.3.8 Wilson’s (1996) global model of information seeking behaviour

Wilson’s 1996 Global Model of Information Seeking Behaviour was proposed to revise Wilson’s 1981 Model of Information Seeking Behaviour. It draws upon research from various fields of knowledge in decision making, psychology, innovation, health, communication and consumer research, and information science (Wilson, 1999:256). The 1996 model perceives information seeking and use to be associated with different stages of goal-oriented problem-solving processes, which Wilson identifies as problem recognition, problem definition, problem resolution, and solution statement.

According to Wilson, Kuhlthau’s stages and Ellis’s features are all related to Wilson’s (1996) Global Model of Information Seeking Behaviour, as illustrated in Figure 4.1.

Figure 4.1: Wilson’s 1996 Model of Information behaviour (Source: Wilson, T.D. 1999).
From the model, it is clear that activating mechanisms and intervening variables considerably influence information seeking behaviour; the context of an individual’s environment can greatly determine his or her information behaviour. Wilson (2000:49) refers to the ‘person-in-context’ as the individual who is in need of information and who makes the decision of whether or not to search for information. The ‘person-in-context’ refers to an individual with an information need who experiences certain feelings of inadequacy in his/her knowledge and is inclined to search for information to bridge the knowledge gap. Wilson suggests that when studying the information behaviour of individuals, emphasis should be placed on information seeking to determine what an individual’s information needs are and how information seeking behaviour relates to other task-oriented behaviour (Wilson, 2000:49). Niedźwiedzka (2003) explains that Wilson’s model identifies three stages of information seeking behaviour that either motivates users to search for information or discourages them from searching, described below:

4.3.8.1 Stage 1: Activating mechanisms
Wilson’s concept of an activating mechanism refers to the instinct that inspires an individual to want to search for information. However, Wilson’s activating mechanisms suggest that not every information need motivates users to initiate the information seeking process (Niedźwiedzka, 2003). The activating mechanisms included in the model are: the stress/coping theory; risk/reward theory; and the social learning theory, which encapsulates the theory of self-efficacy.

4.3.8.1.1 Stress/coping theory
Stress is defined as pressure or worries caused by life’s problems, whereas coping enables one to successfully deal with the difficult situations caused by stress (Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary, 2011:1477). Weiten (2001:530) explains that stress involves any condition that threatens or seems to threaten man’s effort to achieve success or comfort, while Louw and Edwards (1997:646) perceive coping to be the efforts put up to prevent, tolerate or manage the effects of stress. Mostert (2004:126) defines the situation as “stress and relief that are likely to act as an activating mechanism that tends to prompt an individual into action to satisfy a perceived need for information”. According to Wilson, the stress and coping theory offers the chance to clarify why some needs do not invoke information seeking behaviour (Wilson, 1999:257).
4.3.8.1.2 Risk/reward theory
According to Niedźwiedzka (2003) this theory explains what motivates some people to search for information and others not to, and why specific information sources are more frequently used than others (Wilson, 1999:257). According to the author, the theory of risk and reward largely depends on the extent and complexity of the risk (avoidable expenses or wasting time) that may result from searching for information and/or using certain information sources. The desire to get reward can bring the feeling of necessity, even if the reward is only the comfort of eradicating the feeling of uncertainty (Niedźwiedzka, 2003).

4.3.8.1.3 Social learning theory/ self-efficacy
Social learning theory is the view that people learn by observing others. Social learning theory explains how people learn new behaviours, values, and attitudes (Bandura, 1997). Bandura focused his theory on the concept of self-efficacy in various settings, for instance, a student may learn how to search for useful information sources from the Internet by observing how others (friends, family or library staff) browse the Internet in libraries or at cyber cafés. Social learning requires attention to the person(s) observed (Bandura, 2001). According to Ormrod’s (2006) study, social learning theory describes the acquisition of skills that are developed exclusively within a social group. Social learning depends on how individuals either succeed or fail at dynamic interactions within groups, and promotes the development of individual emotional and practical skills as well as accurate perception of self and acceptance of others. According to this theory, people learn from one another through observation, imitation, and modelling.

In Ormrod’s study (2006), self-efficacy reflects an individual understanding of the kind of skills that he/she can offer in a group. Self-efficacy is the measure of the belief in one's own ability to complete tasks and reach particular goals. Psychologists have studied self-efficacy from several perspectives, noting various paths in the development of self-efficacy (Ormrod, 2006).

Wilson’s (1999:257) social learning theory also embodies the concept of self-efficacy, which is when users are convinced that they can successfully execute the behaviour required to produce the desired outcome. Self-efficacy is therefore an estimation of whether an individual can successfully perform an information search (Wilson, 1999:257).
4.3.8.1.4 Intervening variables
Intervening variables are the obstacles or situations that exist between two events (variables) that can hinder an individual from achieving their purpose or objectives in a given situation (Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary, 2011:788). Intervening variables can be explained to be the obstacles that may block an individual from carrying out their life objectives. Intervening variables in this situation could be the events that discourage law students from seeking information in the law libraries for instance, the law students who want to search for legal information using the electronic law library but are hindered from doing so due to obstacles like the inaccessibility or unavailability of information sources or the lack of electronic resources such as internet, computers or databases. Wilson’s 1996 model identified the intervening variables as psychological, demographic, role related or interpersonal, environmental factors, and source characteristics (Wilson, 1999:256).

4.3.8.2 Stage 2: Information seeking behaviour/method of acquiring information
Spurred on by activating mechanisms and intervening variables, users may actively or inactively search for the information that they need. In the process, the information seekers may finally find a solution (success) which they can use to meet their goals. If the information need is not accomplished (failure), the search can go back to the first stage of the information seeking process, where the individual initiates a new search using other methods to further the search (Wilson, 1999:256). Wilson’s 1996 model identified different methods of seeking and acquiring information, specifically passive attention, passive search, active search, and on-going search (Choo et al., 2000).

4.3.8.2.1 Passive attention
Passive attention means that the information seeker is not deliberately or intentionally searching for information, but earning or gaining knowledge even when he/she is not paying attention. Choo et al., (2000) describe passive attention as the type of information that is gained when a person is listening to the radio or watching television programmes; there is no intention to search for information, yet information acquisition may still take place.

4.3.8.2.2 Passive search
This is when a specific form of conduct leads to the acquisition of important information that is relevant to the individual. A passive search is said to signify the occasion when some form of inactive information seeking behaviour leads to acquiring information that is relevant to
users (Choo et al., 2000). For instance, the person is not deliberately or intentionally seeking information, but the person tends to learn or gain information even when he/she is not paying attention to learn. Choo et al., (2000) describe passive attention as the type of information gained when a person is listening to the radio or watching television programmes having no intention to seek information, yet information acquisition still takes place. It can be assumed that passive attention could be an important way of gathering information, more so, when the person-in-context has no intention to search for such information.

4.3.8.2.3 Active search
According to Wilson’s 1999 study, an active search occurs when users focus their attention on actively searching for information. Choo et al., (2000) explain that an active search involves the kind of search commonly used with library and information science literature, where individuals actively search for information to satisfy their information needs.

4.3.8.2.4 On-going search
This represents the act of continuous information searching carried out by information seekers in order to update or expand their horizons and their professional knowledge (Niedźwiedzka, 2003). Choo et al., (2000) explain that during an on-going search, the individual initiates a search for information based on his or her basic ideas, beliefs and values, and actively continues to search for more information even after gaining some level of information in order to update or expand their search.

4.3.8.3 Stage 3: Information processing and use
Wilson has further explained that the information that is processed and used is a necessary part of the feedback loop (Wilson, 1999:256). According to Niedźwiedzka (2003), the mental and physical information seeking activities form a recurring process, where individual components of the framework determine users' behaviour at all stages, and where the information obtained becomes a new part of the active structure.

4.3.9 Niedźwiedzka’s (2003) model of information seeking behaviour
Niedźwiedzka proposed a new Model of Information Behaviour based on Wilson's work. This model modifies Wilson’s 1996 model by incorporating a graphical representation that illustrates the stages of information behaviour and their relationships in a broader way, where every user’s interest is represented. She also attempted to preserve all components of
Wilson's model; although some were compressed into one type (demographic variables were included in the category of personal variables). The model remains general, but its general concepts can be unfolded when necessary to reveal the compressed variables (e.g. environmental variables) (Niedźwiedzka, 2003). Niedźwiedzka’s proposed new model is illustrated in figure 4.2.

Figure 4.2: Niedźwiedzka (2003) Model of information seeking behaviour

The model illustrated in Figure 4.2 proposes that information seeking behaviour occurs as an outcome of a need that is perceived by an information user. The information user makes demands upon formal or informal information sources and services that ultimately result in the success or failure to find relevant information. When successful, the individual makes use of the information found and may either fully or partially satisfy the perceived need. If the information fails to satisfy the need, the search process has to be repeated. The model also shows that information seeking behaviour may involve other people through information exchange; information that is perceived to be useful may be passed on to other people as well.
as used by the person in context (Niedźwiedzka, 2003). The model introduces several changes to Wilson’s 1996 model. They include:

- Identification of the context in the intervening variables; Immersion of the chain of information behaviour in the ‘context’, to indicate that the context variables influence behaviour at all stages of the process (identification of needs, looking for information, processing and using information);
- Stress is put on the fact that the activating mechanisms can also occur at all stages of the information acquisition process; and
- The introduction of two basic strategies of looking for information: personally and/or using various intermediaries (Niedźwiedzka, 2003).

The model is immersed in the framework of Wilson's intervening variables, that is personal, role-related, environmental and source characteristics. According to Niedźwiedzka (2003), such presentation of the relationships emphasizes the fact that these factors are always present and can either positively or negatively influence the information seeking process at all stages. The model also indicates that the activating mechanisms can occur at each link of the chain of behaviour, which can lead to the acquisition and utilisation of information. Niedźwiedzka removed the psychological theories that explain the activating mechanisms from the Wilson model because psychological theories form part of the human knowledge base. According to Niedźwiedzka (2003), it would be better to construct a set of explanatory theories standing behind respective concepts rather than to introduce them as components of the information behaviour cycle. Niedźwiedzka’s model indicates that activating mechanisms occur at each link of the chain of behaviour that lead up to the acquisition and utilisation of information.

In this model, the stage at which the information needs manifest is separated from the time at which the user decides to seek information. The stages of information seeking, selection, processing and information application are also separated.

The stages in the model include:

- Identification of an information need. This is the stage at which the users recognise that they have a need for information.
- Decision to seek information. This is the stage at which the user chooses to look for information from different sources in order to satisfy their need.
• Information seeking performed by users. This is when the user takes active steps to search for information.

• Own knowledge. This is when the user independently interacts and searches library systems or decides to use his or her knowledge to seek information from other sources. The latter may include the use of his/her personal textbooks, colleagues, friends, or family. However, when users decide to search for information, the searcher may also use the formal sources like libraries and information systems to search for information.

• Selection and processing of information by the user. Here the information seeker selects useful and relevant information, and may decide to abandon searching when unable to access the information that they require, which brings him or her back to the first stage of information needs. (Niedźwiedzka, 2003).

Niedźwiedzka (2003) posits that the model shows that chains of the information seeking process are simultaneously performed using various approaches, and that the sequences interconnect and support each other. The cycle-like form of her model subtly suggests crossroads and circles representative of the fact that the information seeker may decide to search for information using other systems until they find final closure or until their information needs are resolved. However, Niedźwiedzka (2003) acknowledges that her model is not universal because it does not include related issues like information seeking or information encountering or ‘passive attention’ (Wilson, 1996 Model), which are different methods of acquiring information.

Niedźwiedzka’s (2003) proposed new model is still at the developing phase and has not been widely accepted; no known literature could be found that is either in support of or against Niedźwiedzka’s model. Therefore, Niedźwiedzka’s new model is used in conjunction with Wilson’s 1996 model.

4.3.10 Davis et al., (1989) technology acceptance model
The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is a theory that models how users come to accept and use a technology (Davis et al., 1989). Over the years, researchers have concentrated their efforts on developing, adapting and testing models that could help in predicting technology usage. Among these models, the most popular is the technology acceptance model (TAM) by
Davis et al., (1989). Since it was first proposed, the TAM has been tested and extended by many studies. Overall, TAM has been empirically proven successful in predicting about 40% of a system use (Hu et al., 1999). TAM described how user’s beliefs and attitudes are related to individuals’ intentions to perform or use ICT. The philosophy of user is that, an attitude to behaviour is determined by behavioural beliefs about the consequences of the behaviour based on the information available or presented to the individual and the affective evaluation of those consequences on the part of the individual. Beliefs are defined as the individual’s estimated probability that performing a given behaviour will result in a given consequence. The TAM is a model that addresses the issue of how users come to accept and use a technology such as the focus of this study. Two variables are peculiar; Perceived Use (PU) and perceived ease of use (PE), which are hypothesized to be fundamental determinants of user acceptance (Davis et al., 1989). Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) adapted Theory of Reason Action (TRA) by replacing it with perceived ease of use. TAM has been widely used to predict user acceptance and use based on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (in Figure 4.3).

Ali (2006), states that the model (TAM) suggests that when users are presented with a new technology software package, a number of factors influence their decision about how and when they will use it. Their decision is based on the perceived usefulness and perceived ease-of-use of the specific technology. Perceived usefulness (PU) refers to the degree to which a person believes that using a particular technology would enhance his or her job performance. Perceived ease-of-use (PE) is the degree to which a person believes that using a particular technology would be free from effort to perform his or her job (Ali, 2006). TAM is one of the most influential extensions of Ajzen and Fishbein’s theory of reasoned action (TRA) in the literature. It was developed by Fred Davis and Richard Bagozzi (Bagozzi, Davis and Warshaw 1992). TAM replaced many of TRA’s attitude actions with the two technology acceptance events, that is, perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness (Davis 1989).

Extant literature has revealed that other models have been developed with the intention of explaining and predicting usage of information technology. They include the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) and Theory of Diffusion of Innovation (DOI). However, this study used the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to explain law students’ acceptance and use of electronic information sources. Several information technology studies, such as, Venkatesh and Davis, 1996; Hendrickson et al.,
1993; Adams et al., 1992, have replicated TAM or have extensively used the TAM instrument to investigate a range of issues in the area of user acceptance of the new Information and Communication Technology, which has been empirically proven to have high validity. Other scholars like Chuttur, 2009:1; Reid and Levy, 2008:2; Vainny et al., 2008; Ramayah et al., 2002:1, have also studied TAM’s acceptability and use of the new computer technology. Chuttur’s (2009:1) study of “Origins, developments and future directions of the Technology Acceptance Model” explained that users’ acceptance of information technology has been an important field of study for over two decades and that many models have been proposed to explain and predict the use of information systems, Chuttur agreed that the technology acceptance model (TAM) has been the only one to have captured the greatest attention of the information community. Reid and Levy (2008:2) claimed that the traditional Technology Acceptance Model has been used to validate over hundreds of studies in the past two decades. Vainny et al.’s (2008) study also confirmed this, when their study concluded that TAM is one of the simplest, easiest to use, and most powerful computer usage models. Ramayah et al., (2002:1) also established that the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) has been extensively used in research that looked at the acceptance of a new technology.

Bagozzi, Davis and Warshaw (1992) explained that the Technology Acceptance Model was developed (through adaptation of both Ajzen and Fishbein’s 1980; and Fishbein and Ajzen’s 1975 Theory of Reason Action), to understand the causal relation that linked external variables to intention to use information technology (IT) and the actual use of information technology system (ITS) in a workplace. The theory of reasoned action (TRA) as proposed by Ajzen and Fishbein in 1969 and 1980, provided a model that has potential benefits for predicting the intention to perform a behaviour based on an individual’s attitude and beliefs (Southey 2011:34). However, Bagozzi et al., (1992) was of the opinion that users’ beliefs, attitudes, and intentions are the essential issues in the adoption of current computer technologies, which illustrations focused more on the act of utilising computer systems. The importance role of learning to utilise computer systems requires a good understanding of the technology adoption process. This is because insufficient knowledge of how to operate computers can restrain users from adopting and utilising the information system (Bagozzi et al., 1992).

The TAM uses TRA as a theoretical basis for specifying the causal linkages between PU and PE, and users’ attitudes, intention to use and actual computer adoption behaviour. However,
TAM is considerably less general than TRA, which was specifically designed to apply only to computer usage behaviour. TAM aimed at investigating the variables that are presumed to be responsible for the law students’ decision behaviour. Testing the variables requires that the testing stage of the research is conducted using the computer information systems technique. This method provides advantages for the interpretation of the results and it also enables a confirmatory response to the finding realised from the research. Figure 4.3 shows a graphical illustration of the TAM.

4.4 Relevant theories reviewed

The models of information seeking behaviour discussed in this chapter provided an overview of the various forms and approaches that users employ when searching for information. These models map the world of user experiences in the process of searching for information within their environments. However, of the ten models reviewed in this chapter, three of the models appear to be most relevant to the current study, that is, Wilson’s (1996) Model of Information Behaviour, Niedźwiedzka’s (2003) Model of Information Seeking Behaviour and the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) by Davies et al., (1989).

As already stated, Niedźwiedzka’s model modifies Wilson’s 1996 model by incorporating a graphical representation that broadly explains the stages of information behaviour and their relationships, with every user’s interest represented. Niedźwiedzka preserved all components of Wilson's model, compressing some into one variable, and focusing more on Wilson's intervening variables (personal, role-related, environmental and source characteristics). However, there are certain variables common to both which, for the purposes of this study, are tagged as the ‘meeting points’ between Wilson’s model and Niedźwiedzka’s model. These are demonstrated in Figure 4.4.
The above Figure 4.4 is divided into three sections, where section ‘A’ represents Wilson’s model, ‘B’ is the common element shared, while ‘C’ represents Niedźwiedzka’s variables. The figure identifies the differences in the two models to reveal B, which are the common variables shared by A and C. In the figure, A identifies three major variables that are unique to Wilson’s 1996 model, namely: ‘person-in-context’; ‘specific activation mechanism theories’ applicable to specific stages in the search process (i.e. stress and coping theory, social learning theory, self-efficacy theory and risk and reward theory) and ‘information seeking behaviour methods’ (passive attention, passive search, active search and on-going search). These information seeking methods do not allow for the use of an intermediary. B clearly shows three components commonly shared by A and C, which are ‘information needs, ‘information selection and use’ and ‘continued feedback’. C indicates the four components that are peculiar to Niedźwiedzka’s model, i.e.: that users’ experience activating mechanisms all through the search process; the ‘whole process-in-context’ as opposed to ‘person-in-context’; information seeking behaviour, where users apply their ‘own searching method’ to search for information or may choose to use intermediaries; and the identification of specific information resources (own knowledge of information collection and computerised search systems and information centres and libraries).
4.4.1 Critique of Wilson’s (1996) model of information behaviour

According to Godbold (2006), Wilson’s remark that “feedback loops must exist within all models, since progression towards a goal is hardly ever unproblematic” (Wilson, 1999:267) connotes that the order of information seeking tasks may be reversed to include dead-ends, changes of direction, iteration, abandonment and beginning again. However, Godbold adds that Wilson's 1996 Model of Information Behaviour only demonstrates information transfer, exchange and use (Godbold, 2006), but no link to show what users would do if the information process ends in failure.

In his critique of Wilson’s 1996 model, Godbold further states that Wilson only describes users’ specific actions in the process of identifying their particular information needs and their search for information, which they may ultimately use or transfer to others (Godbold, 2006). According to Godbold (2006), Wilson’s model has a tendency to describe information behaviour in a sequence of stages, while it is evident that the actual behaviour is not always sequential. Additionally, Godbold (2006) remarks that the information behaviour model tends to limit itself to descriptions of information seeking and not describing the actual behaviour.

Niedźwiedzka (2003) criticized Wilson’s 1996 model for not diagrammatically differentiating the stages between the phase when a need occurs and the point when the decision to seek for information is taken, although Wilson clearly distinguishes between these two phases. Additionally, Wilson does not integrate the context of the person and the need with the intervening variables, which can hugely influence information behaviour. She also criticised the fact that some of the intervening variables, such as the information sources, psychological and demographic variables, are categorised separately while they can actually be collapsed into each other, e.g. personal variables (psychological, demographic, role-related or interpersonal factors) or environmental variables (including information sources) (Just 2008:43). A major criticism was aimed at where the intervening and activating mechanisms are portrayed in the diagram, as this gives the impression that these variables and mechanisms can only play a role at that specific point of the information behaviour process rather than in all phases of the process. Niedźwiedzka (2003) expressed her concern that the model only depicts ICT as a situation where a user searches for information in his/her personal capacity.
4.4.2 Critique of Niedźwiedzka’s model of information seeking behaviour

A literature review could not trace any existing critique of Niedźwiedzka’s 2003 Model of Information Seeking Behaviour. In her self-critique Niedźwiedzka (2003) explains that the model does not represent all aspects of information behaviour, such as problematic situations, decision-making, information acquisition, and other information needs. The new model was, however, proposed to stimulate further discussions on theories and experimental studies with the aim of building a universal model of information behaviour (Niedźwiedzka, 2003).

4.4.3 Critique of Davis et al., (1989) model of technology acceptance

Based on current observations, Chuttur (2009:2) examined the historical evolution of TAM in the IS literature from 1985 to 2007. Chuttur’s study found out that even though TAM is a highly cited information system model, scholars shared mixed feelings regarding TAM’s theoretical assumptions and the practical effectiveness of the model. Chuttur determined that research in TAM lacks sufficient rigor and relevance that would make it a well-established theory for the information system (IS) community. The study of Legis et al., (2003) put forward that even though TAM is a useful model, the model has to be integrated into a broader one to include variables that relate to human and social change processes and the adoption of the innovation model. Legis et al. further indicated that the analysis of empirical research that used TAM showed that results were totally not consistently clear.

In another critique, Averweg’s (2002) report showed that research findings are not in support of TAM’s basic beliefs, because it lays emphasis on perceived usefulness above perceived ease of use, as a possible indication of the differences in the general background influences, such as, culture, previous experience, environmental location that could have impact on user interface.

A further critique for TAM concerns the issue of self-reported use of data to measure the information system used, instead of actual use of data. Data were collected using a user-reported self-assessment approach for use in intention-based studies (Hu, 1999:99) to cope with real-world constraints more effectively than could objective measures (Melone, 1990:72).

Yousafzai et al., (2007) state that studies on TAM typically use students as their participants in controlled environments, and in such situations it is difficult to generalise the results obtained from the studies on the general population of the students. Yousafzai, Foxall and
Pallis explain that the self-reported use data is a subjective measure that is not reliable in measuring the actual use of a system. Hu (1999:108) explains that several information technology acceptance studies have extended TAM to include intervening variables, such as, self-efficacy (Taylor and Todd, 1995:144; Venkatesh and Davis, 1996:451), prior usage and experience (Taylor and Todd, 1995:561), objective usability (Venkatesh and Davis, 1996:451) and user characteristics (Igbaria et al., 1997:279). Davis (1993:487) also recommended that additional factors be included in the original TAM.

4.5 Applicability of the models

The applicability of Wilson’s model to this study on law students’ information seeking behaviour can be found based on the fact that it provides a better understanding of the factors that play a role in the information search process. The fact that students have to pass tests and examinations, and complete research assignments in order to become lawyers should serve to motivate them in their search for information related to their academic tasks. Intervening variables, as presented in Wilson’s model and implied in Niedźwiedzka’s model, provide a valuable insight into reasons for the utilisation or non-utilisation of specific information resources, and therefore provide an insight into the factors that hinder or assist the law students in gaining access to information for their academic endeavours.

Niedźwiedzka’s model also allows for the investigation of very specific information seeking strategies that are thought to be common to law students, which involves the personal search for information by using databases or the Internet, or to search using assistance or services of other people (intermediaries) (Niedźwiedzka, 2003). This implies that law students can choose either of the two approaches (intermediary and personal) to search for information. Intermediaries can be formal or informal, the formal being using librarians and other information personnel and the informal referring to friends, colleagues or family, while own knowledge refers to personal preferences to search for information from law library resources or from their own personal collections.

In addition, researchers have made several efforts to develop, adapt and test models that could help in predicting technology usage. This study is an example of one of these efforts. As it relate to this study, it is assumed that technology or ICT utilisation exerted an important direct effect on information behaviours. Table 4.1 below demonstrates the link between the three theoretical frameworks chosen for this study with the research questions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Research Question(s)</th>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Aspects of research addressed by the theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>What is the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries?</td>
<td>Wilson’s model</td>
<td>Information behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>What are the reasons for which Nigerian law students’ use law library information sources and services?</td>
<td>Niedźwiedzka’s model/Davis model (TAM)</td>
<td>Reasons for utilisation and non-utilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>What information resources are available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries?</td>
<td>Wilson’s model</td>
<td>Resource availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>What ICT sources are available for student utilisation within the law libraries?</td>
<td>Niedźwiedzka’s model/Davis model (TAM)</td>
<td>Electronic information sources utilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>How are Nigerian university law students utilising information resources, specifically, ICT-based resources in the process of information retrieval?</td>
<td>Niedźwiedzka’s model/Davis model (TAM)</td>
<td>ICT-based resources utilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>What skills do law students possess to utilise ICT sources, and how was it obtained?</td>
<td>Davis model (TAM)</td>
<td>ICT application skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>What are the challenges faced by law students utilising ICT-based resources in the process of information retrieval?</td>
<td>Davis model (TAM)</td>
<td>Challenges (PU and PE) faced utilising ICT-based resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.6 Appraisal of the reviewed literature

There is no doubt the fact that, there is a large volume of literature available in the area of information behaviour. Studies have generally investigated professionals and students from diverse disciplines in Nigeria, Kenya, Malaysia, Western world and other regions and countries (e.g. Khan and Bhatti, 2012; Daniel, 2012:35; Savolaine, 2007:109; Tackie and Adams, 2007:69; Case, 2006:293; Kerins et al, 2004;; Odusanya and Amusa, 2003:50; Wilson, 1997:551 and Folster, 1995:83). Most of these studies investigated the information seeking behaviour of their respective respondents, ranging from engineers, lawyers, and agricultural professionals to students from different subject disciplines. However, few researches have been carried out on the information seeking behaviour of law students particularly in Nigerian universities (Ossai, 2011:8).

From the critical review of literature on information behaviour, particularly of law students in the Nigeria context, it was revealed that very limited studies were available. These included, Ogba (2013), Akanwa and Aniche (2012), Lawal et al. (2012) and Ossai (2011). Ogba studied the information seeking behaviour of final year law students from seven university law faculties in South Western Nigeria. The findings indicated that, law students did make use of their law libraries and some other law related libraries to seeking for legal information. While, Akanwa and Aniche (2012) studied the level of law library utilisation by law students, to determine the level of organisation of resources, service and the problems faced by the law students of Imo State University. Ossai’s (2011) in his own study investigated the information seeking patterns of male and female law students at the University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria. Specifically, Ossai studied, how these law students acquired their information, the resources they used, and the purpose for which, they sought information. However, these studies were limited in scope and context as they (Akanwa and Aniche, 2012; and Ossai, 2011) only investigated one university each and focusing only on the South Southern part of Nigeria. In the case of Ogba (2013), out of thirty Nigerian universities that offer law in Nigeria; the study focused mainly on the information seeking behaviour of final year law students from seven law faculties’ in the South Western part of Nigeria. The study by Lawal et al. (2012) concentrated mainly on the information seeking patterns of graduate law students who were attending law schools in Nigeria.

Looking at all these studies in Nigeria, it is obvious that these authors have worked on the general information seeking behaviour of law students within and outside university law
libraries. However, none of the authors have investigated law students’ use of electronic information resources to search for information within the university law libraries or to determine the level of their ICT utilisation to seek for required information in the university law libraries in Nigeria. However, it is important that, the trainee lawyers are able to utilise all kinds of information resources in their different formats to retrieve information for their legal studies. Not this alone, extant literature has also revealed that limited studies has focused on information seeking behaviour of the law students within the purview of the electronic resources. Again, available literature on law students’ information seeking behaviour have also revealed that more of these studies were conducted in developed countries where sophisticated technology has enabled putting in place advance and voluminous electronic information resources from where the law students were able to search and retrieve information without stress. In the same way, limited studies both in developed and developing world have considered using information seeking models (e.g. Wilson, Kuhlthau, Belkin) or information utilisation models (TAM, ISSM, DOI) to determine the information seeking of law students. Therefore, this study seeks to bridge the gap by examining the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian university with specific reference to their ICT utilisation of the available law resources and using the Technology Acceptance Model to determine the use of ICT in searching for and retrieving electronic information from the law libraries.

4.7 Summary
This chapter reviewed ten models of information seeking behaviour. The models by Wilson (1996), Niedźwiedzka (2003) and Davies et al., (1989) were selected to inform this study. These models were discussed and their relation to the study shown. The chapter concluded with a critique of the models and by explaining the link between the theoretical frameworks and the particular model that answered the research questions. The next chapter provides an overview of the research design and methodology of the study.
CHAPTER FIVE
RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

5.1 Introduction
In chapter four, the information seeking behaviour models, as well as, the information and communication technology models were discussed. The literature reviewed on the theories identified the relevant models applicable to the law students’ information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation activities. Based on this, the chapter outlines the research design and methodology, population, sampling method, validity and reliability, as well as, ethical considerations of this study. This chapter also gives a detailed description of the research instruments, procedures and data collection methods used in the study.

5.2 Research
Research is a collection of methods that people systematically use to produce knowledge, and an exciting process of discovery that requires persistence, personal integrity, tolerance for uncertainty, interaction with others, and taking pride in doing quality work (Neuman, 2000:2).
Fraenkel and Wallen (2000:9) describe research as the investigation into any field of knowledge, which is undertaken to establish facts and principles about the research problem. McMillan and Schumacher (2006:9) likewise describe research as a systematic process of collecting and logically analysing data in order to achieve some point. Oloyo (2001:2) posits that research is an enquiry into a problem with the intention of finding solutions through a systematic approach that involves collection, analysis and interpretation of relevant data. Creswell, (2009: 233) explained that research method involves the technique of data collection, analysis and interpretation that researchers propose for their studies. Based on these definitions, research can be understood to be a systematic way of finding answers to questions, and the scientific way of attaining the accuracy of a given situation.

For this study, quantitative and qualitative research methods were used to generate data from multiple points of views in order to improve the accuracy of data collected so as to enable generalisation of the findings on the law students from the universities surveyed.
5.3 The research paradigm

The design of a study begins with topic selection and a paradigm (Creswell, 1994). Kuhn (1970) posits that the paradigm assists the understanding and expectations of human and the social world, how science can be conducted to identify what constitutes genuine problems, solutions and measures to proof the problems. A research paradigm is a set of philosophical assumptions about fundamental aspects of reality that give rise to a particular worldview (Maree, 2011:47; Creswell, 2009:6). A research paradigm therefore refers to the knowledge claims of researchers that start a project with certain expectations about how and what will be learnt from the research in the course of the inquiries (Creswell, 2003:6). Lincoln and Guba (1985:15) and Creswell (2009:48) explain that a paradigm represents what we think about the world, and that the actions taken as inquirers cannot occur without a paradigm. A research paradigm addresses important assumptions about fundamental aspects of reality that give rise to a particular worldview. Kinash (2006:6) opines that a research paradigm is the theoretical collection of beliefs that underlie an approach to data collection. Neuman (2011:91) explains that a research paradigm is a pattern, a model, shared assumptions, or an entire system of thinking about some aspect of the world. The three major types of research paradigms are: positivism (quantitative), interpretive social research (qualitative), and critical social research. Critical social research focuses on the contest, conflict and contradictions in society, for example, issues of gender relations, inequality or women emancipation; racism; class; cultural and religious values; political issues; definition of intelligence (Maree, 2011:62).

The positivism paradigm is mostly applied to quantitative research rather than qualitative research. It is also referred to as positivist or post-positivist research, empirical research, and post-positivism because it represents the thinking after positivism which challenges the “traditional notion of absolute knowledge” (Creswell, 2009:7). This implies that one cannot claim to be absolutely positive about an acclaimed knowledge when examining human behaviour. Positivist social researchers study problems in order to identify and assess causes that influence the outcomes as identified in experiments, which reduce ideas into variables, such as, hypotheses or research questions. Positivist researchers develop knowledge through careful observation and the measurement of objective reality (Creswell, 2009:231), which does not depend on the perception of an individual. Positivist social research merges a deductive approach with quantitative data to enable the researcher to determine and confirm
the laws that permit predictions of human behaviour (Neuman, 2000:516). Positivist researchers gain quantitative data using scientific experiments, surveys and statistics to view reality as a concrete structure. In the course of data collection for this study, the researcher distributed the survey instruments to participants who voluntarily provide their views and observations about their information behaviour.

According to Chigada (2014:93), to use a positivist paradigm, a researcher could experiment with careful controls for bias, using prior theoretical frameworks and delineate specific variables that can be operationally designed based on scholarly literature. This study applied a theoretical framework to validate this research, while data collected from the sample were generalised on the total population of the respondents’ surveyed (Creswell and Miller, 1997). In this study, a semi-structured survey instrument was developed to measure variables for inference from the sampled law students. Therefore, the deductive process of the positivism paradigm was used to determine the accuracy, reliability and validity, which lead to the understanding of law students’ information behaviour in the selected Nigerian universities. This study used interpretive positivists’ paradigm and quantitative approach combined to collect data from law students using a questionnaire.

The interpretive social approach is largely qualitative in nature, because at its core lies the belief that a researcher has to be part of that group of individuals (Creswell, 2009:8) to make meaning out of the situation. Qualitative researchers employ various methods to study how others see the world and to understand human actions by using a qualitative research approach (observation, interview and open-ended questions) to investigate human behaviour (Creswell, 2009:8). For this study, the researcher visited the selected universities to gather information from interviews with the deans of law and law librarians who can answer the research questions on the information behaviour of law students in order to make sense of the participants’ views and remarks. The researcher thus applied an inductive process, designs and themes to collect data to validate issues that were not addressed in the survey to achieve a clearer knowledge of the views and experiences of participants (deans and librarians).
5.4 Research methodology

Research methodology is described as the overall research design and strategy (Johnson and Christensen, 2012:593) that a researcher uses to execute research. Research methodology is a discipline specific approach and process of research (Kinash, 2006:6) that involves a description of the problem to be solved, the problem statement, research objectives and research questions combined to determine the research methods and procedures that are to be adopted in the conduct of research in any discipline (Oloyo, 2001:9). Maree (2011) explained that research method is one of the elements in the framework that involves data collection, analysis and interpretation, which researchers propose for their studies. Research methods are the specific ways in which researchers go about collecting their research data (Kinash, 2006:6). A valid research method involves a sequence of steps taken in a logical order to achieve research objectives and answer the research questions (Oloyo 2001:8).

Examples of research methods include positivist quantitative (questionnaire) and interpretist qualitative (interview and observation) methods. According to Creswell, 2003:4), there are three main approaches to research i.e. quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods. Bazeley (2002) explains that qualitative and quantitative methods are distinguished by the kind of data used, logic employed, method of analysis, approach to explanation, and paradigm. For instance, a quantitative method is mainly used for textual or numeric data collection, deductive reasoning, exploratory investigation, statistical method of analysis, and positivist, Interpretivist or critical paradigms to carry out research. Whereas, quantitative research applies structured or unstructured data employs inductive logical reasoning, uses confirmatory investigation, interpretive analysis and rationalistic or naturalistic research paradigms to investigate in research. Stangor (2011:15) explains that quantitative research is a descriptive survey form of research that uses questionnaires to measure beliefs, attitudes, intentions, behaviour and systematic observation, which are subjected to statistical analysis. The mixed method is the combination of both quantitative and qualitative methods, which provide strength that offsets the weakness in the two methods (Dadzie, 2013:87).

Descriptive survey research focuses on obtaining data from a large group of people in a standardised and systematic way (Neuman, 2011:40). Neuman explains that in a descriptive survey, the researcher may use written questionnaires and/or formal interviews to learn about people’s beliefs, behaviours and opinions. Johnson and Christensen (2012:596) state that descriptive survey research is a non-experimental research method based on
questionnaires or interviews. Researchers who use descriptive surveys often focus on samples or a smaller group of selected people and then generalise the results onto the larger group from which the smaller group was drawn (Neuman, 2011:48; Abdullahi, 1995:19). This study employed a descriptive survey to draw a smaller group from the larger group of respondents (undergraduate law students, deans and librarians) to investigate and observe the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities.

As applicable to this study, the combination of positivist and interpretist approaches were adopted. This was based on the use of a combination of data collection methods as employed in this study (questionnaire, interview and observation). A questionnaire was used to collect numerical data which was statistically analysed, thereby falling in line with a positivist approach. Qualitative data was collected through interview and observation and was thematically analysed, and thus falls in line with an interpretist approach. The use of a combined approach in research has been proved to usually result in the collection of generalisable data (Neuman, 2011, Creswell, 2009, 2003).

5.5 Research design
Research design is the logical sequence that connects empirical data to the research questions and research conclusions. Research design is an approach to thinking about doing research, which is the summary of the procedure for conducting a study that includes when, from whom, and under what conditions the research data was obtained, as well as, how a study was conducted. It is the theoretical aspect of the study that specifies how a study was carried out and the manner in which it answers the research questions (McMillan and Schumacher, 2006; Ikoja-Odongo, 2000:184). The research design method demonstrates how the major parts of the research project come together to achieve the aims and objectives of the research; it is the outline, plan, or strategy that is used to answer research questions (Johnson and Christensen, 2012:593). The purpose of research design is to structure and outline all the major parts of the research project to provide the necessary answers for the study. This study employed the mixed methods to provide a more convincing assurance to the research findings, in which quantitative and qualitative data were combined to investigate and provide a comprehensive analysis of the research problem. A mixed method allows for exploratory description and explanation of each method, and across all methods to provide accurate results or findings (Creswell, 1994). A survey research method represents one of the most common types of quantitative, social science research. In using survey research, the researcher selects a sample
of respondents from a population and administers a standardised questionnaire to them. The questionnaire, or survey, can be a written document that is completed by the person being surveyed, an online questionnaire, a face-to-face interview, or a telephone interview. Using surveys, it is possible to collect data from large or small populations (Writing: Colorado State University, 2014).

This study used survey research design (questionnaires) to obtain data from the law student recipients who were the main focus of the study; used interviews to elicit data from the law faculty deans and law librarians, and observation of the law libraries.

5.5.1 Justifying the use of survey research design

The survey research method was used for the study as an innovative tool that presents the opportunity to combine both quantitative and qualitative data to investigate the research topic (Murphy, 2006). The use of survey research design requires the use of specific forms of data collection methods like, case studies, observation or surveys, and it presents several advantages because it provides a wide-ranging approach for data collection. A survey method provides statistics about an event and illustrates people’s experience. The survey method is used to collect information from a large population that could have been impossible to obtain with other methods. The researcher is able to reach many respondents using research assistants, other colleagues, to administer the survey and thus is not expensive. The research design also offers unique methods of data collection. Studies can be based on several sources such as a literature review or personal explanations that provide insight into respondents’ life experiences. Observation research technique for data collection can be used to study life experiences and it often removes the barriers of strict academic approaches because the researcher is able to see how others experience the event (Murphy, 2006).

The decision to use a survey method to collect data was based on the following:

- Surveys are relatively inexpensive (especially self-administered surveys).
- Surveys are useful in describing the characteristics of a large population. No other method of observation can provide this general capability.
- They can be administered from remote locations using mail, email or telephone.
- Consequently, very large samples are feasible, making the results statistically significant even when analysing multiple variables.
• Many questions can be asked about a given topic giving considerable flexibility to the analysis.
• There is flexibility at the creation phase in deciding how the questions will be administered: as face-to-face interviews, by telephone, as group administered written or oral survey, or by electronic means.
• Standardised questions make measurement more precise by enforcing uniform definitions upon the participants.
• Standardisation ensures that similar data can be collected from groups then interpreted comparatively (between-group study).
• High reliability is easy to obtain through presentation of all questions with a standardized stimulus that eliminate observer bias (Colorado State University, 2014).

5.5.2 Criticism of the survey research design
According to Chigada (2014:89) in spite of the benefits of using survey research method, the method is still faced with some challenges. Murphy (2006) identified confidentiality as the main weakness of survey research, as respondents are often not truthful, as they tend to tell researchers what they think the researchers want to hear, especially during interviews. Participants may also refuse to provide answers to questions that they view to be personal (Murphy, 2006). Additionally, there is the possibility for error and subjectivity, especially, when a predetermined and narrow research survey design is employed. Furthermore, the study may contain errors, as researchers may record what he/she wants to hear and disregard data that does not conform to the research theory (Murphy, 2006).

Colorado State University (2014) sums up the disadvantages of the survey research method as:
• A methodology relying on standardization forces the researcher to develop questions general enough to be minimally appropriate for all respondents, possibly missing what is most appropriate to many respondents.
• Surveys are inflexible as they require the initial study design (the tool and administration of the tool) to remain unchanged throughout the data collection.
• The researcher must ensure that a large number of the selected sample will reply.
• It may be hard for participants to recall information or to tell the truth about a controversial question.
5.6 Research method

Bosire (2011:83) research methods are tools that are used to answer specific questions in order to solve various scientific and practical problems. Scholars claim that research methods are not the same as research methodology, although the two are often confused (Nkomo, 2009:41; Davis, 2005:85; Sarantakos, 1997:34). According to Sarantakos, method is different from methodology because Methodology is the science of methods that hold the standards and principles used to guide the choice, structure, process and use of Method based on the underlying paradigm in order to evaluate new knowledge (Nachmias and Nachmias, 1996:13). Leedy and Omrod (2005:12) explain in Nkomo (2009:43) that research methodology is the general approach that researchers follow when carrying out a research study. Nkomo et al. (2011:132) further clarify that methodology relates to the larger principles and philosophy of research as methodology provides a foundation for research methods. Properly specified methodology helps to provide logical, consistent, and credible findings on which the success of any research is dependent. Neuman (2011:2) differentiates methodology from method, explaining that the terms may seem synonymous, but that methodology is broader and envelops method. Neuman posits that methodology refers to understanding the entire research process i.e. social organisational context, philosophical assumptions, ethics and political impact of new knowledge from research. Neuman also described method as the collection of specific techniques used to select cases, measure and observe social life, gather and refine data, analyse data and report on results (2011:2). Neuman concludes that methods and methodology are closely related and are interdependent. In Information Studies, research methods that are commonly used are qualitative, quantitative or mixed method based on the research instrument. This study employed the combination of qualitative and quantitative (mixed) method to investigate the information behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities.

5.6.1 Qualitative research method

Neuman (2011:174) explains that qualitative research builds on the assumption that certain areas of life are fundamentally qualitative, which is the qualitative research method used for investigating new phenomena and documenting participants’ views about occurrences. This research method studied human behaviour in their natural settings, providing a comprehensive study of participants’ views from their personal perspectives, while providing detailed information about why a phenomenon occurred. Qualitative research is a descriptive research that is typically based on small, non-random samples and is often used for discovery
purposes. Findings from a qualitative study cannot be generalised beyond its narrow research participants (Johnson and Christensen, 2012:429). Green and Browne (2005:46) define the qualitative method as research that explores meaning and produces non-numerical data. Mugenda and Mugenda (1999:203) observe that qualitative researchers’ main collection instruments used to obtain data are observations, interviews and documentary analysis. According to Stangor (2011:15), the data procedures collection sources in qualitative research are: audio or video recordings and field notes.

The study used qualitative research instruments to collect data from the research informants within the universities such as the Deans of law and law librarians who were interviewed in their offices, and an observation schedule which was used to observe the law students’ use of law library information resources, the law library and the universities environments.

The quantitative research technique is commonly used in studies that consist of a large population, where sample respondents are used to represent the total population. Creswell (2009:55) defines a quantitative study as an enquiry into a social or human problem that is based on testing a theory, composed of variables, measured with numbers, and analysed with statistical procedures in order to determine whether the predictive generalisation is true. The quantitative method deals with data that may either be numerically gathered using a set of instruments, or text that can be empirically analysed. Quantitative methods include surveys, questionnaires and laboratory experiments. Neuman (2011:199) states that quantitative research is more concerned with issues of design, measurement and sampling, because their deductive approach emphasizes detailed planning before data collection. Quantitative research is often used to established cause-and-effect relationships and it is very useful in making statistical generalisations about the population (Johnson and Christensen 2012:429). For this study, a quantitative research method was also used to investigate the information behaviour of law students, through the administration of a questionnaire to the law students to gather information from their natural setting within the universities.

5.6.2 Mixed method
The mixed method approach is a form of data collection that combines both quantitative and qualitative instruments to collect data, for example by augmenting a questionnaire with observation and in-depth interviews. The use of qualitative and quantitative approaches is a more complete way to learn about the human behaviour that a researcher is interested in.
According to Morgan (1996:129), research conducted under only one method may not achieve a comprehensive result. The current study used the mixed method approach to elicit information about the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities. Specifically, the study used a questionnaire, interviews and observation instruments, which are discussed in detail in section 5.13 (Method of data analysis).

### 5.7 Placing the study in context

This study was carried out in twelve selected universities that offer law education courses in Nigeria. This study utilised a cross sectional perspective, by considering all four levels of study (second, third, fourth and fifth years) in the Faculty of Law during the academic years 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The samples were selected because they had the law libraries’ physical structure requirements, with qualified librarians managing the law libraries. The study was confined to establishing law students’ information seeking behaviour, available information sources and the utilisation of electronic information sources of the law students, awareness of electronic information sources, devices utilised to access electronic sources and the problems encountered in searching electronic information sources. With an undergraduate law student population of 7,219 (National Universities Commission, Nigerian law students’ nominal roll 2013), a representative study population of 1,534 undergraduate law students were drawn from the selected twelve universities used in the study. This sample was derived using the probability and non-probability sampling technique for the study population of the undergraduate law student in the 2011–2012 academic years (i.e. 1,534: 7,219). A random sampling method was used to arrive at the sample size in this study. Twenty percent was used to derive the sample size of law students according to their year of study.

### 5.8 Population of the study

Best and Kahn (2006:13) define a population as any group of individuals that has one or more characteristics in common distinguishing the group from other individuals. The research population is described as the entire group of people on whom the results of a study are intended to be applied (Johnson and Christensen, 2012:218; Nkomo, 2009:49; Fraenkel and Wallen, 2000:104). The breakdown of public universities that offer law, from second to fifth year undergraduate law students is depicted below:
Table 5.1: Total populations of the law students sampled

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampled universities</th>
<th>200L</th>
<th>300L</th>
<th>400L</th>
<th>500L</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Ibadan, Ibadan</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Benin, Benin</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ilorin, Ilorin</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nigeria, Enugu</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calabar</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogi State University, Anyigba</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarawa State University, Keffi</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imo State University, Owerri</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago- Iwoye</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambrose Alli University</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekiti State University, Ado</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1943</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>7,219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The above table 5.1 shows the total population of undergraduate law students in the twelve universities, in terms of university names, year levels, and the number of law students in each class.

5.9 Sampling techniques and size

Researchers use various sampling techniques to collect data when the population is large and when studying all its elements is not possible (Bosire, 2011:100; Nyandemo, 2007:100). Sampling takes a small group from a larger group, investigates it, and draws an accurate conclusion that can be generalised onto the larger group (Rea and Parker, 2005:115). Walonick (2010) explained that sampling methods are in two categories: probability or non-probability sampling methods.

Probability sampling is a procedure used to select participants where every individual has an equal probability of being selected from the population (Nyandemo, 2007:100). This ensures that samples are representative to enable generalisation of the population (Johnson and Christensen, 2012:219; Creswell, 2009:233; Best and Kahn, 2006:16). Probability sampling
includes: simple random sampling, systematic sampling, and stratified sampling (Walonick, 2010). The study adopted convenience sampling to investigate law students’ information behaviour in Nigerian universities.

A non-probability sampling method was deliberately used to select respondents for the study. StatPac (2014) and Patton (2002:169) posit that the logic and power of purposive sampling lies in selecting information rich cases for study with an intention to select specific cases that will provide the most information for the research questions under study. A purposive sampling technique was used for selecting key informants (12 law deans and 12 law librarians) from the 12 universities. The deans were selected because they were responsible for the academic developments of the law students. The law librarians were selected because they are responsible for the development of law libraries and are in position to speak on the information behaviour of law students. The twelve universities were conveniently selected because they are well-located and easy to reach within the time required, without incurring much cost to select a random sample and practical constraints (Johnson and Christensen, 2012:230). The sample size was obtained from twelve universities, 1534 law students, 12 law deans and 12 law librarians.

The overall sampling frame consists of the 30 public universities that offer a law degree, purposefully selected from all the 124 universities in Nigeria when this study was conducted. The 30 universities have 30,660 law students, 851 deans of law, and 30 law librarians. For this study, the sampling frame consisted of 12 universities conveniently selected out of the 30 law degree awarding universities, 7, 219 law students in their second to fifth year of study, 391 law deans and 12 law librarians.

The selection of the twelve university law libraries was informed by Walonick’s (2010) opinion that when a population is too large for the researcher to attempt to survey all of its members, a small but carefully chosen sample can be used to represent the population. The selection was limited to six federal and six state university law libraries, in accordance to Nigeria’s socio-political zones. Three universities were selected from the North-Central Zone, two from the South-East Zone, four from the South-Western Zone and three from the South-Southern Zone (See Appendix 10). A convenience sampling method (Maree, 2011:177; Best and Kahn, 2006:16) was adopted in the selection process because of the scattered nature of the 30 universities, shortage of funds, limited time, and the hazards
involved in making long journeys on Nigerian roads. It was not feasible to sample all the thirty universities due to the practical constraints experienced, which included various political crises, such as, religious riots and Boko Haram violence in the Northern region at the time of the study. For safety reasons, the researcher used a convenient sampling method to select the universities.

Walonick states that the sample must reflect the characteristics of the population from which the sample is drawn (Walonick, 2010). The university law faculties share common characteristics, offering the same law subjects, use pedagogic approaches to teach the subjects, and have the same demographic characteristics of law students. The law libraries also perform knowledge management of the legal sources and disseminate information sources to law students and other members of the law faculties in Nigerian universities. Based on these reasons, any of the selected university law libraries could be used to represent to a larger extent any of the other university law libraries in Nigeria, regardless of their location in Nigeria. However, due to the convenience sampling method adopted for this study, it can be inferred that findings from the selected law students cannot be used to generalise the entire population of students studying law as a profession in Nigerian universities. Table 5.1 indicates the universities selected and the regions they represent.

The researcher gathered information on the total number of law students in each university, from the law faculty deans and their representatives during the data collection process (See, table 5.1). Best and Kahn’s (2006:19) view is that, there is no fixed number or percentage of respondents that determines the size of an adequate sample, and Kumar’s (2012) opinion is that the significant issue is the actual number or size of the sample, not the percentage of the population. Based on the opinion of these authors’ (Kumar, 2012) and Best and Kahn, 2006:19), this study sample was not based on percentage calculation but purposely sampled 1,534 out of the total number (7,219) of undergraduate law students in the selected twelve universities. However, twenty percent was used to calculate the sample size for law students selected from each academic year (second year to the fifth year i.e. “200L-500L”) due to the small number of students in some of the law faculties.

In order to accurately take a sample from the law student population, the Israel sample size model (2003) was used to determine the sample size. The model states that, a given total population of N, if ±5% is taken for precision levels where the confidence level is 95% and
P=.5, the sample (n) should be = X (Israel, 2003), (See Appendix 1). Based on Israel’s (2003) sample size model, the total population is 7,219; by taken ±3%, the expected sample size should be 959. Going by the model, the sample can be more than this number but it must not be smaller, hence the sample size of 1,534 used in this study.

The study purposively interviewed the Law Faculty deans, because they were expected to provide insight into how teaching is done within their respective faculties. The law librarians were purposively interviewed as the only law librarian in each of the law libraries to give information on how law students seek information within the university law libraries. Lastly, one State university law library (i.e. Adekunle Ajasin University Library) was selected for the pilot study based on judgemental sampling before the main study was carried out in the twelve selected university law libraries. The state university was selected for the pilot, because there were more state universities (16) offering law than there were federal universities. The pilot study was done to test, verify and refine the research instruments in order to identify any problems that the respondents might face in understanding the questions and to reduce inaccuracies and inconsistencies (Gikenye, 2012:94) in the questionnaire.

The pilot study was done using 60 out of the 364 law students in the Faculty of Law at Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba in Nigeria. The law students were given copies of the draft questionnaire to complete and were asked to comment on the clarity and suitability of the questions. According to Gikenye (2012:94), a pre-test helps to improve the reliability of the instrument; therefore, the pre-test was done to reveal any weakness that the questionnaire might have had. Before the instrument was collected from the students, the researcher discussed with them in order to find out areas of the instrument that needed to be improved, in order to increase the reliability of the questionnaire instrument. The outcomes from the exercise led to the modification of some items in the instruments. In the process, some items were eliminated while some others were substituted.

5.10 Data collection instruments
Three data collection instruments were employed, namely a self-administered questionnaire; semi-structured interviews; and an observation schedule. According to Kiplang’at and Ocholla (2005:234) data collection instruments are expected to provide accurate and adequate data in line with the objectives of the study. The choice of the data collection
instrument should depend on the extent to which it would provide precise and adequate data on the variables that the researcher wishes to study (Kiplang’at and Ocholla, 2005:234; Keya et.al., 1989).

5.10.1 Questionnaire

A questionnaire is a form consisting of a set of questions that is distributed to a statistically large number of respondents as a way of gathering information for a research survey. Maree (2011:157) describes a questionnaire as a data collection instrument that is often administered to groups to collect data, where the researcher waits while the group of respondents complete the questions. Johnson and Christensen (2012) view a questionnaire as a self-report instrument, a data collection instrument that each research participant fills out on his or her own as part of a research study. It is used to obtain information about the thoughts, feelings, attitudes, values, perceptions, personality, beliefs and behavioural intentions of the research participants.

The questionnaire can be personally administered, sent through the mail, or used as part of an interview process, and can be a highly effective means of obtaining data for a research study (Nkomo, 2009:47). A questionnaire is an instrument commonly used to collect data in information seeking research, and can either be used alone or in conjunction with other methods of data collection.

The survey questionnaire was the main data collection instrument in this study because it can collect data from a large sample of respondents within a relatively short period of time (Taylor-Powell and Hermann, 2000:3). Additionally, Nyagowa (2012:82) explains that the advantages of questionnaires in research include the fact that the questionnaire costs little in the execution of research, the respondents can have the freedom to choose when to complete the questionnaire, and it gives the researcher alternatives in terms of the different ways in which it can be administered. Anderson (2011:7) and Cuffe (2002) corroborate that questionnaires are cost-effective and practical to administer, provide hard quantitative data that is relatively easy to collect and analyse (Anderson, 2011:7), and enable the survey of a significantly sized and representative sample that improves the reliability of the conclusions made about the entire population. It also encourages frank answers, largely because it is easier for the researcher to guarantee anonymity (Anderson, 2011:7; Cuffe, 2002).
5.10.1.1 Questionnaire layout

The questionnaire consisted of twenty items divided into two parts: Part I, Biographical Data inquired about the law students’ gender, age, name of university and level of study. Part (II), tried information seeking behaviour of law students, was concerned with issues such as the purpose for which legal information resources are required, the ability to search in printed and electronic information sources in the law libraries, methods used to gain information seeking skills, frequency of use of sources, ICT availability, efficiency and utilisation in the law libraries, and challenges in the utilisation of information sources in the law libraries.

The questionnaire schedule consisted of both open-ended (unstructured) and close-ended (structured) questions. An open-ended question requires the subject to provide an unstructured, free response to a question. These types of questions are commonly used in an interview or in focus group discussions. A close-ended question offers a fixed set of responses that a respondent has to choose from. Close-ended questions are commonly used in large-scale surveys because they are faster and easier for both the respondents and the researcher (Neuman, 2011:323). The combination of open-ended and close-ended questions in this study was done to reduce the disadvantage of question format. According to Neuman (2011:323) mixing the two offers a change of pace and helps researchers to establish a rapport with the respondents.

5.10.2 Interview

An interview is a two-way conversation in which an interviewer asks interviewees/participants questions about their ideas, beliefs, views, opinions, and behaviour (Maree, 2011:87). Interviews are a common instrument in qualitative research. According to Walonick (2010), an interview is a way of getting detailed and comprehensive information from respondents. When it is correctly used, it serves as a valuable source of information because it shows the world through the eyes of the participant. The aim is to obtain rich descriptive data that will help the researcher to understand the participants and their social realities (Maree, 2011:87).

A personal interview is very expensive because of the one-to-one nature of the process. Usually, the interviewer will ask questions from a written questionnaire and record the answers verbatim (Walonick, 2010). In qualitative research, interviews play an important role because they enable the expert analysis of the informant’s subjective perspectives and
allow the interviewer to probe further whenever something is interesting or clarification is necessary (Bosire, 2011:103; Mouton, 2009). Bosire (2011:103) posits that one “qualitative requirement is that an interview enables the interviewer to study the phenomena in their natural environments and that it also ensures uniformity and consistency in asking a question as previously outlined”. Interviews fall into three categories, i.e. unstructured (open-ended), semi-structured and structured (close-ended) interviews.

In an unstructured interview, the interviewer and respondents engage in a formal interview in which they have a scheduled time to sit and speak with each other. The interviewer has a clear plan in mind regarding the focus and goal of the interview, which guides the discussion without a structured interview guide. Instead, the interviewer builds rapport with respondents, getting respondents to open-up and express themselves in their own way. Questions tend to be open-ended and it expresses little control over informants' responses (Cohen and Crabtree, 2006). An unstructured interview is considered to be the opposite of a structured interview which offers a set of standardised questions. It is a qualitative research method; it prioritises validity and the depth of the interviewees' answers, whilst losing reliability and making it more difficult to draw patterns between interviewees' responses (Mouton, 2009). According to Mouton, through a semi-structured interview, incidents or topics can be explored until behaviour, thoughts and feelings are adequately reported. Semi-structured interview schedules were employed in this study to interview the key informants of the study (law deans and law librarians).

5.10.2.1 Structure of the interview schedule for law librarians
The interview schedule was divided into two sections. Section one sought the views of librarians on the information seeking behaviour of law students, specifically:

- Types of information sources available in the law libraries that can address law students’ enquiries or which the law students can be referred to.
- The sources that most law students prefer when they require information.
- The manner in which the law students prefer to receive information that they request in the law library, i.e. oral, print or visual; electronic or print format.
- Whether the law students know how to search for information.
Section two sought the views of the law librarians on law students’ access to and usage of ICT, specifically:

- Digital resources available in the law libraries, and its popularity among students.
- Librarians’ views about law students’ use of law library sources.
- Methods employed to encourage or inform students about the library’s digital information resources.
- The constraints and challenges preventing law students from effectively utilising the digital information sources in the law libraries.

5.10.2.2 Structure of the interview schedule for law deans

The deans of the law faculties were interviewed about the information seeking behaviour of law students and the level to which they encourage and help law students to use law libraries’ information resources and ICT facilities when searching for information. Questions were asked on:

- The teaching methods used to teach the law students
- Type of class preparation expected from the law students
- The types of sources that law students are expected to use for assignments
- If, and how law students are taught information seeking skills by the law deans
- The types of information sources available in the law department that law students could use

5.11 Observation

Observation is a systematic way of recording behavioural patterns of participants, objects, or occurrences without necessarily exchanging words with the research subjects (Chapter 11 Observation Methods, 239). Devlin (2006) explains that observation measurements are used to gather information about behaviours of interest, with the goal that the researcher’s presence does not change the behaviours observed. It is a qualitative data gathering technique that enables researchers to gain a deeper insight into the phenomenon under observation. According to Maree (2011:83), it is agreed that the researcher learns the most by participating in the research situation by observing the respondents.

The basic records of observation are the notes that researchers take either during or immediately after leaving the field. These serve to provide a detailed description of what the
researcher has heard or seen in concrete and specific terms. The observation method can be used to complement the data obtained through other methods (Robson, 2003).

In this study, observation method was used to complement the questionnaire and interview methods used to elicit information from the law students, law deans and law librarians. The researcher used both formal and informal observation approaches to observe the environment of law faculty libraries and the law students’ ability to search for information using a computer and the Internet. During visits to the surveyed law libraries, the researcher followed an informal observation schedule that consisted of three sections (see Appendix 6. In the first section, the researcher observed the physical appearance of the libraries and the immediate environment of the law libraries (i.e. the outside). The second section shifted focus to the interior parts of the library. The third stage observed the ICT facilities available and how law students utilise them in law libraries, as well as other relevant aspects on the topic under study. For the formal observation, the researcher could not observe law students’ ability to utilise electronic information sources within the university electronic law libraries, due to the challenges faced by the law libraries in providing ICT resources, which caused access denial to the electronic law libraries. Therefore, information search and retrieval observation tests were carried out on some of the law students at the cyber cafes on or around campuses to physically verify the students’ ability to use ICT resources to search for information.

5.12 Data collection procedure
The data collection procedure refers to the protocol followed to ensure that data collection tools and logistical processes are properly applied to ensure correct outcomes (Gikenye, 2012:103). The procedure includes pre-fieldwork, fieldwork and post-fieldwork logistics; obtaining the necessary research permits; and pre-testing the data collection instruments (Mugenda, 2008). Data collection took about four months beginning from the end of December, 2011 to April, 2012. The data collected was analysed with Microsoft Excel Statistical package (see, Chapter 6).

5.12.1 Pre-fieldwork
Research permission was obtained from the twelve universities in Nigeria before proceeding with the study. Research permission is a legal requirement for those who intend to conduct research in Nigeria. Letters requesting for permission to carry out this research were written
by the author’s supervisor and the author respectively and sent to the Vice Chancellors, librarians, and the deans of law at the twelve universities in Nigeria. Thereafter, appointments were made with the Deans and the law librarians of the surveyed universities. Upon arrival at the universities, the researcher held introductory talks with the Deans to explain the reason for the study. The researcher enjoyed the goodwill of these informants. The Deans and their representatives showed keen interest in this research and requested the Faculty Officers, law librarians and the law student presidents to facilitate easy access to law students, the law libraries, lecture halls, faculty corridors, hostels, and anywhere else that law students frequent.

A few of the informants who were initially pre-occupied with their official work collected a copy of the interview schedule and requested an appointment for another day when they would be less busy. The librarians gave their full support, and some assisted in distributing and collecting the questionnaire. The efforts of the key informants enabled the researcher to administer and retrieve quite a large numbers of copies of the questionnaires. The researcher employed the services of 12 research assistants, one assistant for each university. They were given adequate training on the purpose of the study and on how to administer and retrieve the completed questionnaire. One research assistant helped to administer and retrieve the questionnaire instrument in one law faculty, while the researcher and one each of the other research assistants personally distributed the instrument to respondents in the other eleven law faculties.

5.12.2 Administration of questionnaires

In order to save time and ensure high response rates, the researcher employed twelve trained research assistants to administer the questionnaire to the respondents. The total numbers of law students in 200L to 500L (second to fifth year) in the university law faculties was collected from the respective Faculty Offices to enable even distribution of the questionnaire to the law students according to the stipulated percentage of the population of law students earmarked for questionnaire administration. Having known the number of registered 200L - 500L law students at each university at the time of the study, the author moved around with the help of an assistant in each faculty to administer the questionnaire to the required samples of law students.
The questionnaire instruments were personally administered by the author after addressing the law students on the purpose of the research survey. The author and the research assistants monitored the completion of the questionnaire and collected the completed questionnaire instruments from the law students. To ensure that all the targeted student populations received the questionnaire, it was administered to respondents in the lecture theatres and law faculty corridors. The researcher was able to identify the year levels (200L-500L) with the assistance of the “Law Students Association (LAWSA) Presidents” of each Law Faculties, who assigned the course representative of each level to take the researcher round the lecture halls, hostels and other places where law students may be found on the campuses. Law students were also targeted when they visited the law faculty libraries. Instructions were clearly read to them on how to respond to the listed items and efforts were made to establish good relationships with the students to enable a high response rate. The law deans also assisted by allowing the author to briefly address law students in the lecture theatres on the purpose of the research.

One thousand, five hundred and thirty four (1,534) questionnaires were administered, and 1,260 were retrieved, giving a response rate of 82%.

5.12.3 Administration of interviews

In this study, the researcher, with the assistance of two research assistants, personally visited all the law deans and law librarians in their private offices to carry out the interviews. Based on the letter of request for permission to carry out the interview with the key informants, the researcher received the goodwill of the deans and librarians. The research assistants helped with recording the oral interviews and the informants consented to the use of a camera to take pictures during interviews. The interviews were initiated by the researcher by giving the informants a full explanation concerning the purpose of the study. A total of 20 (83%) recorded interviews were done out of the 24 planned interviews, as four of the deans were not able to meet the appointment dates set for the interview because of the industrial action embarked upon by the academic staff unions. The return rate was deemed sufficient to make generalisations from the opinions of the informants.

5.12.4 Administration of observations

The informal observations were done during the first visit to the university campuses and the law libraries. The researcher informally observed issues such as the law faculty buildings,
the interior of the law libraries and the available facilities. It was observed that in most of the law libraries, law students could not access the electronic libraries due to challenges enumerated in Chapter 6, and in Table 6.6.

The author further re-visited the universities to formally observe the law students who claimed that they could use ICT facilities to source for information. Ten out of the twelve universities were conveniently selected for the second practical observation of the law students’ ICT search skills. The author was not able to visit all of the 12 university law libraries during the second observation visits due to the financial costs of the second visits to the universities. The costs incurred included travel expenses to and from the universities, transporting respondents to cyber cafes located outside the university campuses, purchase of airtime for each respondent to access Internet at the cyber cafes and miscellaneous expenses.

The observation test was computer-based with type written instructions to guide the participants. At the cyber cafes, participants were addressed on what to do, while the instruction guides were also distributed to them. Thereafter, ten Internet-connected computers assigned to each member of the ten participants for the ability test. The researcher and her research assistants stood over participants’ shoulders to watch and award marks as the students logged to browse following the typed written instructions. Five response categories (valued 1-5) were used to grade each item tested and ranged through: “Very Able (5); Able (4); Unable (3); Not Able (2) and Not Able At all (1).

The participants were observed during the test on their: general ability to operate computers; ability to use the browser; ability to generate or type applicable keywords; ability to find applicable sources; ability to download documents from a database; ability to save a document onto a hard drive or a flash disk, and ability to email it to themselves and to others.

5.12.5 Problems encountered during the administration of research instruments

As already stated, the universities that offer law degrees are spread across different states in Nigeria, and the author had to travel extensively to reach them. From the outset, the research venture encountered some difficulties, firstly because most of the Nigerian universities were on Christmas holiday, with a few on the verge of closing for vacation as the universities were not running the same academic calendar or timetable. Managing appointments with the
key informants proved to be challenging, as some of the deans of law and law librarians were focusing their attention on the second semester examinations that were in progress at the time.

Some of the key informants could not comply with the request for immediate interviews; four deans of law were not able to make their interview appointments upon returning from holiday. This was mainly due to the immediate and successive industrial strikes embarked upon by the university workers shortly after the end of their holidays. This, coupled with long distances, shortage of time, and insufficient funds, made it impossible for the researcher to interview all of the key informants.

When the fieldwork commenced the following year, many of the universities were resuming first semester session work after the industrial action and this also created problems because the students were pre-occupied with registration and were not always responsive to requests for immediate completion of the questionnaire.

The author had to re-visit the universities after the students had completed registration. Hence, the author made several return journeys to many of the universities to retrieve copies of completed questionnaires. The exception were three law faculties whose research assistants and law librarians kindly posted copies of the completed questionnaires.

Second year law students at a particular state university did not appear to understand the difference between an academic research study visit and the National Universities Commission (NUC) accreditation visit. The NUC which is appointed to regulate and approve the establishment of all universities and curriculum modules normally pays periodic visits to universities in Nigeria in order to determine the viability of the universities. NUC representatives usually tour the faculties, libraries, laboratories and lecture theatres to inspect the available facilities and determine their capability, and approval or Licences can be withdrawn from any department that is found wanting. The second year law students at this university thought that the author was in their university on behalf of the NUC to spy on their faculty programmes, and they refused to accept or complete the questionnaire, in spite of all the efforts made to explain the purpose of the research study and its significance. The author later discovered that their class representative was behind the impasse, and while a verbal report was made to the faculty officer, who tried to mediate the situation, the majority
of the second year law students from this university still did not complete the questionnaire. Some students returned the questionnaire blank or uncompleted, while a number of others went away with the questionnaire without returning them.

Travel also posed a major constraint; the core northern region of the country was not accessible due to security issues, particularly the Boko Haram insurgency and terror attacks. Some of the universities were also very far away from the major cities. The roads were not in good shape; journeys that were supposed to take less than five hours eventually took eight to ten hours. All of these problems consumed time and a lot of money was expended on transportation.

5.13 Method of data analysis
Data analysis is referred to as documentary review of the research instruments (questionnaire, interview and observation), which entails going through existing documents that are likely to provide useful information for the study (Kiplang’at, 2004:151). The data collected from the questionnaire responses were collated and analysed with the Microsoft Excel Statistical version using simple frequency counts and percentages. Data collected through the face to face interview with the 20 key informants was transcribed and arranged into themes for content analysis by the author. Data from the research observation of the law students’ information retrieval skills were also arranged into themes and content analysed.

5.13.1 Validity and reliability of the research instruments
Bosire (2011:105) describes validity as “a measure of how well a test measures what it is supposed to measure while reliability is a measure of how consistent the results from the test are”, Walonick (2010) states that validity represents the accuracy or truthfulness of a measurement, which is generally based on the judgment of the researcher. In other words when the research instrument is developed, each question is scrutinised and modified until the researcher is satisfied that it accurately measures the desired construct, and has covered the area/topic that is being investigated.

Maree (2011:38) posits that the validity of qualitative designs includes the degree to which the interpretations and concept used have mutual meaning for the participants and the researcher in order to maintain the least amount of interference while increasing the quality of the data. Validity refers to the truthfulness and relevance of the data obtained in
representing the variables of the research study. Maree further states that in order to be taken seriously by the scientific community, researchers need to make sure that the way in which phenomena are explained match reality. Hence, validity confirms the authenticity of research. Researchers can work to ensure validity by having the research instrument reviewed by experts (internal validity) and through the use of rich descriptions of the participants and contexts to facilitate external validity. Six different approaches can be used to ensure internal validity in qualitative research: crystallisation, member checks, long-time observation, peer examination, collaborative research, and clearing research bias through describing the researchers’ expectations, views and theories before starting the research (Maree, 2011:38; Merriam, 1998).

Based on Maree’s (2011:216) internal validity approach, before starting the research, the study tested the validity of the questionnaire by having experts (supervisors and other investigators) review the questionnaire to determine whether it actually elicits information on the information behaviour of law students. Additionally, a pilot study was done to establish whether the questionnaire elicits valid responses from the law students.

Reliability is synonymous with repeatability. The important matter of any investigation is its reliability, in other words the degree to which the data acquired is relevant, valuable, reliable and valid. Reliability refers to the degree of consistency with which an instrument measures whatever it is supposed to measure. Reliability suggests that when the same thing is repeated under identical situations, it will produce similar results. Maree (2011:38) explains that reliability has to do with consistency of a measure or an instrument like questionnaire and that high reliability is obtained when the measure or instrument gives the same results if the research is repeated on the same sample (Maree, 2011:147). Walonick (2010) explains that a test-retest can be used to measure the reliability of a research instrument. Therefore, the reliability of a research instrument places an upper limit on its validity, because a measurement that lacks reliability is also likely to lack validity.

Walonick (2010) further explains that a measurement that yields consistent results over time is said to be reliable and that measurements that are prone to random error lack reliability. Mugenda and Mugenda (1999:152) likewise agree that reliability is a measure of the degree to which a research instrument yields consistent results after repeated trials. Gikenye (2012:94) posits that reliability may be ‘influenced by random error’, that is a deviation
from the true measurement due to factors that researchers have not foreseen or effectively addressed, and that reliability decreases as random error increases.

In order to maximise the validity of the data collected for this study, a pilot survey was conducted before the actual study to test, verify and refine the research instruments, and to minimise inaccuracies and inconsistencies (Gikenye, 2012:94). The pre-testing exercise was carried out to identify any problems that the respondents were likely to face with the questionnaire. In other words, the outcomes of the survey enable removal of some of the items in the questionnaire used for the collection of data, while some others were used to substitute those removed. Overall, the reliability co-efficient yielded an $r = 0.85$ via Crobach Alpha.

**5.13.2 Pilot survey**

A pilot survey reveals the pertinent issues that will be encountered during the full implementation of the research instrument(s). Part of the purpose of a pilot study is also for research planning as it provides an indication of how long the study will take to complete (Nyagowa, 2012:84). The pilot survey targeted sixty out of 356 law students from the Faculty of Law in Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria. Mugenda and Mugenda (1999) explain that the pre-test exercise increases the reliability of the instrument, and so the pre-test for this study was done to reveal any weaknesses that the research instruments might have had, such as the inability of respondents to understand certain questions.

The law students in Adekunle Ajasin University were requested to complete and also comment on the clarity and suitability of the questionnaire instrument. Discussions were held with the students to determine the areas of the instrument that required improvement. The majority of the respondents refused to complete the consent forms attached; they complained about not wanting to disclose their identity through their names. The researcher therefore effected changes on the instrument. The pilot study also revealed that first year law students are only offered one law subject (Legal Method); other subjects are in other subject disciplines related to law education. Law students in their first year were eventually not included in the main study because they lacked adequate knowledge about law libraries, and were not able to give responses to the practical questions in the questionnaire.
5.13.3 Ethical issues
Ethical issues must be considered in research because researchers are dealing with human beings (Bosire, 2011:105). Ethical issues in research include: confidentiality, permission to undertake research, voluntary participation, and honesty, to name a few. The author ensured that all ethical issues were observed while carrying out this study, beginning with getting ethical clearance to do the study from the University of Zululand (See Appendix 11), and a letter requesting for permission to do research written to the Vice Chancellors, Librarians and Deans of the Law Faculties of Nigerian participating universities (see: Appendix 12). When it was time to collect data, the author addressed the law students about the objectives and significance of the study and sought their consent to participate. The author assured all the respondents that the information that they provided would be treated with utmost confidentiality and strictly used for the purposes of the research only. They were also informed that their identities would only be known to the researcher.

The researcher was open and honest with the law student respondents, and informed them about the use of a camera to take pictures with them during the questionnaire administration and the information retrieval observation. After telling them about the camera, the law students happily agreed to snap pictures with the author during and after the questionnaire administration. Thereafter, the majority of the law students voluntarily responded to the questionnaires (see Appendixes 13) for the pictures taken with some of the law students and the study’s key informants).

5.14 Summary
The aim of this chapter was to discuss the research design and methodology used in this study. It also provided a detailed explanation of the study’s research paradigm, research design, research methodology and the research methods. The chapter also explained the procedures used to select the study population, sample frame, the sampling technique and sample size, and the procedures employed in designing the research instruments, for checking the validity and reliability of the research instruments, data collection, analysing data, and addressing ethical issues in the course of this study.

The study employed non-probability sampling techniques, and qualitative and quantitative mixed method approaches for data collection. The study utilised a questionnaire instrument, and semi-structured interviews with the key informants (law deans and law librarians) to
elicit data on the information seeking approaches and ICT utilisation skills of the law students. The researcher also followed up with direct observation of the law faculties’ environments, law libraries, and whether the law students actually utilised ICT while searching for information in law libraries. The researcher also conducted an observation test of some law students at the cyber cafes to determine the extent to which the law students were actually able to use ICT and the Internet for information seeking purposes.

The next chapter presents the data collected from the questionnaire, interviews and observation.
CHAPTER SIX
DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

6.1 Introduction
This chapter presents and analyses the data obtained from the questionnaires, interviews and observations that focused on the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of undergraduate law students in Nigerian universities. Researchers give reasonable meaning to data through analysis, interpretation and discussion (Neuman, 2011:507). Data analysis involves the systematic process of organising, integrating and examining data to enable the concrete explanation of patterns and the relationships between findings in relation to the focus of inquiry. According to Lawal et al., (2012:134), researchers must have a clear understanding of the nature of the study before choosing the data collection method, which in turn will determine the method of data analysis. A descriptive survey method was used to conduct this study. Data analysis was divided into three sections based on the research instruments used to gather data from the different respondents:

-Section one (6.2) presents data collected from law students through a self-administered questionnaire (Appendix 2). The section also includes the findings from an information search retrieval ability test (Appendix 3) that was used to observe law students’ ICT utilisation skills.

-Section two (6.2.1.4) presents data collected from the interview schedules (Appendices 4 and 5), face to face and telephone interviews were used to elicit information from key informants (deans of law and law librarians).

-Section three (6.4.7) presents findings from observations of the law library facilities, environment and activities, including law students’ use of the law libraries (Appendix 6).

The data collected from the three instruments provides overlapping and complementary results that create a corroborated picture of the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation of law students in Nigerian universities. The key informants were interviewed on the information seeking behaviour of law students because they are experts in legal educational development and work with law students on a daily basis. The interviews would therefore provide an outside perspective into the law students’ behaviour, and either
corroborate or refute the law students’ perceptions of their own behaviour. The observation of the law libraries and the law students equally enabled the author to evaluate the entire research scenario, ranging from law library environment, to sources, services, staff, and other relevant issues.

6.2 Data analysis: Law student responses
This section presents data obtained through a self-administered questionnaire that was distributed to law students in twelve university law libraries. The questionnaire addressed the research questions listed in Chapter one (Section 1.7). The data was organised according to the following themes: university participation; demographic information; information seeking behaviour of law students; ICT availability in law libraries; information seeking challenges; and suggestions to improve law libraries’ sources and services. The total population of law students in the twelve law faculties selected from the Nigerian universities was 7,219 law students, with 1,534 law students selected for the sample. One thousand, two hundred and sixty (1,260, 82%) usable questionnaires were retrieved. The responses at the university law libraries ranged between 99 - 67%, and the lowest response rate, although still high, was 67%. Table 6.1 shows the response rates of the law students across the twelve law libraries in Nigerian universities.
**TABLE 6.1: University participation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of University</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Retrieved</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma</td>
<td>AAU</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekiti State University, Ado</td>
<td>EKSU</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imo State University, Owerri</td>
<td>IMSU</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogi State University, Anyigba</td>
<td>KSU</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarawa State University, Keffi</td>
<td>NSU</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obafemi Awolowo University</td>
<td>OAU</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olabisi Onabanjo University</td>
<td>OOU</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Benin, Benin-City</td>
<td>UNBEN</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Calabar, Calabar</td>
<td>UNCAL</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ibadan, Ibadan</td>
<td>UNIB</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Ilorin, Ilorin</td>
<td>UNIL</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nigeria, Enugu</td>
<td>UNEC</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,219</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,534</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,260</strong></td>
<td><strong>82</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2.1 Demographic information
This section provides information on the gender, age, academic year and the level of education of the law students sampled for this study.

6.2.1.1 Distribution of law students by gender
The aim of this question was to determine the gender distribution of the respondents to ensure that both genders were represented in the study. The results are illustrated in Figure 6.1.

**FIGURE 6.1 GENDER N= 1,260**
Figure 6.1 shows that 650 (51%) of the respondents were male, 588 (47%) were female, and 22 (2%) respondents did not indicate their gender. The respondents were almost equally divided, although there were slightly more male respondents from the sampled universities.

6.2.1.2 Age
The respondents were requested to indicate their ages. Figure 6.2 below shows the age distribution of the respondents
As expected, the younger age groups were most represented. The majority (1074; 85%) of the respondents were between the ages of 18- 27 years, followed by law students between the ages of 28-37 years (109; 9%). The next highest group were aged of 38 - 47 years (32; 3%), and 48-57 years (18; 1%). Only 2 (0.1%) respondents indicated that they were more than 58 years old, and 24 (1.9%) respondents did not indicate their ages.

6.2.1.3 Distribution of respondents according to university and level of education

The law students were also asked to indicate their academic year/level of education at their respective universities. Four categories were used to describe the educational levels of the respondents, i.e. 200L, 300L, 400L and 500L (2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th years). Table 6.2 below shows the results.
In the law faculties of the listed universities, law courses are only offered from second year (200 to 500 levels) due to the nature of the law curriculum of the universities. Three hundred and fifteen (315, 25%) of the respondents were in 200L, 364 (29%) were in 300L, 290 (23%) were in 400L, and 500L had 291 (23%) respondents. This report showed that all the year levels were well represented, in exception of the year one law students that were purposely not surveyed due to the law curriculum structure, whereby law students do not generally offer law courses in their first year at the universities.

6.2.2 Information seeking behaviour of law students

This section sought to determine how students search for and use information. Although the study’s ultimate goal was to look at the role of ICT in the information seeking behaviour of law students, it was important to first establish general information seeking behaviour trends involving both print and electronic sources, and to determine whether the students possessed the skills to actually search for and retrieve useful information using these sources. The results of the findings from the law students are presented in the sections that follow.
6.2.2.1 Attitudes and opinions about the available information resources

A question sought to determine how the respondents felt about the information resources available to them in law libraries. The respondent had to indicate whether he or she agreed or disagreed with each statement. Five options were provided, i.e. ‘strongly agree’ (SA), ‘agree’ (A), ‘undecided’ (UN), ‘disagree’ (DA), and ‘strongly disagree’ (SDA). The summary of the responses is shown in Table 6.3.
### TABLE 6.3 ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS CONCERNING INFORMATION SERVICES IN THE LAW LIBRARY (N=1260)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude/opinion</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Internet provides better information than the law library</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The computer networks are very fragile</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant and current law textbooks/journals are available in law library</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer to get information from my own textbooks</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The electronic law library is fully equipped and user friendly</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel bored searching for information in the law library</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library staff are not helpful</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I source useful materials from our database in the law library</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The law library is for reading and writing assignments only</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not like to patronise the law library</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.3 indicates the overall responses concerning the attitudes and opinions of the law students toward the information resources available to them in Nigerian university law libraries. A high percentage of the respondents 74% disagreed (34% strongly disagreed and 40% disagreed) with the statement “I do not like to patronise law libraries”, 8% did not respond nor reply, while only 11% agreed (6% strongly agreed and 7% agreed) that they do not like to patronise law libraries. This shows that the majority (74%) of respondents valued the law libraries and would like to frequent the law libraries.

Eighty-seven percent (87%) of respondents agreed (33% strongly agreed and 54% agreed) that relevant and current print sources are available in the law libraries, 8% could not decide and did not respond and (5%) of respondents disagreed (2.3% strongly disagreed and 3.1% disagreed) with the statement. Forty-four percent (44%) of respondents agreed (16% strongly agreed and 28% agreed) that they preferred to get information from their own textbooks, 13% were undecided, 4% did not respond, and 39% disagreed (26% strongly disagreed and 13% disagreed). Respondents appeared to be about equally divided on this point. This report points to the fact that print sources are the main information sources available for undergraduate law students’ use in the law libraries.

Sixty-four (64%) of respondents agreed (39% strongly agreed and 25% agreed) that the Internet provides better information than the law library, 16% were not able to decide 4% did not respond, 16% disagreed (11% strongly disagreed, 5% disagreed) with the statement, while 4% did not respond. This result indicated that the majority (64%) of law students claimed that the Internet provides access to more information than the law libraries.

The statement, ‘law libraries’ electronic libraries are fully equipped and user friendly’ was supported by forty-one percent of respondents (20% strongly agreed and 21% who agreed). 15% of respondents could not decide and 5% did not answer this statement, while 39% disagreed (17% strongly disagreed and 22% disagreed). However 5% of respondents did not respond to the statement. This finding implied that the electronic law libraries were not fully equipped and were not user friendly, as the majority (59%) of respondents claimed that that the electronic libraries were not fully equipped and user friendly, while only 41% of respondents affirmed the statement.

The statement ‘I source useful law materials from the law libraries databases’, drew the support of 32% respondents (11% strongly agreed and 21% also agreed). However, 15% of the law students were undecided and 6% did not reply, whereas 47% disagreed (24%
strongly disagreed and 23% disagreed) with this statement. Thus, law students’ responses indicated that only a few (32%) of the respondents search for information using law libraries’ databases. While the majority, (68%) of respondents, did not search for useful law materials using law library databases.

Forty-five percent (45%) of the respondents agreed (15% strongly agreed and 30% agreed) that computer networks are very fragile, 34% could not decide nor respond (27% were undecided and 7% remained silent, while 21% disagreed (13% strongly disagreed and 8% disagreed). This finding indicated that 78% of law students consider law library computer networks to be very fragile, which might be due to the inadequate computer networks and inaccessibility of the electronic law libraries.

Twenty-five percent (25%) of respondents agreed (10% strongly agreed, while 15% agreed) that the ‘law library is for reading and writing assignments only’, 11% could neither decide (6% and 5%) did not respond, but 64% of the law students disagreed (29% strongly disagreed and 35% disagreed) with the statement. The 11% respondents who could not decide nor reply were likely to be undergraduate law students who did not patronise law libraries, and as such could not make any categorical statement in response to the question. This is likely to be the case with all non-responses to the questions for which some of the law students could neither decide nor respond to the statements. This report indicated that the majority, (64%) of the respondents, believed that the law libraries can provide better and more useful information services than a mere reading and writing place.

Sixty-nine percent (69%) of respondents disagreed (29% strongly disagreed and 40% disagreed) with the statement: ‘I feel bored searching for information in the law library’, and 4% could not decide, while 5% did not provide responses. However, (22%) of the respondents agreed (7% strongly agreed and 15% agreed) with the statement. This showed that a large number (69%) of the students did not feel bored searching for information in the law libraries.

Thirty-three percent (33%) of respondents agreed (13% strongly agreed and 20% agreed) with the statement that ‘library staff are not helpful’, 20% could not decide or did not reply, while 47% disagreed, (17% strongly disagreed and 30% disagreed). The result therefore, indicated that the majority (53%) of law students believed that the law library staff members were not helpful to them when searching for information in the law libraries.
6.2.2.2 Purpose for which legal information resources is needed

This question required the respondents to indicate why they required legal information sources in their studies. The respondents were requested to tick all the options they deemed fit. The responses are shown in Table 6.4.

**TABLE 6.4 PURPOSE FOR WHICH LEGAL INFORMATION SOURCES ARE REQUIRED (N=1,260)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To further my knowledge on legal issues</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To complete assignments</td>
<td>1038</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To update myself with the latest developments on the legal front</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To augment my lecture notes</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To study the outcomes of court cases</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To participate in class discussions</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Specify)</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Total exceeds required sample because respondents were allowed to select more than one response.

The majority of the respondents indicated that they needed the law library information resources to further their knowledge on legal issues (1093; 87%), closely followed (1038; 82%) by those respondents that needed law library information resources to complete assignments. A large number of respondents (994; 79%) also needed law library information resources to keep up-to-date with the latest developments on the legal front. Other purposes for which law library information resources were needed include: to augment their lecture notes (878; 70%), to study the outcome of legal cases (844; 67%), and to enable them to participate in class discussions (816; 65%). Those who indicated that they used the law library for “other” purposes mentioned that they read in the law libraries to be able to write examinations; that they used it to enable them to excel in the examinations; to gain a better understanding of what was taught in class; to broaden their knowledge; to keep abreast of what is happening in other places; to read extensively; to have a discerning mind; to be versatile on every issue and to be able to do research.
6.2.2.3 Ability to search for print and electronic information sources in law libraries

Respondents were also asked to indicate whether they had the necessary skills to search for information using the print and electronic sources in their law libraries. The aim was to ascertain whether law students in Nigerian universities are able to use both traditional and electronic information sources in their search for information. Figure 6.3 illustrates their responses.

![Information seeking skills](image)

**FIGURE 6.3 INFORMATION SEEKING SKILLS (N=1,260)**

Figure 6.3 shows that 619 (49%) of the respondents felt that they had enough skills to search for information using both print and electronic sources, while 596 (47%) indicated that they were not sufficiently skilled. Forty five (4%) of respondents did not respond to this question.

6.2.2.4 Methods used to gain information seeking skills

The 619 (49%) law students in the previous question who indicated that they could search for information using both print and electronic sources were asked to elaborate on how they had obtained their information seeking skills. The aim of the question was to gain an understanding of where and how law students obtained the skills to use both traditional print sources and electronic sources. Because the respondents were required to indicate their answers in their own words, the responses were categorized into themes. Four themes were identified from the responses, as listed in Table 6.5 below:
TABLE 6.5 METHODS USED TO GAIN INFORMATION SEEKING SKILLS (N=619)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attended computer schools</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learnt to use the computer/library through self-effort</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended a compulsory university course called ‘Use of the Library’</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through assistance from friends and family members on campus</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the above responses, the majority (276; 45%) of the respondents had learnt their skills in computer training schools. Most had attended a formal course either prior to attending university or as part of their university programmes. These formal training courses appear to have been aimed at teaching computer skills, although some of the respondents indicated that Internet skills were also part of the course.

The next largest group (250; 40%) was self-taught. The efforts to teach themselves mostly took place at home where they had access to a computer. A few stated that they had attained their skills by spending time in the library and teaching themselves how to source information from library shelves. Forty-eight (8%) said that they had learnt their skills by attending a library skills course offered at their university. Some of these respondents indicated that the library skills course was compulsory during their first year at the university. The law deans explained that the library search skills course “Use of Library” is a compulsory course, available in their universities, which the librarians are responsible for imparting the search skill knowledge onto the students. The eight deans also mentioned a Computer Appreciation course as a compulsory course integrated into the law curriculum, normally taught by the librarians. The interview with the law librarians also revealed that the use of library course is being taught by the academic librarians to the generality of students of the universities, of which law students are among.

Forty-five (7%) of respondents indicated that they were taught by friends or family. In the case of family, it was normally older siblings who were already on campus that showed them how to search for information. Some of the remarks of the respondents on how and where they had acquired their information seeking skills were as follows:
• “I went to a computer training school where I learnt computer appreciations like typing, designing, spiral binding and photocopying.”
• “I learnt it from a computer institute in my home town.”
• “From using a personal computer, through friends and regular visits to the cyber cafe.”
• “From using the Internet and the library.”
• “From elementary and secondary educational institutions, also through own PC use.”
• “I learnt using computers before coming to the Faculty of Law and the library skills I learnt during my first year in the “Legal Method” class.”
• “In secondary school through computer classes.”
• “Personally during the strike period and my breaks, I was into computer networking.”

The report implies that law students have prior knowledge of computer application through different means (computer training schools, friends, Internet in the libraries, secondary or elementary schools, and in the legal Method classes) before or after entering the universities in Nigeria.

6.2.2.5 Challenges experienced when searching for information

Those law students who indicated that they experienced challenges when searching for information in the law libraries were asked to state the challenges they experienced while seeking for information in the law libraries. Table 6.6 enumerates the problems encountered by these respondents.
### TABLE 6.6 CHALLENGES EXPERIENCED WHEN SEARCHING FOR INFORMATION (N=596)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There are no ICT available</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate skills to search for information</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow speed of the Internet</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of adequate library materials</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials are not in the right places or not well arranged</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erratic power supply</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor network connectivity</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic library is not accessible</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient computer systems</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most students are in the library to cause discomfort to others</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of the books are older versions and belong to old authors</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library staff are not friendly resulting in poor service delivery</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where to start and how to locate information in the textbooks</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I usually feel very dejected when I don’t find information in the law library</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Librarians are not helpful</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no current textbooks or information in the library</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate funds to procure Internet facilities</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is time consuming to look for information</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The library environment is not conducive for information searching and use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most often the required textbooks are not found in the library</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have to depend on assistance from fellow students or librarians</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictions on relevant books, access only granted on request and under full supervision</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No competent staff to assist</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Use of library’ programmes not helpful as it gives very limited attention to the computer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where ICT exists, there is a dearth of database services</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate space</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time constraints - our library only opens between 8am and 4pm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phobia (fear and shyness)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules and regulations using these sources frightens me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No friend to help me</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Total exceeds required sample because respondents were allowed to select more than one response.
A large number of the respondents (280; 47%) indicated that ICT hardware was not available, and where it was available it was not accessible, i.e. the respondents were not permitted to use the available ICT. One hundred and thirty-one (22%) respondents indicated that they had inadequate skills or lacked the knowledge to search for information. One hundred and twenty four (21%) of the respondents cited the slow speed of the Internet as a challenge, while 74 (12%) indicated the lack of adequate library material (especially insufficient computer systems) as a problem. Seventy respondents (12%) specified that the library material was not well arranged on the shelves; fifty-one (9%) pointed to the erratic power supply as a hindrance; 46 (8%) said that poor network connectivity was a challenge; 32 (5%) indicated that the electronic libraries were not accessible; and 28 (5%) respondents stated that most students were in the libraries to cause discomfort to others. Minor problems also included no useful library education programmes, time constraints, and unhelpful staff. This report showed the three highest challenges faced by the respondents when searching for information in the law libraries, i.e. unavailable ICT sources, inadequate skills to search for information and the slow speed of the Internet. Findings thus revealed inadequate skills to search is not the main cause for which law students do not patronise the law libraries, but showed other external factors (unavailable ICT sources and slow speed of the Internet) caused by the law library systems as the most important challenges aggravating the difficulties faced by law students in the use of the law libraries.

6.2.2.6 Suggested solutions to challenges

The respondents were asked to suggest solutions to the challenges faced. Table 6.7 shows the responses of the law students. Only (364) responses were received.
### TABLE 6.7 SOLUTIONS TO THE CHALLENGES (N=364)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested solutions</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better/faster Internet connection</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing easily accessible digital sources</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased funding by the government to provide ICT hardware and connectivity</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant training of the library staff on ICT skills</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit a librarian to man the ICT units</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create awareness through mass media of the existence of the digital sources</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide sufficient electronic devices, specifically computers</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide a standby generator for the e-library</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide free access to ICT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain ICT equipment</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build a more spacious room where ICT facilities can be kept</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect ICT unit</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper management of the ICT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital resources should be maintained</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go in partnerships with Global Communication System Mobile Operators (Global Communication Plc.) for cheaper bandwidth</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students should endeavour to be ICT knowledgeable so that they can easily access the Net</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The authorities should improve the power supply</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the rules/regulations on the use of the electronic library</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procure recent law reports, textbooks and law journals</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table showed that only 364 (52%) out of the 596 respondents (section 6.2.2.5), who claimed to be having challenges searching for information in the law libraries proffer solutions to the problems, when 228 (48%) did not respond to this question. However, the main proposed solutions by (52%) respondents were generally issues surrounding the access and utilisation of ICT, and only one solution concerning the print media was mentioned by (2%) respondents. The 2% solution suggested to print media indicated that print materials were not an issue, meaning that print information resources were available to the students in the law libraries.
Other suggestions included: improving the Internet connection (61; 17%); providing easily accessible digital sources (41; 11%); increased funding by the government (39; 11%); constant training of the library staff on ICT skills (36; 10%); recruit librarians to man the ICT unit (21; 6%); creating awareness of the existence of these sources through mass media (20; 5%); provision of sufficient electronic devices (e.g. computers) (19; 5%); free access to ICT (18; 5%); and the provision of a standby generator for the e-library (18; 5%). Findings showed that respondents were majorly concerned with getting solutions to challenges faced using electronic information resources as more than half (52%) of the respondents suggested solutions to the problems that they experienced in law libraries, while (48%) did not respond to the question.

The 48% no response could be interpreted to mean those respondents who might have felt that as students their suggestions might not be adhered to, by the concerned authorities. Additionally, the 48% respondents can also be assumed to be the category of respondents that were mostly undecided and did not respond to some issues, which they might have considered sensitive.

6.2.2.7 Information resources and services available to law students and the frequency of use

Law students on campus have access to a myriad of information sources. Based on the researcher’s earlier observation that law students do not optimally utilise available information sources and services, this question aimed to determine whether this was indeed the case. The question also wanted to establish the frequency of utilisation. Respondents were asked to indicate the information resources that they used when searching for information and to also state how frequently they used these resources for their studies. Three options - “Often”, “Seldom” and “Never” - were provided for the respondents to select from. Table 6.8 presents their responses.
TABLE 6.8 AVAILABLE INFORMATION SOURCES AND SERVICES AND FREQUENCY OF USE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law books</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet via mobile phone</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law reports</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law library</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News papers</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acts</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law journals</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government publications</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law databases</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital library</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court libraries</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Specify)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of the respondents (1039; 82%) indicated textbooks as their most frequently used information source. Law books (965; 77%), Internet via mobile phones (886; 70%), and the Internet (814; 65%) were the next most frequently used information sources. Digital libraries and court libraries were seldom used; as only 150 (11.9%) and 69 (5.4%) respondents respectively indicated that they used these sources on a regular basis. The no-response rates recorded for each option probably also indicate non-use, which if combined with the ‘never’ option, amounts to significantly high non-use rates. For example, law databases were not used by 690 (55%) of the respondents, while 708 (59%) did not use digital libraries, or government publications (502; 40%) to access relevant information for their academic work. ‘Other’ sources of information that were used on a frequent basis were deans of law, hand outs from deans of law, taking part in group or class discussions or asking questions in class, asking practicing lawyers or friends, accessing information through cyber cafes, and using old notes.

Therefore, this finding revealed that print information sources were the most available information sources and services provided in Nigerian university law libraries, which the
law students frequently used to sources for information in their studies. It also indicated that electronic information sources were not frequently used in the law libraries by a large number of the respondents, for example, 55% of the respondents did not utilise law databases, and 57% did not use digital libraries, while 69% of respondents did not use court libraries.

6.2.2.7.1 Most preferred medium

The respondents were asked to state the medium that they mostly preferred for information utilisation. The question provided two options: ‘printed’ or ‘electronic’. They could also choose both options if they preferred. The aim of this question was to determine whether there was a preference for a specific medium. Figure 6.4 summarises the preferences according to the responses.

![Figure 6.4: Medium preference](image)

A very slight majority of 586 (47%) mostly preferred to use print information sources, while 583 (46%) mainly preferred to use electronic information sources. 56 (4%) respondents did not respond, while 35 (3%) respondents preferred both print and electronic information sources. Findings here showed that respondents were divided nearly equal on medium preference for both electronic (46%) and print (47%) information sources as medium through which information sources are provided in the law libraries.
6.2.2.7.2 Format and information sources’ preference when retrieving information
The report of 6.2.2.7.2 was to determine how, and from which information sources/services respondents mostly preferred to receive information. The objective of this was to probe the respondents on how they liked to receive the information that they required for academic purposes. Table 6.9 presents respondents’ views and responses.

**TABLE 6.9 FORMATS PREFERRED TO RECEIVE INFORMATION FOR ACADEMIC PURPOSES (N=1260)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formats</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Print and electronic formats in the law library</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printed format from my personal textbooks</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printed format outside the law library</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic format Internet/database outside the law library</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic format in the law library</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority (581; 46%) of the respondents preferred to receive information through print and electronic media in the law library, followed by 230 (18%) who preferred to receive it in print form through their personal textbooks. 208 (17%) preferred to receive their information from outside the library through either the Internet or by way of a database; 132 (11%) preferred traditional printed information sources from the law library; 104 (8.2%) liked to receive information only in electronic format in the law library; and an insignificant number of the respondents (5; 0.3%) preferred other sources such as mobile phones, CDs or newspapers. The results showed that respondents desired both print and electronic information sources format in the law libraries.

6.2.2.8 ICT availability in law libraries
While ICT may be available in law libraries, they may not necessarily be available for students to use. This question therefore examined whether the respondents were aware of available ICT resources, such as networked computers in the law libraries for students’ utilisation. The responses are indicated in Figure 6.5 below.
According to the majority (643; 51%) of the respondents, ICT were not available in their law libraries for law student utilisation, while 508 (40%) affirmed that they were available for utilisation. One hundred and nine (109; 9%) did not respond to this question. This is an indication that those law students who did not respond to this question did so because they were not aware of any ICT for student utilisation in their libraries. This indicated that 60% of the respondents were not aware of the ICT that were available in their libraries for students’ utilisation.

6.2.2.8.1 Kinds of ICT and electronic resources available and their utilisation (n=1,260)
Respondents were asked to indicate which ICT and/or digital resources were available in their law libraries and whether they actually used them. They were asked to tick as many options as applicable from a list of digital resources. The aim of this question was to find out whether respondents utilised the available ICT and digital resources. The responses are shown in Table 6.10 below.
The most available electronic resource, according to 40% of respondents, was the Internet and only used within the law libraries by 20% of respondents. Twenty-six percent of the respondents affirmed that digital law libraries were available, however, only 9% actually utilised these sources. Faxing facilities were poorly acknowledged, with 8% responses, and were also not a well-utilised resource at 4%. “Other” sources that were available according to (2%) respondents were: mobile phone, photocopy machines, and students’ own laptops in the law libraries. From the above, it was clear that availability does not translate to utilisation. The implication of fax facilities that were recognised by very few (8%) and respondents, indicate that the facility is generally not one of the services provide in the libraries for students’ use. This is because it is exclusively used in the office of the university librarians for administrative purposes. However, research observation revealed that only 319 (See: Table 6.16) was the actual number of computers available for law students’ use in all the twelve law libraries surveyed.

### 6.2.2.8.2 Efficiency of available ICT facilities

This question aimed to determine whether the respondents deemed the available ICT in the law libraries to be efficient by asking them to rate different options. It was felt that this would provide a good indication as to whether the respondents thought that ICT contributed towards their quest for information. Their responses are shown in Table 6.11.
TABLE 6.11: GRADING OF ICT IN TERMS OF EFFICIENCY (N =1260)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>VG</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection speed</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloading speed</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of hardware</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VG= Very good; NE= Non-existent NR= No response

From Table 6.11, it can be seen that the number of respondents that rated ICT facilities in the law libraries as ‘very good’ and ‘good’ in terms of all the options fell below 50%, with accessibility (517, 41%) and availability (510, 40%) scoring the highest. All the other options were rated well below the 40% mark, with quality of hardware, downloading speed, and connection speed, receiving ‘very good’ and ‘good’ scores of (482, 38%), (403, 32%) and (337, 26%) respectively. The respondents who indicated that the ICT facilities were ‘bad’ rated the facilities as follows: downloading speed (304; 24%); connection speed (288; 23%); availability (247; 20%); accessibility (244; 19%); and quality of hardware (193; 15%). However, a significant number of respondents did not respond to this question: connection speed (355; 28%), quality of hardware (289; 23%), downloading speed (277; 22%), availability (219; 17.3%), and accessibility (198; 16%). This finding implied that the respondents rated the ICT available facilities in law libraries as inaccessible and inefficient.

6.2.2.8.3 Internet utilisation

Law students were requested to state how often they use the Internet to find academic related information in the law library. The law students could choose between “daily,” ”Weekly”, “Only when necessary”, or “I do not use the Internet”. Table 6.12 summarises the responses of the law students’ according to their frequency of Internet use.
TABLE 6.12 FREQUENCY OF INTERNET UTILISATION (N=1,260)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only when necessary</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not use the Internet</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four hundred and nineteen (33%) of the respondents reported that they only used the Internet when necessary to find academic related information 352 (28%) reported that they did not use the Internet, 181 (15%) used the Internet weekly, 154 (12%) used the Internet on a daily basis, and 154 (12%) respondents did not respond to this question. The results showed that respondents did not frequently utilise the Internet as they only use it to access information when there is a need for them to access information from the net.

6.2.2.8.4 Devices used for internet access within the law libraries

Generally speaking, different devices (computer/laptop, modem or mobile phone), may be used to access information from the Internet, these devices vary according to the users, the purposes and the places where information is sought. In libraries, the computer is commonly the device used to connect to the Internet, while 3G card modems and mobile phones are commonly used by individual users in their homes and other places outside the libraries. However, in the Nigerian university library context, computer systems are commonly used to connect to the Internet, although many of the libraries are now introducing the use of laptops as other means of connecting to the Internet. In this case, students are allowed to come into the libraries with their personal laptops, which they may connect to the Internet ports provided for that purpose or the wireless facilities in the libraries. In another scenario, the library provided laptops for students’ use in the libraries, depending on the policy of the particular library, students can borrow these laptops for use in the library for a period not more than two hours. The study sought to identify the devices used by law students to access the Internet within the law libraries. The law students who indicated that they did not use the
Internet (352; 28%) were instructed not to complete the rest of the questionnaire. Those who did not respond to the question (154; 12%) also did not complete the rest of the questionnaire, reducing the response rate to the four questions that followed to 754 law students.

The question asked whether law students accessed the Internet in law libraries via their own personal computers/laptops, computers in the law libraries, or through their mobile phones. The question was asked to determine whether law students in Nigerian universities actually use the available facilities or whether they have to rely on their own devices to enable them to access the Internet when visiting the law libraries to look for digital information. They could choose more than one option as it applied to their circumstances. Their responses are enumerated below in Table 6.13.

**TABLE 6.13 DEVICE/S USED TO ACCESS THE INTERNET IN LAW LIBRARIES (N=754)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices used</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phone</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your own computer/laptops</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer in law libraries</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The respondents were allowed to choose more than one answer.*

From the responses received, the majority (711; 94%) reported that they had to rely on their own mobile phones to access the Internet while visiting the law libraries. Three hundred and ten (310; 41%) indicated that they could access the Internet using their personal computers, while only an insignificant number of respondents (58; 8%) indicated that they accessed the Internet using the available computers in the library. This signifies the fact that a substantial number of law students are either not able to utilise law library electronic facilities to source information for their studies, or that these sources are not available in the majority of the law libraries, and therefore the students have to rely on their own devices to enable them to retrieve information from the Internet while visiting the library. From the findings, it is also clear that some law students were using more than one device to access the Internet while visiting the law libraries.
6.2.2.8.5 Skills to effectively search for information on the internet in law libraries

Respondents were asked to offer their views on their ability to effectively search the Internet for information. The question therefore asked whether the respondents had the necessary ICT skills to effectively search for information sources on the Internet. The respondents were provided with two options, i.e. ‘Yes’ and ‘No’. The responses are provided in Figure 6.6.

**FIGURE 6.6: SKILLS TO EFFECTIVELY SEARCH FOR INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET**

An overwhelming majority (681; 90%) claimed to have the necessary ICT skills to search for information on the Internet, while only 73 (10%) indicated that they lacked the required skills to search for information on the Internet. To verify the students’ claims, one hundred (100) law students were observed under controlled conditions to assess their information seeking abilities using computers. Respondents were taken to functioning cyber cafés and business centres outside the university campuses, where they were asked to search and retrieve information using the Internet. Variables observed during the test included: the general operation of the computer; the ability to operate the browser; ability to generate/type applicable (general or specific) keywords; the ability to find applicable sources/databases; ability to download documents from a database; ability to use subscribed databases; and the ability to save a document onto a hard drive or a flash disk, and email it to themselves and to others.
The highest ranking scores were: ‘operation of computer’ (80%), ‘ability to operate browser’ (67%), and the ‘ability to generate and type applicable keywords’ (59%). Fifty-five percent (55%) were able to download documents in text or articles from the Internet and were able to use databases. However, 45% of the respondents could not find appropriate sources/databases on the Internet. The results suggested that some of the law students’ perceptions of their abilities to effectively search the Internet were not reflected in real life search situations to find sources on the Internet and in the electronic sources.

6.2.2.8.6 How information is sought on the internet in academic law libraries

Respondents were asked to indicate how they search the Internet for information. They were provided with a list of five options to choose from. The aim of this question was to determine their information search processes. Sixty four respondents did not respond to this question. Table 6.14 presents the responses.

**TABLE 6.14 HOW INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET IS SOUGHT IN LAW LIBRARIES (N745)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search method</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I search independently</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I search with the assistance of friends</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I ask assistance from librarians</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I ask librarians to search for me</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Specify)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virtually all of the respondents indicated that they sought information on the Internet independently (684; 92%). One hundred and seventy one (171; 23%) indicated that they would sometimes search with the help of friends, 65 (9%) sometimes asked librarians for assistance, a few (35; 5%) asked librarians to search for information for them, and 64 (9%) did not respond to this question. The result also indicated that 90% of the law students believed that they could independently search for information from the Internet without help.
6.2.2.8.7 Changes in information seeking behaviour due to ICT utilisation

The law students were asked to express their opinions on whether there were changes in their approaches to accessing, retrieving and using law library information sources as a result of ICT. Only 283 respondents opted to respond to this question. The coded themes from their responses are tabulated in Table 6.15.

**TABLE 6.15 CHANGES IN INFORMATION SEEKING BEHAVIOUR DUE TO ICT UTILISATION (N=283)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes experienced</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using the Internet makes searching and retrieval easier, faster and less stressful</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more difficulty in searching for information</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired new knowledge online</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It has become an efficient way of searching for information</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to information is improved</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to save information onto computer desktop and flash drive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can now copy and paste from the Internet to Microsoft</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A significant number of the respondents (151; 53%) indicated that ICT in the law libraries had not brought any changes to their approaches to accessing, retrieving and utilising library information. A number of respondents (72; 25%) indicated that using the Internet to search for information has made it easier, faster and less stressful to find information and that it has changed the way that they accessed, retrieved and utilised information sources. A very small number of the respondents (14; 5%) were undecided as to whether the ICT facilities in the law library had contributed to changes in how they accessed, retrieved and utilised law library information sources. The above responses indicated that ICT in the law libraries did not influence nor change the participating law students’ method of accessing information using the ICT and that majority of the students did not use the electronic information resources in law libraries.
6.3 Interviews with librarians and deans of law

This section presents the responses from interviews with the key informants. Interviews were used to elicit information from the key informants to get detailed data on the gaps in responses from the law students’ to the questionnaire instrument that might require further explanations. The key informants in this study consisted of experts who were responsible for the teaching and learning activities of the law students i.e. deans of law and the law librarians. The interview started with the author’s explanation of the research objectives of the study to the informants in their individual offices in the twelve selected universities. Assurance of confidentiality of the informants’ responses was done and they were informed about the video camera that was used to capture the interviews ensuring that their facial images were captured to which they verbally consented. The researcher employed trained assistants from among the law students in each of the universities to help record the verbatim answers and also video film the oral interviews. English was the medium of the interview communication, translation was not necessary for the occasion.

Twelve law librarians and twelve law deans were targeted for the interviews. However, the researcher was only able to initially interview eighteen informants (eleven law librarians, and eight deans of law). Due to industrial action and academic staff union strikes which were beyond the author’s control, interview appointments with four law deans and one law librarian could not take place. However, a law librarian later agreed to be interviewed on telephone by the researcher. Therefore the author eventually succeeded in interviewing twelve law librarians using the interview schedule.

The interview questions for the law librarians and the deans of law covered different aspects of their professions and the role that they played in Nigerian law students’ educational development. For instance, the law librarians’ questions focused more on the information seeking behaviour of law students in law libraries, provision of sources, and the utilisation of sources by law students in the law libraries. In contrast, the eight out of the planned twelve law deans were interviewed in their capacity as experts who are responsible for the teaching and learning activities of law students. Therefore, they were asked how the law students were taught, the kinds of sources they used in teaching, the types of sources that they expected law students to use when they were given assignments, and what sources were available at the law faculties for law students to use.
6.3.1 Interview with deans of law

The law deans were interviewed to determine the degree to which law students are required and expected to engage in information seeking and utilisation in the course of their academic activities.

The law deans were first asked about the methods of instruction that they used with law students. Most of the law deans mentioned a variety of teaching methods, most prominently teaching from legal textbooks, providing lecture notes, giving students reading assignments for class discussions, and using group discussions in class. Two of the law deans indicated that they did not use legal textbooks to teach, but used all the other teaching methods as indicated by the other Deans of law.

The deans were then asked whether law students were expected to read up on a topic before lectures. All eight of the law deans answered in the affirmative, adding that they expected the law students to actively engage in class discussions and to be able to answer specific questions on the assigned topics. The topics to be reviewed in each of the law courses were provided to the law students at the beginning of the semesters. The law students were also expected to do research projects, read related law textbooks, search the Internet, work as a group on specific assignments before the next class, read ahead, and prepare for and answer the study questions contained in the coursework.

All of the eight deans also indicated that they encouraged their law students to visit the law libraries to read up on court cases in the law reports and in the electronic libraries. The law students were also involved in interactive class tutorials and seminars, note taking or the timed memory based method of assessment teaching.

The law deans were asked whether they expected the law students to use a variety of information sources to search retrieve and present the legal information sources for the tasks required from them. All of the law deans interviewed made it evident that the law students were expected to use a wide variety of information sources in their academic work. The sources mentioned include: law textbooks, personal notes, electronic information sources such as the Internet and electronic law libraries, journal articles, newspapers, periodicals, interviews, and primary and secondary sources that include legislation, law reports, statutes and the constitution.
The deans were asked to indicate whether their law faculties were actively involved in imparting information seeking skills on their law students. Their response was that there were a few specific courses in their programmes designed to teach the first year law students information seeking and retrieval skills, for example they mentioned that they teach Legal Method to the first year law students, while Seminars and Legal Research Skills were also organised for the final year law students before the commencement of their research projects. Legal research skills is a topic under the legal method, where law students are taught how to access legal facts or information from any available sources, such as, the lawyers’ private law libraries, traditional law libraries and or electronic libraries and other sources for their legal practice.

Two of the law deans talked about information search skills as a way of imparting legal research skills on the law students before they eventually go to law school. Another two law deans felt that law librarians and library staff are responsible for imparting the basic legal research skills onto the law students. The eight law deans said courses such as ‘Computer Appreciation and Application’ are integrated into the law curriculum. Five law deans also mentioned that law students learnt information search skills by searching for legal sources in the law libraries, by using the card catalogue in the law libraries, by consulting the book shelves in the law library and by sourcing information from the Internet, newspapers articles and law reports.

In addition to the information resources that are available in law libraries, the eight deans of law indicated that relevant print and electronic resources were available in their faculty offices. However, 6 law deans commented that: “The information sources in the faculty offices are not open to law students. They are reserved for law faculty academic staff.” Therefore these information sources and resources were neither available nor accessible to law students.

**6.3.2 Law librarians**

The twelve law librarians who were interviewed to determine the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students provided useful information on the requested issues. From the interviews, the researcher was also able to determine the roles played by the law libraries in support of law students’ use of law library information
resources. The interview schedule was divided into two sections: (i) that secured the general information seeking behaviour of the law students, and (ii) law librarians’ views about the students’ ability to utilise ICT to search for and retrieve information.

6.3.2.1 General information seeking behaviour in law libraries

The librarians were first asked about the types of information sources that were available in their law libraries. Eleven of the twelve law librarians indicated that their law libraries possessed both traditional and electronic information sources, citing reference materials such as encyclopaedias, law dictionaries, law reports (both local and foreign), judgments, appeal cases, national dailies, magazines, laws of the federation of Nigeria, a card catalogue (author/title), and a subject catalogue. The libraries also contained textbooks, government publications, statutes, periodicals, journals and databases. To help the students find these materials, a guide manual and shelf index were also available. One of the law librarians indicated that although her law library had traditional primary and secondary information sources, it did not have online ICT facilities and therefore did not provide access to online databases or the Internet. However, she did mention that they were providing offline access to the LexisNexis database.

According to six of the law librarians, the law students mostly preferred to use print sources such as legal reference material, law reports, journals, law textbooks, statutes, and acts. Three of the law librarians said that electronic information resources are mostly preferred, while one law librarian indicated that law students preferred both “off and online information resources”. Another librarian commented that: “Law students rarely use electronic resources on their own except when they are referred to use them. Although, the final year law students prefer using Legalpedia because of its content, other students will use the OPAC only when they are referred to use it by their deans of law. However, that is rare - they hardly come for assistance.”

Asked how the law students preferred to receive the information that they requested from the law librarian, the law librarians’ responses differed from law library to law library. At three law libraries, the preferred medium was oral, while at two law libraries, the written/print medium was most preferred. Two law librarians indicated that the electronic medium was preferred, while a combination of oral and print was the preference at a further two law
libraries. At one law library, oral and audio-visual were the preferred formats. Only one law library indicated that all the different media were preferred by the law students. When asked about whether law students had the ability to search for information, the following responses were received:

- “Most of the students, being computer literate, know how to source for information in the library.”
- “Yes, they do. They also sometimes do this independently.”
- “Yes, but just a handful. In fact some don’t even care to know about the collections in the library, not to mention accessing the information sources. Recently, some final year students requested identification letters to enable them to access materials for their projects in other libraries. When I inquired about the information they wanted to access, we found that most of those materials were available in our law library.”
- “Students from second year and above know how to search for information for their work.”
- “Yes, they do and they will seek clarification when information is obscure.”
- “Fairly well, for law reports they usually come with their colleagues and so will make precise requests. Most of them can use the catalogue and are able to locate the required books from the shelves.”
- “I would say no. This is because generally they use only one search engine - Google. They do not know any other search engines that they can use and do not know databases necessary for their research, except of course the institutional database. Thus one wonders how final year law students write their undergraduate law essays.”
- “No because they have not been taught how to use information”

6.3.2.2 Law student’s ICT utilisation in law libraries

With the exception of one librarian who mentioned that they had no ICT or databases, all the librarians indicated that they had ICT. In a few cases, computers were mentioned as an ICT source, but specific products could not be named. The mentioned information sources were as follows:

Respondent 1: Computers
Respondent 2: Legalpedia, Ebscohost, JSTOR and Hein Online
Respondent 3: Computers
Respondent 4: Computers
Respondent 5: Lexis-Nexis and Compulaw. CD-ROMs, Internet Service
Respondents 6 and 7: Computers and Lexis-Nexis
Respondent 8: Internet facility, Lexis-Nexis and West Law
Respondent 9: Lexis-Nexis and Law Pavilion
Respondent 10: None in the law libraries, but students can use the computers in the main university library
Respondent 11: Legalpedia, and Open Access resources such as OARE and JSTOR
Respondent 12: Computers

The next question related to the awareness and use of digital sources by law students. The question sought to establish how popular the digital sources were among the law students based on their availability as enumerated by the law librarians above. Four of the law librarians said that law students were aware of the digital sources, and that the sources were very popular among them. Some of their comments illustrated their views:

- “The digital resources are popular among our law students.”
- “It is well known to the law students through our awareness programmes on information literacy.”

Three librarians indicated that digital sources were relatively popular, but they mentioned this in conjunction with some of the problems experienced by the law students:

- “ICT are fairly popular among law students, however not many of the law students have in-depth knowledge of their usage.”
- It used to be relatively popular, but because the computer systems are grossly inadequate, and most students do not want to wait for others, some now describe it as a waste of time. Thus lack of sufficient computers is seriously affecting the use of the e-resources in our electronic law library.”

Three law librarians stated that digital sources were not at all popular among the law students:

- “Not popular, although announcements were made as a way of advertising the service. However they do not use it, probably out of fear as they still do not believe OPAC is for student use. When law students were told that they could really be using the sources on their own, there was bewilderment on their faces. However, final year law students use Legalpedia more and more”;
“They are not so popular among law students, due to certain technical factors such as poor electricity power supply;

“Not popular because the digital library is not available”;

“They are not popular because the ICT services are rather unstable. There is no alternative source of power, thus in the event of a power outage the services are negatively affected. Moreover, right now the Internet connectivity service is unavailable, so it is not popular. Except for the open access resources, subscriptions to law databases have not been renewed.”

The law librarians’ responses confirmed that the law library digital sources were not commonly used by the law students.

On the issue of whether the digital information sources could meet law students’ information needs, eight of the law librarians were of the opinion that the digital sources in their law libraries were grossly inadequate. One of the law librarians surmises the general opinion with the following comment:

- “The digital sources are not adequate because they are limited in quantity and we also lack professional staff to handle the few available sources. Our faculty has a total number of over 500 students but we have less than 10 computer systems in the electronic law library.”

Another law librarian lamented that: “The electronic sources are supposed to be provided for law students in the law library, but they are not. Even the available print sources (textbooks and journals) are not acceptable.”

Three of the law librarians felt that the digital sources in their law libraries adequately addressed law students’ needs. One said, “The digital sources are adequate but not exhaustive,” while another librarian indicated that, “It is adequate provided there is uninterrupted access to Internet connectivity.” Another law librarian declared that the digital sources were adequate because the majority of the law students could conveniently make use of the available computers.

When asked about law students’ utilisation of the available ICT resources in their law libraries, seven of the law librarians said that the resources were not effectively utilised,
while five indicated that the ICT resources were effectively utilised. Those who felt that the ICT were not effectively used had this to say:

- “No, they are not effectively utilised. Some law students come less to use them because they have no skills or knowledge of computers. The majority of the students prefer to access email, Facebook and non-academic related sites when allocated time to use the digital sources.”
- “Before now it was effectively used, but currently it is not functioning due to the renovations at the computer e-learning centres at the Faculty of Law. In the meantime, law students are utilising the MTN electronic learning unit within the university main library.”

The findings here revealed that digital sources were inadequately provided; not popular among the law students; and computers were insufficiently provided, as such, the students could not effectively utilise the electronic law libraries.

When asked how the law libraries attract or encourage the law students to utilise the digital libraries, the following responses were received from the twelve law librarians:

- “Through training or during interviews with the students.”
- “It is announced in the reading hall and posted on the notice board.”
- “The law deans give them assignments which require them to use the Internet. The libraries organise orientation for new students on the use of library and its resources.”
- “They [students] are being encouraged by their deans of law to source materials on the Internet; therefore they have no option but to make use of the e-library.”
- “Seminars and training are organised for students, and students with no email addresses are given the opportunity to create them free of charge. Law deans also insist that law students must write their assignments with the latest information from the web, and the addresses must be referenced.”
- “It is free and open to all students.”
- “There is always a board where the available resources are displayed.”
- “The students are encouraged to use the digital information resources free of charge.”
• “Library instructions are given in both the classroom and law libraries. Notices are pasted in strategic places to attract law students.”

This report showed that the law libraries encouraged the law students to utilise the law library sources through various methods that include: Library orientations, library instructions, seminars, lecture room announcement and placement of Bill Boards) that the law libraries used to encourage the law students to take advantage of sources provided for their utilisation and the law faculties

The next question wanted to determine the constraints/challenges affecting law students’ utilisation of digital information sources in law libraries. All the law librarians identified several problems that faced the law students when accessing and using the electronic information sources in their law libraries. Their responses are provided below:

• “Some law students are not computer literate.”
• “Irregular power supply, limited number of information sources, lack of skills or knowledge to make use of the sources.”
• “Power failure, and also we have no independent network because the law library is connected to the main library.”
• “Some students are not computer literate; there are no technical staffs to assist law students in the electronic law library; lack of a power supply (electricity); inadequate quantity of systems and inadequate funds to subsidise Internet connectivity.”
• “Power outages and lack of funds to procure digital resources.”
• “Inadequate or unavailable facilities, non-subscription to electronic resources.”
• “Lack of revenue, [lack of] will power by the students to search for information, and inadequate power supply.”
• “Lack of ICT resources.”
• “The unreliability of the power supply and the constant interruption or stoppage of Internet connectivity/service provision.”

The findings revealed the problems experienced in the law libraries as identified by the law librarians, indicated that the librarians were equally aware of the challenges faced by the students when they source for information in the law libraries.
When asked how they thought these constraints/challenges could be overcome, the law librarians had a number of suggestions, listed below:

- “By training the law students on how to use the e-library.”
- “The law students should be constantly given research based assignments. Information studies should be introduced as part of the curriculum. Not more than 3 lectures a week should be allowed in order to give them time to study and do research.”
- “Provision of alternative sources of power,”
- “Provide more digital information resources and employ ICT staff to help users effectively make use of the sources. Provide adequate funds.”
- “These constraints can be curbed if the law library can have a separate network and also a separate generator.”
- “Increase the level of ICT awareness to law students.”
- “Training and retraining of available staff and recruitment of professional staff”
- “Improve on the quality of electricity in the country.”
- “Adequate funding to procure electronic resources, frequent renewal of Internet subscriptions and electronic database resources, and uninterrupted power supply.”
- “There should be an alternative power supply and adequate funding.”
- “Increased funding to take care of staff training, and the provision of steady power.”
- “The challenges can be improved if there is an improved electricity supply. More computer systems should be made available.”
- “The university must provide ICT facilities for the law library.”
- “The university should subscribe to law databases such as Lexis-Nexis and Legalpedia. The ICT section should be provided with alternative sources of power.”

The report specified the solutions proffered by the law librarians on how to overcome the problems encountered by both law students and the law library system in providing information sources in the law libraries.
6.4 Observation of the library facilities, environment and other amenities

The aim of the observation was to observe the environment of the university law libraries and law students in law libraries to corroborate the data collected from the law students, law librarians and Law deans on law students’ information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation in law libraries.

The researcher physically moved around the university law libraries to observe, assess and ascertain the status and condition of the libraries. The observations centred on the physical structures and facilities of the law libraries, such as the general outlook of the libraries, location, size, shelf arrangements, guides, posters, electronic libraries, and computers. The researcher personally conducted observations in eleven law libraries while a research assistant observed the twelfth law library at the University of Calabar.

The law library buildings generally looked well maintained, although there were a few exceptions. The observed law library buildings consisted of fairly big halls that contained reading tables and chairs with well-arranged double sided iron shelves on which legal sources were mostly systematically, but sometimes indiscriminately arranged. The collections of all the law libraries consisted of mostly law reports (both foreign and local), law journals (foreign and local), newspapers, law magazines and legal textbooks. While the sources were well organised in some sections of the libraries, in some areas they were not organised, especially the shelves closest to the reading areas. In all, one particular law library was completely disorganised; books were piled up, and some were in cartons. Upon inquiry, the law librarian said that a new law library building was under construction.

Directional sign posts leading to the Faculty of Law upon entering the universities’ gates were visible in most cases. Visiting the Law Faculties in turn directed a person to the law libraries. Posters directing users to the different library units and services were well labelled and displayed in strategic places within all the law libraries. Visible library guides to help locate facilities within the law libraries were also available in most of the law libraries. Reference guides were tagged or pasted to the shelves, while the books were labelled with index identifiers on the shelves. With the exception of the law library, all the libraries had display areas for recently acquired information material. All of the libraries had adequate furniture, such as tables, chairs and shelves, made of quality materials. However it was observed that the available reading spaces were inadequate to
house both the existing collections and the readers. Lighting was also very poor due to erratic power supplies, and none of the libraries had a separate power generator to generate power when required.

The law library services were mainly self-service, although library staff members were available to render assistance to users. Users were free to search for and use any materials on the shelves, with the exception of the reserved areas of the libraries, and the library staff could be approached to assist whenever necessary. There were photocopying services either within or outside most of the law libraries. However two of the law libraries, one of which was disorganised, did not provide bindery and photocopy services, and the law students had to use photocopying services outside these law libraries. One of the universities was getting a new library building, while the other was getting a new digital library.

It was observed that the law libraries were mostly used for reading, writing assignments, preparing for the next lecture, and law examinations. It was the examination period when some of the law faculties were visited, and the law libraries were filled with law students either reading for the next paper or preparing for the next day’s examinations. However, in cases where law students were not writing examinations, the libraries were virtually empty, with only a few students’ observed writing assignments or reading from their notebooks.

The majority of the law libraries were centrally located within the law faculty buildings while a few had a separate building outside the law faculties, as was the case of a state university, which has its law library located within the law faculty; another has its law library located within the university main library; some others’ law libraries, were located within a separate building that was very close to the law faculties. The central location of the law libraries within and around the law faculties makes the law libraries more accessible to the users. The electronic law libraries were, in most of the cases, located in a separate room within the law library, while in some cases they were located outside the law library, but within the law faculty building e.g. the electronic law library in one university was located inside a room within the law faculty building, whereas, the law library itself was cited on a separate building next to the law faculty. In some cases, law students have to use either the university ICT laboratory, or the main university library ICT laboratory to access digital legal resources, as there was no access to the ICT laboratories attached to their law libraries or law faculties.
Most of the visited university law libraries did not have a functioning digital library. The available computers in the law libraries ranged from two to fifty systems. Most of the rooms dedicated to the electronic libraries were spacious, with computers, tables and chairs, while a few were still in the process of development. For instance, at the time of writing, one law library was in the process of overhauling their digital law library. The university had one hundred and twenty brand new computers that were neatly packed waiting for the electronic law library to be fully renovated. Despite the availability of computers within the electronic law libraries, it was observed that they were not enough to cater to the needs of the considerable population of law students in all the universities. Even the university that had one hundred and twenty computers, if the computers were to be connected to the Internet, the computers might not be sufficient for the students’ use, when compared to the number of law students in the law faculty.

However, it was also observed that the law libraries with computer systems had little equipment that was in good working order. For instance, one state university law library had only six computers, another law library had just two computer systems; a federal university law library had no computer. The law library that had no electronic library was located within the university main library and the law students shared the electronic library in the university main library with other faculties within the university library. The available computer systems and law databases in the law libraries at the time of writing are indicated in Table 6.16 below:
TABLE 6.16 AVAILABLE ICT FACILITIES IN THE LAW LIBRARIES (N=12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Computers</th>
<th>Internet connection</th>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Photocopier</th>
<th>Accessible electronic law library</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Legalpedia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Legalpedia, Ebscohost, JSTOR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lexis-Nexis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Compulaw</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Legalpedia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lexis-Nexis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L = Library

Five (5) of the law libraries were not connected to the Internet. Some of the law libraries had connections to the Internet but had no subscribed law database. Six had law databases and the Internet, two had the Internet without law databases, and four law libraries had databases of which the subscriptions are not renewed, while only two of the law libraries had a digital library, subscribed to law databases and Internet connectivity. It was also observed that the university law libraries with databases (Legalpedia, Compulaw, Law Pavilion, and LexisNexis) belonged to both federal and state universities. The observation results showed that there were only two law libraries providing access to both Internet and databases (Legalpedia, and Compulaw). Internet connectivity seemed to be the main problem affecting free access to the digital libraries. Few of the law students were observed using the computer systems to browse the Internet at some universities, and connectivity to the Internet in these libraries appeared to be relatively good.

However, findings revealed that through interactions with the law students, the researcher observed that the law students were eager to use ICT resources because they declared their
wish to patronise the law libraries whenever ICT sources and services are made available and accessible to them.

6.5 Summary

This chapter presented and interpreted the data collected using the research instruments. Data was divided into three main sections based on the research instruments: law students’ questionnaire, interviews with the key informants (law librarians and dean of law), and the observation of university law libraries. The questionnaire looked at the age, gender, study levels, participating universities, information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation of undergraduate law students in Nigerian universities. The findings show that the majority of (74%) law students like to patronise the law library sources and resources in order to further their knowledge on legal issues (87%). The majority of the law student respondents (47%) showed a preference for print information sources in the law libraries, while 46% mainly preferred electronic information sources, while only 3% preferred to use both print and electronic information sources.

The findings revealed that the Internet was the most available electronic resource (40%) and was used within the law libraries by 12% of the respondents. ‘Other’ sources identified as available by a very few respondents (2%) include mobile phones, photocopy machines, and their laptops in the libraries. The majority (90%) of the law students were skilled enough to independently search for information using electronic resources and the Internet. However, while observation of the law students in a controlled environment confirmed that most of the law students had some ICT skills, the respondents evidently underestimated the degree of search skills that they are expected to possess. Ninety-four percent (94%) of the law students used their personal mobile phones and laptops to access the Internet while very few numbers of respondents (8%) accessed the Internet using the computers in the law libraries. Findings from the research study showed that ICT in the law libraries had no impact on law students’ approach to accessing, retrieving and utilising information because less than half (45.3%) of the law students agreed that law library ICT had improved their search skills. The analyses revealed that university law libraries in Nigeria are experiencing several challenges with respect to the provision of ICT resources, such as inadequate funds, erratic power supplies, ICT services that are not stable, and the lack of an alternative power supply during power outages. The next chapter provides a detailed discussion of the findings.
CHAPTER SEVEN
DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

7.1 Introduction
This chapter discusses the results emerging from the analyses of the research findings presented in Chapter six. The discussion is organised based on the research questions that guided this study (see Chapter one, section: 1.8). Okon et al. (2010:535) explains that discussing the research findings enables researchers to determine whether the findings answer the research objectives drawn for the research study.

7.2 Characteristics of the respondents
This section provides a summary of the research findings based on the demographic characteristics of the respondents of this study presented in section 6.2.1. The characteristics of the respondents consisted of age, gender and the level of study of the law student respondents selected from the twelve universities that participated in this study.

7.3 Types of library information resources and services, available to law students in Nigerian University Law Libraries (UNLL)
There are distinctive information sources in law education, which is particular to the legal profession. The literature review revealed that primary and secondary legal information sources are generally available in the legal discipline. These sources are significant to the effective adjudication of legal jurisdiction. Examples of primary legal sources were discussed by Dina et al. (2013). The literature reviewed established that university libraries in Nigeria are currently shifting from traditional forms of manual service delivery to electronic formats, using ICT networks (Nkanu and Okon et al., 2010). According to Nkanu and Okon many Nigerian libraries are currently converting the contents of their print resources into electronic databases thus, increasing their dependence on information technology. This finding was confirmed during the interviews with the law librarians, as they all indicated that legal information sources are now available both in print and electronic formats. The law librarians further confirmed that law databases such as Westlaw; Lexis-Nexis International; Compulaw, Ebscohost and Legalpedia are subscribed to in some of the law libraries. Lawal et al. (2012) and Ossai’s (2011) confirmed this trend. Other electronic resources mentioned by the law librarians included law databases on CD-ROMs and OPAC. Thanuskodi (2009) agreed that the above electronic sources should be available in a law library, but also mentioned that Audio Visual and multimedia collections should be
included as information sources. However, information obtained through observations, law librarian interviews and the law students’ responses, it became clear that audio visual and multimedia collections were not available in the law libraries. Ossai’s (2011) study in the University of Benin, Nigeria established the availability of e-books, Internet, computer systems and law databases in the university’s law library. This indicates that the availability of e-resources varies from law library to law library. The necessity to introduce electronic information resources within the law libraries was found to have been mandated by the National University Commission (NUC) as a pre-condition before a Nigerian university would be allowed to offer a law programme. Recognising the financial difficulties that academic libraries experience to subscribe to specific databases the National University Commission established a virtual library through which academic institutions, and by implication the law libraries can access information on various subject disciplines offered in the universities. The virtual library portal provides access to various subject databases, including law databases. A user ID and a password obtainable from each university library are required to access the website (Mostert and Olorunfemi, 2013).

7.4 Attitudes and opinions about the available information sources and resources in Nigerian University Law Libraries

The study observed that law students’ attitude and opinion concerning the available information resources in the law libraries determine their utilisation of the legal information sources in the law libraries surveyed for this study. Having little or no access to the available information sources and resources in the law libraries could cause users to be negatively inclined to use the library. Therefore, to get users to have a positive attitude and opinion about the information resources, librarians have to ensure the provision of adequate information sources and resources, create awareness and make the sources accessible to users.

The findings noted that a high number of the law students (74%) had a positive attitude towards law library patronage. Only twenty-three percent (23%) of the law students indicated that they do not like to patronise the law libraries. The study also found that a large number (87%) of the law students have high expectations that the law libraries would provide access to ‘relevant and current’ law information sources and resources that they could use to support their academic pursuits. A further seventy four percent (74%) of the law students, who were predisposed to use the law libraries, indicated that they appreciate and value the role played
by the law libraries in their educational pursuits. Concurring with Wilson’s social learning theory, these law students clearly realise the value of legal information for their law studies, and its significance for their future profession.

However, only 32% of the law students indicated that they actually sourced for useful law materials from the databases in the law libraries, indicating probable challenges in either service delivery from the library or challenges faced by the students in utilising the e-resources available in the law libraries. This finding is in line with the Technology Acceptance Model by Davis et al. (1989) which advocates that the utilisation of technology is directly related to source availability and its perceived ease. More than half of the respondents (52%) indicated that they feel bored searching for information in the law library. This might be due to the fact that most of the law libraries in Nigerian universities were not well stocked with modern electronic information sources. A large number of the available print sources are also seemingly foreign-based legal materials not related to the Nigerian legal culture. Kamanda (1999) and Wilkinson’s (2001) studies revealed that both lawyers and students in general have problems identifying information.

More than half of the law students (57%) disagreed that the electronic libraries were fully equipped and user friendly. It was determined that this was primarily because the electronic law libraries were not accessible to law students. This was corroborated by the interviews with the law librarians when asked whether the digital information sources met law students’ information needs. Eight of the law librarians were of the opinion that the digital sources in their law libraries were grossly inadequate. The finding was also corroborated during the observation where it was observed that the total number of computers in all the law libraries ranged between 2 and 120 systems in the electronic law libraries, while the majority had no subscribed law databases or Internet connection (see: Table 6.16). In accordance with Wilson’s theory of social learning (1999), the law students’ experiences with the law libraries brought about a change in their attitude towards law library usage, albeit negative. From the findings it became clear that some of the law students shifted their information gathering approach from utilising the law library sources, to using their personal laptops and mobile phones to connect to the Internet in order to search for information. In accordance with the Technology Acceptance Model, the shift towards the utilisation of personal laptops and mobile phones could be because users perceive those items as easier to use and more useful to them in enabling them to find the information they require.
Library staff’s efforts and attitudes generally determine how libraries and the accompanying information sources and resources are used. Findings about the library personnel’s attitudes revealed that less than half (47%) of the respondents were of the opinion that the law library employees were helpful, while 53% stated that the law library staff were not helpful. The ability to access relevant and current law library information sources can have an influence on law students’ information behaviour. With easy access to law sources in the law libraries, law students are more likely to utilise the sources. Unhelpful staff can form a barrier between the student and the information sources, resulting in discouraged users whom eventually choose to utilise a more accessible information source. This is supported by the studies done by Ifidon and Okoli (2002) who established that library staff attitudes inhibit the effective use of library sources and services, and Unomah (1987) who found that junior staff attitudes towards library users were not encouraging. Despite the perception of unhelpful staff, the current study determined that it did not detract a large number of the law students (87%) from being willing to patronise the law libraries. The overall implication is that the majority of law students in Nigerian universities perceived the law library as playing a significant role in their educational development.

7.5 Purpose for which Nigerian law students retrieve legal information

Law students retrieve information for various purposes in the course of their study in the universities as it is paramount for them to continually acquire knowledge in order to succeed in their academic studies and to be competitive in their future profession. However, they might also want to use the law library to find information of a more general nature to assist them with issues and challenges that they experience in their daily student life.

Wilson and Niedźwiedzka’s information behaviour models advocate that activating mechanisms prompt an individual to start searching for information. The findings revealed that the majority (87%) of the respondents sought legal information to ‘further their knowledge on legal issues’, while the second reason was to complete assignments (82%). This indicates that academic matters relating to their studies are the most important activating mechanism behind law students’ information seeking behaviour. This was confirmed by deans of law, that at the beginning of each semester, topics from each of the law courses and reading assignments are assigned to law students to review in preparation for class discussions, which results in their seeking for information related to the topics and
assignments. According to the deans of law, the law students were also expected to do research projects, read law textbooks, search the Internet, work as a group on specific assignments before the next class, read ahead, and prepare for and answer the study questions contained in the coursework. This finding was corroborated by Oke-Samuel (2008:135).

The findings further identified the other purposes for which law students’ retrieve legal information, which were to keep up-to-date with the latest developments on the legal front (844, 79%), and to acquire more knowledge that could keep them abreast of current events in society, places of work, legal practice, or in academic pursuits. This finding shows that law students recognise information seeking as a continuous process that guides their future careers. In addition, it was established that law students sought information for the purpose of studying the outcome of court cases (844, 67%), or to participate in classroom discussions (816, 65%). These findings were corroborated by the deans of law, who indicated that they requested the law students to study court cases as presented in law reports.

The law students also used the law libraries purposely to read newspapers and access current law books to be informed and more versatile in all areas of legal education. The law students who indicated that they used law library sources for other purposes stated that they used the law library information sources to assist them to prepare for examinations, to assist them to read widely on their topic, and to become more knowledgeable and conversant in their study field. These findings correlated with an earlier study by Bigdeli (2007), who found that users retrieve information for varied purposes depending on their interests, specialisations, and motivating factors. It was interesting to note that none of the students mentioned that they used the libraries to find information to assist them in their daily student life or to extend their general knowledge of global events. In the modern law libraries, information can be sought in printed and electronic formats; therefore, users are expected and or need to be able to search for information both in printed and electronic sources. Though user education was found offered in all the law libraries that participated in the study, certain skills such as the ability to operate a computer and the Internet do not always form part of the user education. Students therefore need to obtain the skills somewhere else. In the current study, findings showed that the majority of the law students (45%) had learnt their electronic information seeking skills in formal computer training schools before gaining admission into the university. This formal training mainly focused on computer skills, although Internet skills
were also sometimes part of the course. This was followed by self-training (40%) where the students claimed to have learnt their skills on their own. This finding is supported by the African Youths Development Foundation as cited by Chukwunyere (2013) who found out that young people are curious to study computer technology and its applications, thus many school leavers who are seeking university admission and job applicants make efforts to learn computer skills on their own to prepare for admission and to be more marketable when job opportunities arise.

Students, especially those that taught themselves often regard their abilities to operate the computer and seek for information to be very high, but in practice they are sometimes found wanting. The ability test that was performed on the students confirmed this, as, though 80% could operate the computer effectively, only 59% could generate and type applicable keywords, 55% could download document from databases, while 47% could not find applicable sources on the Internet. From this test, it was evident that students over-valued their ability to operate ICT to find applicable information and that these skills probably need to be boosted with more formal training and practice in the universities.

An insignificant number (8%) of law students learnt their search skills by attending the ‘Use of the Library’ compulsory course during their first year at the universities. The issue concerning respondents’ low attendance of the library search skill course at (8%) of the respondents corroborated the author’s observation that the majority of the law students do not participate in nor attend lectures on the ‘Use of Library’ module. This indicated that the majority of the law students do not value the Use of Library course. However, the issue of under valuing the library course is a general phenomenon among undergraduate students in Nigerian universities. The reason could be attributed to the insignificant unit points attached to this course (even though it is a compulsory course). It is not highly rated compared to other courses offered in the universities. Most of the universities rated the library course as a one unit course, or zero unit courses, which tended to add no significant value to the students’ Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA). The implication of this is that many of the law students do not consider the lessons on how to use the library as important compared with their major and minor law courses where higher units are earned.

However, a few out of the (40%) self-taught respondents, claimed to have attained the skills through their own efforts when looking through the shelves in the law libraries. This
category probably represented those students that believed that the computer is fragile and would rather use relevant and current print sources that are available in the law libraries.

Seven percent (7%) of the law students indicated that they were taught by friends or family at cyber cafés when searching for information on the Internet or sought help from the café assistants. This might have contributed to the students’ poor performance during the ability test when they were asked to look for specific legal information on the Internet, as many of these friends or family members also might not have proper training in the effective information seeking process, using ICT tools.

7.6 Methods used to gain information seeking skills

In the modern law libraries, information can be sought in printed and electronic formats; therefore, users are expected and or need to be able to search for information both in printed and electronic sources. Though user education was found offered in all the law libraries that participated in the study, certain skills such as the ability to operate a computer and the Internet do not always form part of the user education. Students therefore need to obtain the skills somewhere else. In the current study, findings showed that the majority of the law students (45%) had learnt their electronic information seeking skills in formal computer training schools before gaining admission into the university. This formal training mainly focused on computer skills, although Internet skills were also sometimes part of the course. This was followed by self-training (40%) where the students claimed to have learnt their skills on their own. This finding is supported by the African Youths Development Foundation as cited by Chukwunyere (2013) who found out that young people are curious to study computer technology and its applications, thus many school leavers who are seeking university admission and job applicants make efforts to learn computer skills on their own to prepare for admission and to be more marketable when job opportunities arise.

Students, especially those that taught themselves often regard their abilities to operate the computer and seek for information to be very high, but in practice they are sometimes found wanting. The ability test that was performed on the students confirmed this, as, though 80% could operate the computer effectively, only 59% could generate and type applicable keywords, 55% could download document from databases, while 47% could not find applicable sources on the Internet. From this test, it was evident that students over-valued their ability to operate ICT to find applicable information and that these skills probably need to be boosted with more formal training and practice in the universities.
An insignificant number (8%) of law students learnt their search skills by attending the ‘Use of the Library’ compulsory course during their first year at the universities. The issue concerning respondents’ low attendance of the library search skill course at (8%) of the respondents corroborated the author’s observation that the majority of the law students do not participate in nor attend lectures on the ‘Use of Library’ module. This indicated that the majority of the law students do not value the Use of Library course. However, the issue of under valuing the library course is a general phenomenon among undergraduate students in Nigerian universities. The reason could be attributed to the insignificant unit points attached to this course (even though it is a compulsory course). It is not highly rated compared to other courses offered in the universities. Most of the universities rated the library course as a one unit course, or zero unit course, which tended to add no significant value to the students’ Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA). The implication of this is that many of the law students do not consider the lessons on how to use the library as important compared with their major and minor law courses where higher units are earned.

However, a few out of the (40%) self-taught respondents, claimed to have attained the skills through their own efforts when looking through the shelves in the law libraries. This category probably represented those students that believed that the computer is fragile and would rather use relevant and current print sources that are available in the law libraries.

Seven percent (7%) of the law students indicated that they were taught by friends or family at cyber cafés when searching for information on the Internet or sought help from the café assistants. This might have contributed to the students’ poor performance during the ability test when they were asked to look for specific legal information on the Internet, as many of these friends or family members also might not have proper training in the effective information seeking process, using ICT tools.

### 7.7 Types of information sources and services used and frequency of use by law students

The effective utilisation of the library sources and its resources depends on the types of information sources and services offered. The print information sources are common to the traditional law library services available to law students and other users of the law library, while access to e-resources are a relatively new service type, especially in developing countries.
In the current study different kinds of information sources, which the law students could use to access legal information, in the law libraries were identified (in section 6.2.2.7; Table 6.8). These include both print and electronic resources. Findings revealed that the majority of the law students frequently used print sources (text books, 82% and law books, 77%); Internet access via personal computers, laptops and mobile phones (70%); Internet (65%); and law reports (53%). Law databases (14%) and digital libraries (12%) which were much less popular than expected, while court libraries were rarely used (5%). The preference to utilise printed materials was confirmed by a study by Ugah (2007), as well as by six of the law librarians. The low utilisation of databases and digital libraries could be ascribed to the virtual non-existent Internet connectivity at some of the universities, which prohibits access to the Internet, databases and e-resources. However, three law librarians mentioned a preference for the use of electronic information resources in their libraries, while one indicated that law students used both offline and online information resources.

The law librarians that reported that the electronic legal sources in their law libraries were not effectively utilised, commented on the issues as follows:

- “Law students rarely use law library electronic information resources on their own except when they are referred to use the resources by their deans of law.”
- “Law students are not educated in research and do not appreciate how useful the information sources are. They rely mostly on their lecture notes and only compliment it with research.”
- “No, they are not effectively utilised. Some law students come less to use them because they have no skills or knowledge of computers. The majority of the law students prefer to access email, Facebook and non-academic related sites when allocated time to use the digital sources.”

7.7.1 Format preference when retrieving information

The format and the type of information sources retrieved can have a bearing on how law students’ access information in the law libraries to write their assignments, write tests and prepare for law examinations. The medium of information source preference could also affect their future information search in lawyering practices.
The study revealed that a slight majority of law students (47%) preferred the printed medium when retrieving information, while 46% preferred the electronic medium when retrieving information. This finding negates the previous studies by Otike (1999:19); Kuhlthau and Tama (2001), Wilkinson (2001) and Majid and Kassim (2000) which showed that lawyers and [law students] prefer, and rely heavily on printed information sources. However, the results contradict Tenopir et al. (2003), who found that faculties and students preferred electronic resources as they perceived that electronic sources were more convenient, relevant, and time saving.

The observations confirmed that law students have a strong preference to use the available electronic information sources in the digital libraries. The law librarians commented on the trend among law students to obtain printed copies of electronic information, as according to the students they found it easier to work with a printed document. Ray and Day (1998) support this observation by indicating that even while many students prefer electronic resources, a few still print hardcopies to complement the digital information. The high preference for electronic information sources in law libraries, even when findings showed that access to ICT is problematic inside the law libraries could be attributed to a fact that the law students were allowed to use their personal laptops with 3G Internet connections in the law libraries or use their mobile phones to access the Internet.

7.7.2 Information sources format and location preference when receiving academic information

Law students on the university campuses potentially have access to a myriad of information sources in both print and electronic format through which the students could harness, select and receive academic information for their study. These sources are not always in the law library and might be found anywhere on or off the university campus. Based on the law students’ responses, the majority (46%) preferred to receive information in both print and electronic formats found in the law libraries; while 18% preferred to receive information in print format from their personal textbooks; 17% preferred to receive their information from outside the law libraries through the Internet or databases; 11% preferred the traditional print format in the law libraries; 8% preferred to receive information only in electronic format in the law libraries; while an insignificant number of the respondents (0.3%) preferred other sources. These other sources referred mainly to mobile phones, CD’s or newspapers. These findings are in contrast with Sharma et al.’s (2006) study on the trend of Internet use among students in Jammu, India who found that 75% of undergraduates preferred textbooks to
source required information, and Ajiboye and Tella (2007:40), who found that the Internet was the most preferred sources for receiving information among undergraduate students. The responses from 46% of the law students that preferred to receive information in both print and electronic formats in the law libraries gave reasons such as having enough skills to search for information, using both print and electronic sources, that could assist them to further their knowledge on legal issues, complete assignments and be updated with the latest developments on the legal front. However, this finding negates the study done by Ajiboye and Tella on university undergraduate students’ information seeking behaviour.

As for the 18% of respondents who preferred to receive academic information in printed format in their personal textbooks, the reasons included: There are no restrictions to read from my relevant textbook; do not need to request permission nor supervision from library staff; do not need to queue in law libraries to use the Internet, or to borrow textbooks in law libraries as a result of inadequate space; insufficient number of available textbooks and computers/Internet ports in the law libraries. The 17% that preferred to receive their information through the Internet or databases from cybercafés outside the law libraries do so because of the faster and easy accessibility to current and relevant information that the law libraries could not provide access to. They were of the opinion that they could obtain information from the Internet at their own convenience without thinking of time constraints at the cybercafés, unlike the law libraries that have a stipulated time for closing the libraries or time limit for utilisation of e-resources. Only few do it because of the cost factor, as cybercafés can be very expensive. The reasons given by the 11% of respondents that preferred the traditional print format in the law libraries included the fact that there are no ICT facilities available in the law libraries, as well as, their inadequate ICT skills to search for information from the Internet.

7.8 Availability and utilisation of ICT in the law libraries

It is a function of the law libraries to create an enabling environment for the law library users to utilise the available ICT facilities in the libraries, especially as it is a requirement of the NUC that libraries should form part of the services offered to students. As advocated in Davis et al.’s (1989) technology acceptance model, students’ effective use of electronic resources in a library is subject to availability and accessibility of the electronic sources in the library, students’ awareness of these facilities and the easy access of the ICT sources and resources.
In the context of the current study, findings showed that there were some ICT facilities available in the electronic law libraries and the law faculty offices. Seven of the law librarians confirmed that they have both computers and electronic information sources such as the Internet and law databases available, while four mentioned only computers as the available ICT in their law libraries. Availability however does not necessarily mean access, as was the case where the majority of the law students indicated that there were no ICT facilities available for their use in the law libraries. One law librarian declared that there were no ICT available in the law library by saying: “None in the law library, but law students can use the computers in the main university library.” The observations confirmed that eleven out of the 12 law libraries had computer facilities; seven had Internet connectivity, but with insufficient bandwidth, while only three subscribed to law databases. However, due to financial cost, the subscriptions had not been recently renewed.

According to the law librarians, various methods are used to create awareness of the existence of the e-resources and in the case of four of the libraries this resulted in the e-resources being well utilised in those libraries. This is in line with findings by Ekwelem et al. (2009), who found that the electronic information resources in law libraries helped to develop students’ awareness and use of electronic sources. The majority (60%) of the law students were not aware that ICT resources were available in their law libraries for law students’ use, while 40% affirmed that ICT facilities were available in their libraries. However, while this indicates availability, only 12% of the law students indicated that they used these e-sources. The interviews with the eight law deans confirmed the availability of ICT facilities in the law faculty offices but made it clear that the ICT sources were not accessible to the law students. Whereas, law librarians interviews revealed insufficient ICT sources in the law libraries and thus access to the electronic libraries were prohibited.

The findings from this study revealed that the available ICT resources in law libraries were under-utilised by the respondents, partly because respondents seemed to be unaware of most of the ICT sources. The implication of this finding may be that the law library electronic information resources appear to play no important role in the academic development of the law students in Nigerian universities. This is confirmed by Popoola’s (2001) study on the Faculty awareness about library information products and services in Nigerian universities who found that availability of information sources do not necessarily translate into use.
The results on how the respondents rated the efficiency of the ICT within the law libraries revealed that less than half of the law students rated the accessibility and availability as optimal. A limited percentage of the law students thought that the downloading speed (24%) and connection speed (23%) was good, while the hardware was seen as inadequately efficient by 15% of the law students. This lack of efficiency directly translated into very low utilisation of the available ICT’s. The fact that students are allowed to bring their own laptops and mobile phones into the law libraries to utilise the Internet provided a means for the students to access the available e-resources in the libraries. However, the cost factor attached to buying airtime is a big inhibiting factor as could be seen from the responses from the students who indicated that they accessed the Internet only when required to do so. The implication of the low availability, accessibility and efficiency of the ICT is that the majority of the law students do not get exposed to a huge volume of available legal materials that could enhance their studies and which they could possibly utilise once in practice. It also hampers the advancement of their information seeking skill which, as shown in Lawal et al.’s (2012) study, hampered the performances of aspirant barristers attending Law School.

7.9 Internet utilisation
To provide access to the Internet within a library the required ICT infrastructure needs to be in place. As shown in chapter one, the basic infrastructure is in place in Nigeria to provide students’ access to the Internet. However, at the university level the provision of infrastructure such as Internet connection and adequate hardware is not always in place. The findings of this study generally revealed that electronic information sources were not adequately supplied in the law libraries and that the majority of the law students were not utilising the library electronic resources in the law faculties. This finding is corroborated in law librarians’ interviews regarding the adequacy of electronic information sources. Five law librarians stated that electronic sources in the law libraries were grossly inadequate, and one of the law librarians commented that:

“The electronic sources are not adequate because they are limited in quantity and we also lack professional staff to handle the few available e-sources. Our faculty has a total number of over 500 students, but we have less than 10 computer systems in the electronic law library.”
Three of the law librarians felt that there were adequate electronic sources in their law libraries for law students to use in their academic activities. One said that: “The electronic sources are adequate but not exhaustive”, while another librarian indicated that they were “adequate provided there is uninterrupted access to Internet connectivity.” One librarian emphasised that the sources were adequate: “Law students can conveniently make use of the available computers in the different times allotted to them, since all law students do not use the sources at the same time.”

While many of the law libraries had computer systems ranging between 2 to 120, they were either not connected to the Internet or the low bandwidth hampered their optimal utilisation.

At the law faculties, the law deans indicated that lecturers expected the law students to utilise both print and electronic sources to write assignments and in class preparations. However, there was no provision for law students to access Internet in the law faculties, because the e-sources in law faculties were only meant for law academic staff member of the faculties.

The inadequacy of the ICT infrastructures and the fact that law students had to resort to their own means to access the Internet is supported by the study of Bankole and Babalola (2012), who found that the greatest number of students (66.1%) accessed the Internet at cybercafés located outside the university campuses.

7.9.1 Devices used to access the internet

The study further identified the devices that the law students used to access information on the Internet (see, Chapter 6, Table 6.13). From the analysis, the majority of respondents (94%) accessed the Internet via mobile phones, 41% accessed the Internet using their own 3G card, while just 8% indicated that they accessed the Internet using the law library computers. These findings suggest that a large number of law students do not utilise law library electronic information resources, but use their personal resources and cyber cafes to access information from the Internet. This findings corroborates Otunla’s (2013) finding that 52.7% of undergraduate respondents accessed and used the Internet through their mobile phones, 33.9% accessed from their laptops using modems, 8.1% from home, while 6.4% accessed the Internet through the university electronic library, while none of them accessed the Internet from the library.
7.9.2 Methods used to seek information on the internet

Students who accessed the Internet in the law libraries were asked how they seek for information. The results revealed that 92% of law students that accessed the Internet in law libraries can independently use the Internet to search for information. A few of the law students (23%) searched with assistance from a third party such as friends, and (9%) searched with librarians, while an insignificant number (5%) of the law students relied completely on the assistance of the law librarian to do the search for them. This is in line with the Niedźwiedzka (2003) model that suggests that once the decision is taken to search for information the user can either do it or ask intermediaries to do it. The findings indicate that the majority of law students in Nigerian universities are ICT literate (see: Chapter 6, Table 6.14). This implies that if law students are provided with an enabling environment where relevant library information sources and services exist, the law students would largely use the law library ICT facilities. This corresponds with Chipeta et al.’s (2009) observation that students become information literate when provided with enabling environments that allow them to utilise information sources and resources in both print and electronic formats.

7.9.3 Information seeking behavioural changes observed due to ICT utilisation

Respondents were asked to express their opinions on whether ICT had changed their approach to accessing and using library information sources and resources. It is vital to understand that nothing remains constant except change itself. Therefore, it was expected that by the end of their first year law students would be able to note some changes in how they accessed information if using ICT facilities.

Though a very small percentage of the overall respondents answered this question, a significant percentage (53%) of those who answered indicated that the available ICT facilities in the law libraries had not changed their approach to accessing, retrieving and utilising information sources. This is a possible reflection of the fact that the challenges experienced in utilising ICT in the libraries were of such a nature that the students reaped no, or very little, benefit from using it and had to still rely on the more traditional print-based sources more than on e-resources to find and use information.

The law students’ responses indicate that law library ICT in the selected Nigerian universities have little to do with how law students search for information with ICT resources, because the majority do not use the electronic resources in law libraries. This
indicates a lack of awareness of the resources and that the resources are mostly unavailable to them. The respondents may have been making a salient point, that even though they had the ability to effectively use and manipulate computer systems; their knowledge was not improved by means of electronic law library resources.

Alternatively, the findings may indicate that the law students might not have had sufficient information literacy required to use ICT resources. However, some of the law students agreed that they had acquired new search skills from ICT in law libraries (See 6.2.2.8.5, Figure 6.6). This group might be the group of law students that attended the university taught course on the ‘Use of the Library’ to build on their prior knowledge.

Meanwhile, an insignificant number (5%) of law students could not decide on whether law library ICT facilities have contributed to changes in how they accessed, retrieved and utilised library information sources (See 6.2.2.8.7, Table 15). The overall findings revealed that law libraries have not significantly contributed to changes in how the majority of law students access, retrieve and utilise information sources.

### 7.10 Skills to search for information using print and electronic sources in the law libraries

Library search skills acquisition is an essential component in any field of knowledge. The propellant behind the skills required for any job execution is the basic knowledge regarding the specified job description. Law students who struggle to use print and electronic information resources in the law libraries could be lacking basic technical know-how, such as how to access the Internet or use catalogue cards that direct users to where books and other information resources can be located on the shelves. When a library user is not conversant or skilled enough to access and locate information materials in the library, problems follow, which, if not properly handled, may discourage the students or other users from searching for information in the libraries. To prevent this from occurring, librarians are assigned the duties to teach the library users, the library information seeking skills.

Though almost all of the law students (90%) indicated that their ability to search for information on the Internet on their own was good, some also mentioned that they experience several challenges. However, upon scrutinising these challenges, many of the problems seem to be ICT related and not directly related to inability to search and find
information on the Internet. For instance they mentioned slow downloading speed, unavailability of ICT in law libraries and lack of adequate power supply. Only 10% of respondents indicated a lack of skills to search for information. The few law students (10%) that lacked ICT search skills might be those students that had no value for attending the Use of Library course where other students were taught on how to use library resources to access information. Makri et al. (2006) also found that law students were not willing to attend training classes on how to use databases despite training classes being made available to them, because they apparently preferred to be satisfied with the incomplete knowledge that they possessed.

None of the librarians mentioned formal user education in the law libraries, other than occasional training given to some groups of students. The formal teaching of information seeking skills was also found to be seen by the law deans as being the dominion of the law librarians. Other than organising seminars and impacting same information seeking skills aimed at preparing final year students to conduct Legal Research and Legal Method for the first year law students, the law deans were not involved in teaching information seeking skills to their students. These findings are corroborated by Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose (2010) who established that law teachers did not encourage law students to develop a sense of independent ICT search skills; Mock (2001:554), who blamed law faculties that failed to make law students aware of the importance of using electronic information resources to find solutions to their academic problems; and Cuffe (2002) who argued that law faculties did not effectively educate law students for the demands of ICT in law practice.

However, the 12 law librarians stated that they taught the law students about the skills to effectively use the law libraries and that they generally stimulated law students’ awareness of the existing ICT and law databases (West law, Lexis-Nexis, Legalpedia and Compulaw) available in the electronic law libraries. However, one of the law librarians pointed out that: “Law students rarely use electronic resources on their own except when they were referred to use it by the deans of law. Although, the final year law students prefer using Legalpedia because of its content (law reported cases), other law students use the OPAC only when they are referred to use it by their deans of law. However, that is rare - they hardly come for assistance.”
7.11 Challenges experienced in seeking information in the law libraries

The study revealed that law students were not utilising the law library information resources due to a number of challenges. As observed, information sources without access render the sources useless. However, without the basic knowledge of ICT and library search skills to retrieve legal information, it is much more difficult to access the law library information sources, even when access is granted. Invariably, access and use of law libraries and their resources largely depend on the users’ (law students) perceptions and knowledge of the law library holdings and electronic information resources, and specific skills or abilities to search for legal information within law databases, the Internet, and other electronic resources that are available in the law libraries. Some of these skills are taught to law students in their first year at the universities to inform them on how to effectively search for and use library information resources in their studies. All the librarians were of the opinion that the more the students practice searches and frequent libraries, the more they become confident users of the library facilities and understand how to source information for research and other work assigned by the deans of law.

All the law librarians identified some of the problems that the law students face when accessing and using the digital information sources in their law libraries. Issues that were raised included the fact that some of the law students were not computer literate, irregular power supply, the lack of an independent network for the law library, lack of ICT skills among the library staff, limited ICT available for utilisation, lack of funds, non-subscription to electronic sources, problematic Internet connectivity, and the lack of will power by students to search for information.

Concern for the lack of access to electronic resources by the majority of law students in Nigerian university law libraries, as also expressed by Lawal et al. (2012), implies that the law students may not be able to efficiently search for information in their future practice as lawyers, which may lead to a wrong judgement or incorrect court decisions as judges.

Among the prominent problems mentioned by the law students were the unavailability of (ICT) electronic resources (47%); inadequate skills to search for information (22%); and slow speed of the Internet (21%) (See: Chapter 6.2.2.5, Table 6.6). Similar findings were also made by Bankole and Babalola (2012), Akpoghome and Idiegbeyan-Ose (2010); Adegbore (2010); Goldman (2008); Kakai et al. (2004) and Shibanda (2000).
The study further revealed that law students (26%) with little or no ICT and library search skills experienced more constraints when searching for information in the law libraries. The results also indicated that these law students (26%) blamed their inability to utilise the law libraries information sources on the inefficient performance of the law library systems, and unfriendly law library staff.

The observation finding revealed that just two of the law libraries have Legalpedia law databases, which were not accessible to the law students because of the irregular power supply and low broad bandwidth in the law libraries. While ten of the electronic law libraries had no subscription to the law databases that the law librarians mentioned as being available in their libraries.

However, the findings also showed that law students identified the unavailability of current printed information materials (textbooks, journals and law reports) as a challenge, which is an indication that the university law libraries in Nigeria are generally not providing adequate legal resources. These results support earlier studies conducted by Adio and Arinola, (2012:13), Bankole and Babalola (2012), Onuoha (2011), Adegbore (2010), Ekwelem et al. (2009:89), and Adekunmisi et al. (2011) and Luambo and Nawe (2004:13). Adio and Arinola (2012:13) identified poor Internet connectivity in the library, unwillingness of library staff to assist in information seeking, and the inadequacy of computers to search for information from the Internet as major constraints to law students’ utilisation of the libraries. Luambo and Nawe (2004) attributed the slowness of Internet connections experienced in the libraries to lack of bandwidth that tend to hinder Internet access and use. Asemi and Riyahiniya (2007:n.p) asserted that the numerous difficulties facing the libraries require the urgent attention of the library management and proprietors of the universities.

Other challenges identified were: inadequate funds to purchase ICT hardware, software and standby generators for the use of the law libraries; unstable power supply; and lack of technical know-how. It can therefore be inferred that the problems faced in law libraries have significantly contributed to the reasons behind why the majority of the law students do not utilise the law library information sources and prefer to study from their own textbooks in the law libraries. The findings have also shown that law students with ICT skills experience challenges that prevent them from accessing the law library’s electronic
resources. These challenges were identified as: slow speed of the Internet, lack of adequate library materials, erratic power supply, poor network connectivity, and an inaccessible electronic library, insufficient computer systems and inadequate funds to procure Internet facilities. These challenges have being identified in several earlier studies. For instance, Echezona and Ugwuanyi (2010) found the high cost of Internet connection, low bandwidth and slow speed of Internet connectivity, while Omotayo (2006:215) identified slow servers and payment for access time. These challenges, according to Bankole and Babalola (2012:14), cause fear for African universities to join the information world.

7.12 Suggestions for the improvement of ICT facilities in law libraries

Law students recommended a number of solutions to overcome the problems facing law libraries in Nigerian universities (as itemized in Table 6.7), beginning with better Internet connectivity to improve access to electronic information resources. This could be achieved through an increase in government fund allocation to the university libraries. It was further suggested that the library personnel need to be trained, and if possible re-trained in the use of ICT skills to enable the staff to adequately help the students. The students also called for the employment of librarians to operate the ICT units of the law libraries, and suggested that librarians need to create more awareness of the existing library resources through university newsletters, bulletins, billboards and the university website. This suggestion on awareness creation by law students contradicted the law librarians’ assertion that they were using these methods to alert the students to available services. Digitization of other information sources in the central libraries’ was also mentioned, as was the provision of sufficient electronic devices (such as computers), free access to ICT units, and standby generators for electronic libraries. If carried out, the students’ suggestions would not only benefit the students, but also benefit Nigerian society as a whole because these students are the future leaders of tomorrow (lawyers, judges, administrators, political leaders, etc.).

7.13 Summary

This chapter discussed the findings of the study. Despite a general positive attitude towards the law library as a service provider, it seemed like the students do not optimally utilise these libraries. The reasons for this seem to indicate that outdated printed materials and inaccessible ICT could mainly be responsible for the lack of utilisation of available services. Availability and access to the various ICT within the law libraries was identified as a major hurdle to the utilisation of ICT sources. The lack of Internet access and low bandwidth
contributed to access to the Internet via the computer systems available in the libraries. It was found that the law students generally could not use the Internet to search for information but that they had to use their own devices in most cases. This however held financial implications for the students, which many could ill afford. Challenges to the utilisation of ICT services in the libraries that were identified, included issues such as lack of funding, suitably qualified staff, erratic power supply and a lack of skills to search efficiently. Some solutions to improve the situation within the law libraries were discussed. The next chapter presents a summary of the findings, the final conclusions and recommendations of the study.
CHAPTER EIGHT
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Introduction
This chapter provides a summary and conclusions drawn from the findings of the study. The chapter also provides recommendations for improving the law library systems in Nigerian universities. The aim of the study was to investigate the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian universities. The study was based on the following objectives:

- To investigate the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries
- To determine the purposes for which law students retrieve information in Nigerian university law libraries
- To investigate the library information resources available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries
- To examine how law students in Nigerian universities access and utilise information resources, specifically ICT sources and resources for legal information in the university law libraries
- To determine the challenges faced by law students in the use of ICT and other law sources in the process of information retrieval in Nigerian university law libraries
- To provide recommendations and create a new model that would suit the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

This chapter provides a summary of the results, concluding comments, and recommendations for policy, practice, and future studies.

8.2 Summary of the findings
This section presents the summary of the findings under each of the research objectives of the study. The summary is subsequently used to develop conclusions and offer recommendations. Research objectives one to five form the units that paint a picture of Nigerian university law students’ information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills within the context of university law libraries in Nigeria.
8.2.1 To investigate the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian University Law Libraries

- What is the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian university law libraries?

Based on the reviewed literature, law students’ information seeking behaviour is the conscious effort made by the law students to acquire legal information in response to their need to bridge a gap in their knowledge. In order to bridge the gap, law students search for legal information from primary and secondary information sources distinct from the legal profession. Testing the attitude of law students towards the university law library as an information provider revealed that a large number (74%) of undergraduate law students in Nigerian university law libraries valued the law libraries and were positively inclined to patronise the law libraries to source relevant legal information for their studies. However, it was also established that the unavailability of current legal sources and the inaccessibility of electronic information resources in university law libraries prevent law students from optimally utilising the law libraries to search for legal information.

When asked which information sources or systems they would utilise when searching for information and how frequently they used these sources, the law library was only cited as a preferred option by 52% of the law students (Table 6.8). The digital libraries within the law libraries received even less support, with only 12% indicating that they used this source on a regular basis. As expected, textbooks, law books, and Internet access via mobile phones or via networked computers (both on and off campus) were found to be the most prominent information sources/systems used by the law students. However, Internet access via networked computers was deemed a problem, especially on campus, for various reasons that will be discussed in section 8.2.5.

Establishing whether the law students perceived themselves to have the required information search skills, it was established that less than half (49%) of the law students felt that they had enough skills to search for information using both print and electronic sources, while (47%) felt that they were not skilled enough to search for information using both print and electronic resources in the law libraries. As a myriad of legal information resources are available in print, and as more and more resources become available online, it has become imperative for law students to be computer and information literate. The majority (45%) of
the law students learnt their computer search skills in computer training schools outside the universities, 40% taught themselves ICT and library skills, while only 8% learnt the skills from the library search skills course offered at their university. Seven percent were taught by their friends or family.

The question on the preferred medium of information utilisation revealed no significant difference between print and electronic information sources, with 47% of the law students preferring print information sources, while 46% mainly preferred electronic information sources. As for the source and format preference for receiving legal information for academic purposes, 46% of the law students preferred to receive information through print and electronic formats in the law libraries, 18% preferred to receive information only in print format from their personal textbooks, while 17% preferred to receive their information from outside the law libraries through cyber cafés.

8.2.2 To determine the purposes for which law students retrieve information in Nigerian University Law Libraries

- What are the purposes for which Nigerian law students seek law library information sources and services?

Law students are on campus to further their studies. The findings revealed that law students retrieved information to: further their knowledge on legal issues (87%), complete assignments and projects (82%), be updated on the latest developments on the legal front (79%), supplement their lecture notes (70%), study the outcome of legal cases (67%), and participate in class discussions (65%). This finding shows that the law students are fully aware that the information retrieval strategies form an integral part of their future careers.

8.2.3 To investigate the library information resources available to law students in Nigerian University Law Libraries

- What library information resources are available to law students in Nigerian university law libraries?

The literature review established that legal information sources consist of both primary and secondary information sources through which legal professionals and law students can access legal information for their law practice and legal studies. Two different types of primary sources were identified: legislation (statutes and regulations) and case law (the
decisions of courts and administrative tribunals). Secondary legal information sources include law textbooks, law journals, encyclopaedias, dictionaries, and electronic/digital law libraries and electronic information resources like the Internet, digital law libraries, law databases, and CD ROMs. Observation of the law libraries found that the law libraries contained law reports (both foreign and local), law journals (foreign and local), newspapers, law magazines Acts, case law, legal textbooks constitutional laws, and other primary law sources, which were abundantly available in the law libraries.

Findings from the majority of the law librarians revealed that primary and secondary information sources in print format (law textbooks and law books) were mostly available in the law libraries, which most of the law students (47%) preferred to use as their sources of information within the university law libraries (Figure 6.4). With the exception of one law librarian that claimed to have no ICT and law database sources, eleven of the law librarians mentioned computers as their electronic information source, five law librarians affirmed that they did not subscribe to law databases, while six law librarians stated that they subscribe to one or more of the following law databases: Legalpedia, Ebscohost, JSTOR and Hein Online, Lexis-Nexis, West Law, Law Pavilion and Compulaw.

The findings of observation about the available electronic information resources in the law libraries revealed a contrary report against law librarians’ claim about available ICT in their law libraries. Observation of the electronic libraries showed that ten of the law libraries did not have any viable databases, which as mentioned by the law librarians were not subscribed to due to inadequate funds. It was in exception of two state university law libraries, where offline Legalpedia databases were observed, even in these university law libraries students were not allowed access to the offline database due to the challenges faced by the law libraries in providing constant electricity power supply.

The law deans, however, indicated that they encouraged the law students to visit the law libraries to study court cases available in law reports and databases in the electronic libraries. The law deans also mentioned other law sources that they recommended to law libraries to acquire for students to utilise, such as: law textbooks; Internet; journal articles; newspapers; periodicals; interviews; primary and secondary sources of legislation; law reports; statutes and the constitutional law. However, the Law deans confirmed that the law libraries were not adequately equipped with the law sources recommended by the National
Universities Commission and the Council of Legal Education, especially ICT resources, in terms of the digital libraries (Internet facilities, computers and law databases) for law students’ usage.

Observation of the law libraries also confirmed that the libraries mostly lacked the National Universities Commission’s (NUC) compulsory electronic information sources requirements, especially law databases. Only three of the law libraries were physically seen to have functioning Internet and law databases (Legalpedia), which were grossly inadequate to service a large number of law students in the law faculties at a time. For instance, while the NUC clearly specifies that Lexis-Nexis, West Law, Law Pavilion and Compulaw must be available in the digital law libraries, it was observed that the majority of the law libraries did not have current subscriptions to any of the law databases due to lack of funds to subscribe to the databases and lack of Internet connections and low broadband, although, one of the law libraries had access to the local databases in an offline format (Legalpedia database).

Observation findings revealed a varying number of computers (between 2 and 120) systems as available in the law libraries, whereas the law databases were not functioning in most of the law libraries due to low broad bandwidth, lack of Internet subscriptions, and problems with the power supply. Observation also revealed that law students were denied access to use some of the electronic libraries because of the poor condition of the electronic law libraries.

All the law librarians admitted that access to the electronic information sources was limited as there were none or only a few subscriptions to databases and the Internet due to the inadequate funding of the law libraries. Four of the law librarians agreed that the electronic sources were very popular among the law students and also claimed that the e-resources were well known to the law students through the information literacy awareness programmes that they teach the law students. Three librarians indicated that electronic sources were relatively popular, but also complained that the available computer systems were inadequate, and that the insufficient computers affects the use of the e-resources in the electronic law libraries.

The study established that the majority (51%) of the law students were not aware that ICT were available in their law libraries for law student utilisation; although (40%) believed that
they were available (Section 6.2.2.8.4, Figure 6.5). The findings also revealed that Internet as the only electronic resource, which the students considered to be mostly available in the law libraries was used within the law libraries by only 20% of the law students (Section 6.2.2.8.1, Table 6.10). Despite the fact that electronic law libraries are a compulsory requirement for the continued existence of the law faculties in Nigerian universities, only 26% of the law students confirmed that these were available, and only 9% utilised them. The unavailability of computers, the non-subscription to legal databases, funding constraints, and a lack of technological expertise by librarians, were found to be some of the reasons for this non-compliance with the NUC requirements. Fax machines were determined to be the least available electronic information resource in the law libraries, and also the least utilised ICT tool in law libraries by the law students. This might be due to the fact that the fax machine is no longer considered or regarded as an important ICT tools in the libraries. Other ICT sources utilised in the law libraries were identified as photocopy machines and law students’ personal laptops.

In the opinion of most of the law students (40%), the available ICT facilities in the law libraries were inefficient as they do not contribute towards their legal information searches in the law libraries. The law students also indicated that in terms of frequency, 33% of the law students searched for legal information using the Internet in the law library “only when necessary”, with a minority of the students using it on a more regular basis. Four of the law librarians stated that law students were aware of the electronic sources and that the sources were very popular, three said electronic sources were relatively popular because of the problems experienced by law students, while others confirmed that electronic sources were not popular among the law students because the electronic sources were not adequately supplied, limited in quantity, and also due to a lack of technical staff to handle the few available sources. Thus, findings from law librarians confirmed that electronic information sources were not commonly used by law students in the law libraries.

It was thus established that a significant number of law students do not use the law library electronic facilities due to availability and accessibility problems concerning ICT sources and services in the law libraries, which made the majority of law students use their personal information devices to access legal information from the Net.
8.2.4 To examine how law students utilise the university law library information resources, specifically ICT resources

- How do law students in Nigerian university law libraries access information resources, specifically ICT sources and resources for legal information sources and resources in the university law libraries?

The legal information sources and resources provided by the university law libraries are meant to be utilised by the law students and other legal professionals in the law faculties. As such, the law students who did access the Internet from within their law libraries were found to depend mostly on their own electronic devices to do so. The findings further showed that most (90%) of these respondents indicated that they had the necessary ICT skills to search for information on the Internet. Testing the ability to operate a computer and seek for information using the Internet on 100 students, 80% of the students were able to operate computers, 67% could operate a browser, 59% could generate and type applicable keywords, while 55% were able to download text documents or articles from databases. However, 47% of the respondents could not find applicable sources/databases on the Internet.

8.2.5 To determine the challenges faced by law students in the use of ICT and other law sources in the process of information retrieval in Nigerian University Law Libraries

- Why are the law students in Nigerian university law libraries not utilising law library ICT and other sources in the process of information retrieval?

A number of challenges were identified that inhibit the information seeking behaviour of law students in universities. Within law libraries, it was found that the lack of ICT, especially networked computers, was a major barrier to the access of legal information that is available in electronic format. With the exception of one library, computers were observed to be available in all of the law libraries. However access to the ICT appeared to be restricted as 51% of the respondents indicated that ICT hardware was not available in their law libraries. Although the Internet was very popular in some of the law libraries, in five law libraries, this was not the case, and printed materials were still the preferred format for information retrieval. Other problems identified by the respondents include slow downloading speed when using the Internet in the law library, lack of bandwidth, unstable power supplies, insufficient computer systems, and lack of access to the electronic libraries.
Findings from the law librarians corroborated the problems that law students experienced when accessing information sources in law libraries. The librarians claimed that a few of the law students were not computer literate. They also mentioned an irregular power supply, lack of funds to subscribe to electronic resources, and no independent network to connect to the Internet because most of the law libraries were connected to their universities’ main libraries. The research observation findings revealed that the majority of law students were ICT skilled, supporting the law librarians’ views that a small number of law students were not computer literate. The students and librarians offered several suggestions on how to overcome the challenges faced in the law libraries. The proffered solutions suggested that the Nigerian government and the university authorities should intervene to improve the quality of the law library information sources, especially ICT; that electronic libraries should be made available and accessible to law students; networks need to be improved by the Internet Service Provider; the governments should increase the electricity power supply; generators should be provided to supply power in case of an electricity power failure; funds should be raised by the law faculties to purchase more computers and Internet resources; and Internet access needs to be subsidized.

8.2.6 To suggest a theoretical model or adaptation that would suit the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian University Law Libraries.

- What theoretical models or adaptations would suit law students’ information seeking behaviour in Nigerian university law libraries?

The three models that formed the theoretical basis of this study, i.e. Wilson’s 1996 global Information Seeking model, Niedźwiedzka’s 2003 Model of Information Seeking Behaviour, and Davis et al. 1989’s Technology Acceptance model described how a user searches for information, both in print and by using ICT’s. Niedźwiedzka’s 2003 model attempted to address some of the critiques of Wilson’s model by incorporating the use of an intermediary in the search for information. It also specifically named the sources or systems that can be used to source the required information. What it did not address is the fact that once the decision to search for information is made, another decision has to be made on whether or not the information seeker has the skills and ability to independently search for information or not. This decision concerns both print and electronic information. For instance, even if the user opts to work alone, with no intermediary, the type of source/s they use will also depend on their skill levels. A decision to use ICT to independently search for information might depend on how useful and easy to use the technology might be, and
whether it is perceived to add value to their information search or job performance. It is therefore based on the user’s perceived ability or skills that a decision is taken to search for information independently or by way of an intermediary. Based on this, the author proposes an adjustment to the Niedźwiedzka’s model to incorporate a users’ decision to utilise sources based on the belief that he/she has the required skills and competencies to effectively access and utilise the information needed. The proposed adapted model is illustrated in Figure 8.1.

FIGURE 8.1: Proposed New Model of Information Seeking Process
As illustrated, the proposed model preserves all Niedźwiedzka’s elements but builds on the model to explain when the decision to personally use law library sources, or whether to use an intermediary, or not, is taken. Law students may decide to personally search for information using their own ICT skills or through an intermediary; however, the decision to search for information either way is dependent on the law students’ perceived ability to access useful information. The lack of access to information sources, such as computers, the Internet or law databases, impedes the ability of the user to search independently for information that might be stored in such information sources/systems. In such a case, the
decision can be taken to use an intermediary who might have access to these sources. Alternatively, when a user has access but none or very little skills, knowledge or ability to use the available sources, systems and ICT resources, such a user may opt to ask for assistance from formal intermediaries (law librarians, library staff or information managers in cyber cafes), and/or informal intermediaries (colleagues, friends or family, etc.). In the context of the surveyed university law libraries, law students may have to seek assistance from intermediaries either because ICT are available or accessible to them, or they feel they have no ability to utilise the ICT facilities. For example, ICT might only be available to the law librarians in their offices, or the law students may not have the level of skills required to use certain resources (e.g. legal databases).

8.3 Conclusions
This study fulfilled the research objectives and answered the research questions, and revealed that law students’ search for relevant legal information from primary and secondary sources to fulfil their legal information needs in order to meet the gaps in their knowledge. The study showed that 74% of undergraduate law students in Nigerian universities, value the law libraries and were positively inclined to patronise the libraries.

However, the study also established the unavailability of current legal sources and the inaccessibility of electronic information resources as the major factors that prevent law students from using the law libraries in Nigerian universities. The study expressed law students’ electronic information source needs and highlighted the challenges experienced by the law students, especially with respect to electronic information in the university law libraries.

Nigerian law students use information sources and services in order to further their knowledge on legal issues, complete assignments/projects, get updates on the latest developments in the legal front, and to supplement their lecture notes. The findings also revealed that electronic information sources and resources were neither available nor accessible to law students in many of the university law libraries in Nigeria. The study thus concluded that law library electronic information resources play no significant role in providing legal information sources through which law students can achieve the purposes for which they study law education. In an effort to overcome the law libraries’ deficiencies in providing electronic information resources, law students opt to use their personal electronic
information sources (mobile phones and computers) to search for legal information on the Internet. Lack of funds to procure sufficient computers, low broad bandwidth, lack of Internet connectivity, unstable electricity power supply, and lack of subscription to law databases were identified as the problems affecting access to the electronic information resources in the university law libraries. However, it was ascertained that in five university law libraries where Internet, computer and law databases were available, such electronic law libraries still remain underutilised. Two electronic law libraries were observed to be used by very few law students. Even where law librarians’ responses showed that ICT sources were quite popular among the law students, the study observations identified that access to the electronic law libraries were denied due to the problems of low bandwidth, lack of Internet subscription and lack of constant power supply. Despite the fact that 40% of the respondents identified the Internet as an electronic resource that was mostly available in law libraries, only 20% of the respondents utilised the Internet in the law libraries, probably because of the inadequate number of computer facilities available within the law libraries and lack of Internet connection.

The findings of the study also revealed that the majority of undergraduate law students in Nigerian universities were confident users of the basic electronic information resources to search for information. However, their ability to efficiently find information using more complicated search functions was found to be questionable. The findings of the study further showed that 47% of the respondents were not able to find applicable sources in databases on the Internet, which suggests that law students’ perceptions of their abilities to search the Internet could not be proven.

Inadequate electronic sources were identified as another obstacle that prevents the law libraries from providing the required legal information sources and ICT facilities. This finding was confirmed by law librarians’ responses that electronic sources were not adequately provided in the law libraries. The research observation also established and confirmed the inadequate electronic sources in the law libraries.

The study concluded that ICT use in Nigerian university libraries is very recent and has not been fully implemented in most of the law libraries because of the challenges that characterise Nigerian university law libraries. However, the law libraries are making efforts in developing their electronic law libraries and if these efforts are undertaken with
substantial support from the university authorities, significant improvements could be achieved. Active advocacy to get full implementation of electronic libraries will be required from the law library staff. This will also require addressing issues such as the inefficient electricity power, insufficient Internet broadband, the provision of sufficient ICT infrastructure, the purchasing of essential software and skills training to use the available ICT effectively. Additionally, the study concluded that the law libraries need general overhauling, funds to purchase modern electronic information sources, and ICT facilities. This is because law students require these sources and resources to achieve their set goals at the university level. In all, university libraries need to concentrate on providing adequate law sources and services that can be integrated into the teaching, learning and research activities of the law student.

The study further concluded that electronic information resources do not play a significant role in the law libraries’ operations or in the academic achievements of the law students.

8.4 Recommendations
Based on the above conclusion, recommendations were made to improve the traditional and ICT-based library sources and services that law students in Nigerian universities need to perform academically and to prepare them for a future profession that requires them to be information literate in order to function optimally. The recommendations are directed at four categories of people: law students, law librarians, law faculty staff, and university management and government (federal and state).

8.4.1 Law Students
Law students, as the active users of legal information sources and services within the university law library system, are facing many challenges in relation to information access in Nigerian universities. While many of these challenges are beyond their control, information literacy is not one of them. A significant number of the tested law students lacked the search skills to utilise law libraries and the ICT skills to effectively access electronic information resources in law libraries in Nigerian universities. To rectify this, the following recommendations are made:

That computer application training and Internet information seeking training is made a compulsory yearly module for the law students in their first to fifth year of studies. This will
enable them to understand how to effectively search for academic information and to distinguish between reliable and unreliable sources of information. It would also teach them how to access and retrieve information using legal databases.

Law librarians need to pay special attention to how training on information seeking is provided to law students, and ensure that law students fully participate and master the search skills through practical sessions to instil information literacy skills among the law students. The librarians should also promote the electronic sources and services available in order to successfully provide library support. Law students and other users must know what services are available and how to access them within the law libraries. This will enable them to effectively use the library information resources in both print and electronic formats in academic law libraries. It will strengthen the practice of lifelong learning and spark their interest in using ICT to search for information in their future practice. Formal training on how to source for information resources will considerably increase the pattern of both information use and search.

8.4.2 The law libraries
Law libraries should actively lobby for sufficient funding to enable the law librarians to develop collections with current legal information sources in both print and electronic format. Additionally, it is recommended that a specific budget for the acquisition and maintenance of ICT resources in Nigerian law libraries be instituted in each university. This will provide the law librarians with the ability to plan for future acquisitions and expansions based on the identified needs of the users. It is further recommended that an adequate number of computer systems should be installed in the electronic law libraries. These should provide accessible legal databases, Internet access, and other necessary e-information sources to serve the law students. Law libraries should also be allowed to utilise their own “internally generated funds” to purchase and maintain ICT infrastructure and to improve access to and the use of information e-resources in the law libraries in Nigeria. Internet use can positively influence law students’ academic performances; therefore law libraries should be provided with adequate facilities to enable law students to access the Internet.

As most law students do not have the financial means to afford Internet access, it is recommended that access to the Internet be turned into a ‘free’ service for the law students. An Internet access fee can be built into the students’ fees that are payable on an annual basis.
The law students who are not significantly utilising law library information sources and services should be identified for special attention and support by the law library managers, and provided with targeted training and encouragement on how to use these resources.

**8.4.3 Availability and utilisation of information resources**

In most studies, it has been established that availability of information resources usually goes a long way to influence use by the users. In relation to this, the results in this study have demonstrated that most of the law libraries sampled in this study do not have enough information resources available for the use of the law students. Hence many resort to using their personal information resources to access the Internet. In the light of this, law faculties are called upon to make sure that relevant information resources are sufficiently provided in their respective law libraries. They should also ensure that other relevant information materials and equipment to facilitate their retrieval are also put in place.

In addition, the university authority in each of the participating universities should, as a matter of urgency, provide adequate funds to stock the law libraries with relevant information sources and ICT infrastructure for effective functioning of the law libraries. The funds will enable the librarians to acquire current and high quality law library resources, such as law databases; automate library functions; improve Internet connections; procure better broadband; and provide cooling systems and alternative power generating machines.

It is recommended that the law deans should encourage law students to use the law library information resources by giving them reading and writing assignments that require the students to use the law databases and electronic information resources in the law libraries. This would enable them to make maximum use of the law library information sources and services, which in turn will enhance their library and ICT search skills. Additionally, the Faculty of Law should include in their curriculum, modules on ICT applications and the role of information technology in the legal profession.
8.4.4 Challenges
Challenges include the lack of ICT, especially networked computers, Internet facilities, access to legal information that is available in electronic format among others. In the light of this, it is recommended that university authorities should make sure they provide Internet facilities and more ICT equipment that will facilitate better information search by the law students. Moreover, various electronic databases on law information resources should be provided in large quantities to serve the needs of the law students.

8.5 What was confirmed and revealed in this study
The law students’ information seeking behaviour shows that they like to patronise the law libraries, but that information resources are not adequately available in the law libraries. The students depend on the information they get from their personal information resources, colleagues and friends more than they do law libraries. The survey revealed that they prefer to search for information using their textbooks, mobile phones and friends.

Most of the surveyed law students were not aware of the electronic resources in the law library. Many law students were not satisfied with the law library services, and some of the respondents claimed that library staffs were not helpful when they used the library to search for information. When asked how they rated their ICT skills to retrieve information sources from the Internet, 754 respondents answered the question. Out of which, the majority (681; 90%) overwhelmingly responded that they had very good ICT skills and could effectively search for information via the Internet. This claim proved to be questionable as 47% out of the (100) law students who participated in the ability tests could not effectively search for sources and database information on the Internet.

Most of the law students stated that they did not ask for assistance when searching for law library information resources, either in the physical or the electronic libraries. The seven percent of the 596 respondents facing challenges in the law libraries indicated that the law library staffs were not friendly and unhelpful; they used the law library less and opted to use the Internet on their mobile phone or visit cyber cafes to search for information. Out of the 754 respondents that use the Internet, 94% indicated that they accessed information through mobile phones, 41% accessed through their own computers, while only 8% of the law students accessed the Internet using electronic law libraries. The data in this study revealed that the electronic sources in the law libraries do not play a very significant role in the
educational exploits of the law students, and that those electronic information resources and services were not well provided in the law faculty libraries in Nigerian universities.

8.6 Originality of this study

Few studies have been conducted on the use of law libraries’ resources in Nigerian university law libraries. Therefore, this research shed light on what is already known about the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities. To date, only a few studies have been done on the information seeking behaviour of law students in Nigeria, most notably by Ossai (2011), Akanwa and Aniche (2012), Lawal et al. (2012) and Ogba (2013). However, as discussed in chapter one (section, 1.2.2), these studies were limited in scope.

The previous studies were conducted to investigate the information behaviour, concentrating mainly on print sources in selected universities in Nigeria. None of these studies focussed on how available ICT, and electronic information sources and services, are utilised by undergraduate law students in a wide spectrum of Nigerian universities. The current study therefore endeavoured to fill the gap, investigating information behaviour of law students’ with a specific focus on electronic information resources utilisation in the university law libraries. Previous studies have also not practically investigated that actual e-information seeking skills of the law students, while the current study tested the students’ ICT search skills to determine their ability to access information from electronic resources. The test showed that law students perceived themselves as being able to effectively search information using electronic resources, but when they were tested, it was established that most lack effective skills to retrieve the required information from the Internet.

Keeping in mind the pivotal role that ICT is currently playing in the information service delivery in libraries, it is expected that the law libraries would be geared up to fulfil this role. However this study revealed contrary results, showing that the majority of the law students leave the universities not well prepared to utilise ICT sources, which is a major source for accessing current and relevant legal information.

The study thus provided insight into the knowledge about law students’ information behaviour that is not commonly known and has not been explored by earlier researchers, for example, the study investigated the ICT sources available in Nigerian university law
libraries, ICT sources and resources utilised by law student within the university law libraries, and law students’ ICT skills to access electronic information sources in Nigerian university law libraries.

8.7 Suggestions for future research

The current study examined the information behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian universities. This study was limited to twelve university law libraries in Nigeria and to the law students in the universities surveyed. Although information was derived from this research study, it is clear that the services and facilities in law libraries significantly vary from university to university. It is thus recommended that a study be undertaken to investigate the university law libraries situated in the core Northern part of Nigeria, which the current study could not conveniently survey. The study should be done to determine how the university law libraries deliver services and challenges they experience in service delivery to law students and the law faculty members.

This research can also be extended by conducting studies on private universities to determine the trends and patterns of information behaviour and ICT utilisation among undergraduate law students in Nigerian universities.

Further research should also be done on the ability and skills of the law library staff, especially in terms of their ability to operate and maintain electronic sources, as it was established in this study that it was perceived as a problem by a number of the law students.
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Appendices

Appendix 1: Sample size model adopted for the study

Sample size for ±3%, ±5%, ±7% and ±10% Precision Levels Where Confidence Level is 95% and P=.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of Population</th>
<th>Sample Size (n) for Precision (e) of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>±3%</td>
<td>±5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000</td>
<td><strong>959</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>1,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>1,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>1,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>1,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100,000</td>
<td>1,111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a =** Assumption of normal population is poor (Yamane, 1967). The entire population should be sampled (Israel, 2003).
Appendix 2: Questionnaire for law students

Dear Respondent

The aim of this questionnaire is to investigate the information seeking behaviour and ICT skill usage of law students in Nigerian law libraries. Your response serves as an important contribution to this research work, which is essential to the completion of my doctorate degree. It is also hoped that the result will enhance your information search and ICT skills that will assist you in retrieving useful information from various law databases available in law libraries.

The information will be treated confidentially and used solely for the purposes of this study. Thank you for being willing to complete this questionnaire.

Olorunfemi, D.Y. (Me.)
Department of Library and Information Science,
University of Zululand,
Private mail Bag X1001,
South Africa.
Mobile: +277810604666
Email: doreenolorunfemi1@gmail.com
LAW STUDENTS’ INFORMATION BEHAVIOUR QUESTIONNAIRE

Instruction: Please complete all the items, unless advised differently

Part A. Biographical Data

1. Gender:
   Male □  Female □

2. Age:
   17 – 26 □  27 – 36 □  37 – 46 □  47 - 56 □  57 and above □

3. Name of University …………………………………………………………………

4. Level of study
   Year 1 □  Year 2 □  Year 3 □  Year 4 □  Year 5 □

Part B: Information seeking behaviour

5. Use the following guideline to respond to each statement concerning the law library as it appeals to you. SA- Strongly Agree, A- Agree, UN- Undecided, D- Disagree, and SD- Strongly disagree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>UN</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Internet provides better information than the law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The computer networks are very fragile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant and current law textbooks/ journals are available in law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer to get information from my own textbooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The electronic law library is fully equipped and user friendly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel bored searching for information in the law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library staff are not helpful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I source useful materials from our database in the law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The law library is for reading and writing assignments only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not like to patronize the law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Internet provides better information than the law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The computer networks are very fragile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. For what purpose(s) do you need information? (Tick all the applicable options)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To complete assignments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be able to participate in class discussions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To augment my lecture notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To further my knowledge about legal issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To update myself with the latest developments on the legal front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To study the outcomes of court cases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. In your opinion, do you have enough skills to find, retrieve and use printed information sources in the law library

Yes [ ] No [ ]

7(a) if your answer was “yes” where and how did you learn the skill?

......................................................................................................................................................................

......................................................................................................................................................................

7(b) If your answer was “no” what challenges do you experience when seeking for information.

......................................................................................................................................................................

8. Which information resources do you use to find information, and also indicate how often you use these resources?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information resources</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Journals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government publications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Newspapers
Internet
Digital library
Law databases
Internet via mobile phone
Other (specify …………..

9. Which sources would you most prefer to use?

Printed ☐  Electronic ☐

10. How do you prefer to receive the information required for your academic information needs?

Printed format in the library
Electronic format in the library
In both print & electronic formats in the library
Printed format from my personal textbooks
Electronic format from the Internet/database outside the library
Other (Specify) ……………………………

11. What are the challenges that you face when seeking information using both print and electronic information sources in the law library?
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………

12. Suggestions on how to address these challenges?
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
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13. Are there ICT, such as networked computers available for student utilisation, in the law library?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

14. Which of the following ICT and digital resources are available in the law library for student utilisation? Also indicate whether you utilise these sources (Tick as many as is applicable).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICT and digital resources</th>
<th>Available</th>
<th>Used by me</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital law library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law database such as Westlaw, LexisNexis etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD ROM Database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. How would you rate the efficiency of the ICT resources such as computers and fax machines in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Non existent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting speed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloading speed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of hardware</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. How often do you use the Internet in the law library to find academic related information?

Daily [ ]
If you do not use the Internet in the law library as an information source you do not need to complete the rest of the questions

17. Do you access the Internet via?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your own computer</th>
<th>Computer in library</th>
<th>Mobile phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

18. Do you have the necessary skills to effectively search for information in the Internet?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

19. How do you search for information on the Internet?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I search independently</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I search with the assistance of friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I ask assistance from librarians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I ask librarians to search for me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Specify) ............</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. In your opinion is there any change in the way you access, retrieve and use information as a result of the ICT? How?

.............................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................................................

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR COOPERATION
## Appendix 3: Law Students’ Information Searching Retrieval Ability Test Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation Variables</th>
<th>VA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>UA</th>
<th>NAA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operation of computer, e.g. switch on, get to Internet or database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to operate browser, e.g. which browser, know how to get to search engine/database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to find applicable sources/databases on Internet to solve problem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to generate/type applicable keywords e.g. general or specific keywords</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to download document in text article from a database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to save the document into the hard drive, USB (etc.) and email it to self and to others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using subscribed database?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** VA= Very Able, A= Able, S= Struggled, UA= Unable, NAA=Not at all Able
Appendix 4: Interview Question For Deans Of Law

Name of University………………………………………………………………………………
Date of interview………………………………………………………………………………

Dear Sir/Madam,

Good morning. I am a postgraduate student at the University of Zululand in South Africa. I am carrying out a study on the “Information behaviour of law students in Nigerian universities”.

I have some questions to ask you based on the information behaviour of undergraduate law students in Nigeria. Your sincere answers to these questions will be greatly appreciated. Any information given will be strictly used for the purpose of this study only and it will be confidentially kept.

It is hoped that the results generated from this study will assist in completing a doctoral degree studies and will later be utilise in teaching and research section of the University.

It is also hoped that this study will also prompt the government and the university authorities to provide required ICT resources that will be of great benefit to law students, deans of law, scholars, researchers and staff member of this university.

Furthermore, it is hoped that it will enhance law students’ information search skill as well as assist them in retrieving useful information from various law databases available in law libraries.

Thank you.

Date:

Investigator’s Signature
Olorunfemi, D.Y (Me.)
Department of Information Studies,
University of Zululand,
Private mail Bag X1001,
South Africa.
Semi-structure interview question for law deans

1. What are the teaching methods used to teach the law students?
   a) Teach from the legal text book
   b) Use of lecture notes
   c) Students are given reading assignment for class discussion
   d) Group discussion method
   e) All of the above teaching methods are used

2. What type of class preparation is expected from the law students?

3. When law students are given assignment, what kind of sources do you expect them to use?

4. Do you teach students the skills to search for information? If yes How?

5. Are there information sources available in the department that law students can use? If yes what kind of sources?

6. Do you expect the law students to read up on a topic before lectures?
Appendix 5: Interview Schedule For The Law Librarians

Name of University……………………………………………………………………………………………………

Date of interview……………………………………………………………………………………………………

Good morning Sir/Madam,

I am a postgraduate student at the University of Zululand in South Africa. I am carrying out a study on the “Information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian universities”.

I have some questions to ask you based on the above topic of my study. Your sincere answers to these questions will be greatly appreciated. Any information given will be strictly used for the purpose of this study only and it will be confidentially kept.

It is hoped that the results generated from this study will assist in completing a doctoral degree studies and will later be utilise in teaching and research section of the University.

It is also hoped that this study will also prompt the government and the university authority to provide required ICT resources that will be of great benefit to students, Deans of law, scholars, researchers and staff of this university.

Furthermore, it is hoped that it will enhance law students’ information search skill as well as assist them in retrieving useful information from various law databases available in law libraries.

Thank you.

Investigator’s Signature
Olorunfemi, D. Y (Me.)
Department of Information Studies
University of Zululand
Private mail Bag X1001
South Africa.
Section I: Information seeking behaviour of law students

1. What types of information sources do you have available in your library to answer enquiries from law students? Or refer the students to?  
2. Which of these sources are most preferred by students when they require information?  
3. In which format do students prefer to receive information that they request from you?  
   a. Oral  
   b. Written/printed  
   c. Visual  
   d. Electronic form  
   e. Other (specify)  
4. In your opinion do law students know how to search for information? Please motivate your answer.

Section II: Views on Law Students’ ICT Usage

5. Please name the available digital resources in your library.  
6. How popular are these digital sources among law students?  
7. In your opinion are these sources adequate for the teaching and learning experience needed in law education?  
8. From your own point of view, are the available digital sources in the law libraries effectively utilised by law students?  
9. How are law students, encouraged to patronise or informed about the Library’s digital information resources?  
10. What do you think are the constraint/challenges affecting students in the effective utilisation of digital information sources in the law libraries?  
11. How do you think these constraints/challenges can be improved?
Appendix 6: Observation Schedule (Law Students and the Law Libraries)

The aim of the observation is to observe the environment of the universities law library and law students in the library to understudy their seeking attitude and ICT utilisation.

Section 1: Outside the Library
1. Location of the library within the institution. Visibility
2. Description of the library building:
3. Maintenance?
4. Direction posters?
5. Landscaping/upkeep of the exterior inviting?

Section 2: Inside the Library
6. First impressions
7. Posters/directions informing/directing users about/to services
8. Guidelines available as to utilisation of services:
9. Adequate furniture/lighting for effective utilisation of the services?
10. Adequate space for housing the collection and for storage?
11. Are services mainly self-service or are staff readily available to render assistance to users?
12. Status of the collection
13. Organization of information sources?
14. General observations about staff appearance, attitude and morale:

Source: Adapted from Mostert, (2004:308)

Section 3: ICT facilities and utilisation
15. Available ICT facilities:
16. Is the ICT equipment in good working condition?
17. Are the law students utilising the available information resources? 18. How excellent is the connectivity to the Internet?
19. Are there adequate computer facilities available for law students’ usage?
20. Are there any legal databases available in the law libraries, such as: Westlaw, Lexis-Nexis, etc.?
Appendix 7: Nigeria and States

NIGERIA AND ITS STATES

[Map of Nigeria and its states, showing states such as Lagos, Abuja, Kano, and others.]
Appendix 8: Geo-political zones, Federal and States Universities selected in each zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>Federal University</th>
<th>State University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North-East</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-West</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-Central</td>
<td>University of Ilorin, Ilorin</td>
<td>Kogi State University, Anyigba.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasarawa State University, Keffi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-East</td>
<td>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</td>
<td>Imo State University, Owerri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-West</td>
<td>University of Ibadan, Ibadan,</td>
<td>Ekiti State University, Ekiti.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obafemi Awolowo University,</td>
<td>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ile-Ife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>University of Benin, Benin-City</td>
<td>Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Calabar, Calabar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 9: University of Zululand Research Ethical Clearance Certificate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certificate Number</th>
<th>UZREC 171110-030 PGD 2013/29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Information seeking behavior and ICT utilization skills of Law students in Nigerian Universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Researcher/Investigator</td>
<td>DY Olorunfemi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor and Co-supervisor</td>
<td>Prof. BJ Mostert, Prof. DN Ochoila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Information Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Project</td>
<td>Honours/4th Year, Master’s, Doctoral, x Departmental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The University of Zululand’s Research Ethics Committee (UZREC) hereby gives PROVISIONAL ethical approval in respect of the undertakings contained in the above-mentioned project proposal and the documents listed on page 2 of this Certificate. Special conditions, if any, are also listed on page 2.

The Researcher may therefore commence with the research as from the date of this Certificate, using the reference number indicated above, but may not conduct any data collection using research instruments that are yet to be approved.

Please note that the UZREC must be informed immediately of:

- Any material change in the conditions or undertakings mentioned in the documents that were presented to the UZREC
- Any material breaches of ethical undertakings or events that impact upon the ethical conduct of the research

The Principal Researcher must report to the UZREC in the prescribe format, where applicable, annually and at the end of the project, in respect of ethical compliance.
The table below indicates which documents the UZREC considered in granting this Certificate and which documents, if any, still require ethical clearance. (Please note that this is not a closed list and should new instruments be developed, these may also require approval.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Documents</th>
<th>Considered</th>
<th>To be submitted</th>
<th>Not required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Research Ethics Committee recommendation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Research Ethics Committee recommendation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Research Ethics Committee recommendation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical clearance application form</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project registration proposal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent from participants</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent from parent/guardian</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permission for access to sites/information/participants</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permission to use documents/copyright clearance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection/survey instrument/questionnaire</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection instrument in appropriate language</td>
<td>Only if necessary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other data collection instruments</td>
<td>Only if used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special conditions:  
Documents marked “To be submitted” must be presented for ethical clearance before any data collection can commence.

a) The research should not commence until the researcher receives permission letter to conduct research.

The UZREC retains the right to

- Withdraw or amend this Certificate if
  - Any unethical principles or practices are revealed or suspected
  - Relevant information has been withheld or misrepresented
  - Regulatory changes of whatsoever nature so require
  - The conditions contained in this Certificate have not been adhered to

- Request access to any information or data at any time during the course or after completion of the project

The UZREC wishes the researcher well in conducting the research.

[Signature]

Professor Rob Midgley
Deputy Vice-Chancellor, Research and Innovation
Chairperson: University Research Ethics Committee
27 May 2013

[Stamp]

27-05-2013

RESEARCH & INNOVATION OFFICE
Appendix 10: Permission to conduct research in the University Law Libraries

31 August 2011

The Vice Chancellor,
Kogi State University
Anyingba
Kogi State
Nigeria.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Permission to conduct Research in your university

The bearer is Ms. D.Y. Olorunfemi is a registered PhD student in the Department of Information Studies, University of Zululand in South Africa. She is researching on the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian Universities.

She has identified your University among the twelve (12) universities to be included in the study. Ms. Olorunfemi would like to administer a questionnaire to the undergraduate law students and also conduct a number of face-to-face interviews on the above subject with librarians and lecturers of the Faculty of Law in your University.

This research will conform to all research ethical rules as no research should be conducted without disclosure of the aims and purposes of the research. This research will respect the confidentiality of information gathered from law students and the staff in your university.

We kindly request that you give the researcher permission to carry out the study, and for any other assistance that she may require.

Your response in this regard will be appreciated.

Yours sincerely

Dr. J. Mostert
Promoter
Acting Head of Department
The Dean,
Faculty of Law
Nasarawa State University
Keffi, Nasarawa State
Nigeria.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Request for permission to conduct a follow-up research in Nigerian University Law Libraries

I am Me. D.Y. Olorunfemi a registered PhD student in the Department of Information Science, University of Zululand in South Africa. I am researching on the information seeking behaviour and ICT utilisation skills of law students in Nigerian university law libraries.

I would like to carry out an “Information Search and Retrieval Test” among the undergraduate law students who are able to independently access Internet and electronic sources. Also to conduct a number of face to face interviews with you on the “e-readiness of Nigerian universities” and also to interact with the person in charge of the law library on law students’ utilisation skills of ICT sources and resources of the law library.

The research will respect the confidentiality of information gathered from the beneficent law students and the librarians during the research study.

We, kindly request for permission and any other assistance that may be require during the conduct of the research study.

Yours sincerely

Me. D.Y. Olorunfemi
Researcher
NUC/AS/150/Vol.VI

23rd January, 2013

Professor D. N. Ociolto,
Department of Information Studies,
University of Zulu Land,
South Africa.

Dear Professor,

TOTAL NUMBER OF LAW STUDENTS AND LECTURERS IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

Please refer to your request on the above subject matter. Below are details in the Nigerian University system:

1. Total Number of Students: 30,660
2. Total number of Staff: 951

It is hoped that you would find the above information useful for your research work.

Please accept the assurances of the Executive Secretary’s highest regards.

Florence Asemadahun (Mrs.)
Ag. Director, Academic Standards
For the Executive Secretary

Website: http://www.nuc.edu.ng
Olorunfemi, D.Y. (Ms)
University of Zululand,
Department of Information Studies,
Private Bag X1001,
Kwandangeza
South Africa 3886.

Dear Madam,

RE: REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO CONDUCT RESEARCH IN THE LAW LIBRARY

Your request for permission to conduct the research in the Law Library of Ambrose Alli University Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria dated August 29, 2011 refers.

I am directed to inform you that your request was received and permission granted.

We are, however, requesting that you furnish us with the medium with which you intend to carry out the research activity. We will also want to know if the research will be conducted physically or through the on-line approach. Please feel very free to let us know the one you may decide to do.

Best regards,

Yours faithfully,

Omosokpea, John. O.
Chief Conf. Secretary
For: University Librarian
Ms Olorunfermi, D. Y.
Department of Information Studies,
University of Zuwuland,
South Africa.

Dear Madam,

RE: REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO CONDUCT RESEARCH IN THE LAW LIBRARY

Your letter dated August 29, 2011 and received on 29th September, 2011 refers.

I am pleased to inform you that, you have been granted approval to come to our Faculty and carry out your Research. You will be gladly welcome.

I look forward to receiving you on your arrival.

Sincerely,

Prof. K. S. A. Ebeku
Dean of Law
Department of Civil Law

Our Ref: 
Your Ref

Head of Department,
Department of Information Studies,
University of Zululand,
Kwadlangezwa,
South Africa.

Please refer to your letter dated 31st August, 2011 asking us for permission on behalf of Miss D.Y. GLOURUNFELD to conduct research in our university.

I have been asked to inform you that Miss D.Y. GLOURUNFELD has been given the permission to proceed on the research work.

Thank you,

Assoc Prof Maxwell M. Cidado
Dean of Law
05 December, 2012

KDL/ADM/111

Ms. Olorunfemi, D.Y.
Private Bag X1001
Department of Information Studies
University of Zululand.

RE: PERMISSION TO CONDUCT RESEARCH ON THE E-READINESS OF NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

With reference to the above subject and request for interview appointment with the University Librarian, I am to inform you that the interview will hold on Tuesday 4 December, 2012 in the University Librarian’s Office by 9 a.m.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. Bayo Oladele
University Librarian
Appendix 11: Research pictures with law deans, law students, librarians and the electronic law libraries

With a research assistant in front of a Law Faculty
The researcher and some of the participated law students
Some of the law students after ICT information retrieval test in front of a cyber cafe

With a law librarian
With another Law Librarian and her electronic library staff

With one other law librarian
Observation of the offline Legapedia database in an electronic law library
Participating undergraduate law students at the ICT ability test period
Researcher with University Librarians
With another University Librarian

Researcher with a University Librarian and her system librarian
The researcher, Law Dean and a Law Student President

In another electronic library with a law student President and their Law Librarian
With another Dean of Law